

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998 - Variable Report

Documentation release 2015/06/30

Related to dataset

Archive Study No. ZA3066, Version: 4.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.11968

GESIS-Variable Reports No. 2015|22

**Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in
Reunified Germany 1998 - Variable Report**

Documentation release 2015/06/30

Related to dataset

Archive Study No. ZA3066, Version: 4.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.11968

GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences

GESIS-Variable Reports

GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
50667 Köln
Unter Sachsenhausen 6-8
Germany

Telephone: +49/(0)221/47694-0
Telefax: +49/(0)221/47694-199
E-Mail: christina.eder@gesis.org

ISSN: 2190-6742 (Online)

Publisher: GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Unter Sachsenhausen 6-8, 50667 Köln
info@gesis.org, www.gesis.org

Content

Introduction and preliminary remarks.....	3
Data access	3
Usage requirements	4
Disclaimer	4
1 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998.....	5
1.1 Bibliographic information	5
1.1.1 Archive study number	5
1.1.2 Title and subtitle.....	5
1.1.3 Principal investigator.....	5
1.1.4 Bibliographic citation	5
1.2 Content.....	6
1.2.1 Abstract.....	6
1.2.2 Topic overview	7
1.3 Universe.....	7
1.4 Selection method	7
1.5 Date of collection.....	8
1.6 Mode of data collection.....	8
1.7 Weighting	8
1.8 Data processing.....	8
2 Correspondence List.....	10
3 International documentation standard	18
4 Explanation of the variable documentation	19
5 Variable Documentation.....	21

Table of figures and tables

Table 1: Variable correspondence list of the pre-election and post-election studies..... 10

Figure 1: Description of the variable documentation 20

Introduction and preliminary remarks

In 1998, the present study was carried out by Prof. Dr. Falter, Prof. Dr. Gabriel, and Prof. Dr. Rattinger accompanying the parliamentary election. It was financed by DFG. The study comprised a pre-electoral and a post-electoral cross section survey, each in East and West Germany. At the same time it served as the second wave of the long-term panel regarding the parliamentary elections in 1994–1998–2002 (ZA4301). The questionnaire of the study has been developed in cooperation with the preparatory group 'Deutsche Nationale Wahlstudie' (DNW) founded on an informal base. It covers opinions and attitudes of the eligible population towards parties, politicians, the election and possible governing coalitions but also towards general social developments. It is part of the group 'German Election studies 1949–2009' in the GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences.

This report comprises five parts: part one presents a description of the study Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998, including bibliographic information, an abstract, methodological comments, notes about weighting and general information on the data processing. The second part includes a correspondence list of the variables. Part three offers general information on the documentation standard. Part four provides a short explanation of the variable documentation itself. Part five contains the variable documentation: the documentation of each variable including complete question text with all answer categories enriched with archival remarks. In addition, this Variable Report presents frequency distributions for almost all substantial and demographic variables. All frequency distributions are based on unweighted data.

Data access

Data for statistical analysis and related documentation (original questionnaires, Variable Reports) are made available by GESIS – Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences by the online platforms GESIS Data Catalogue (<https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/home.asp?db=e>) or by ZACAT (<http://zacat.gesis.org>), the GESIS Online Study Catalogue. Access to the Data Catalogue and ZACAT is free of charge; download of data sets requires registration.

The [GESIS Data Catalogue](#)¹ offers study descriptions of the German Election Studies 1949–2009, which comprise methodological information, version history and errata. In addition, the GESIS Data Catalogue provides datasets and related documentation for download.

[ZACAT](#)² offers data and documentation for full-text search as well as for online analysis.

¹ The German Election studies 1949–2009 in the GESIS Data Catalogue:
<https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/gdesc2.asp?no=0012&search=wahlstudien&search2=&db=e&ttab=0¬abs=&tnf=1&taf=&tl=10>

² The German Election studies 1949–2009 in ZACAT – GESIS Online Study Catalogue.
<http://zacat.gesis.org/webview/main.jsp?object=http://zacat.gesis.org/objfCatalog/Catalog12>

Usage requirements

To get an overview over the use of the GESIS Data Archive, all users are requested to send bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstracts. Please explain in an accompanying letter, which data (study as well as respective variables) you have used.

Disclaimer

Neither the original collector of the data, nor GESIS bear any responsibility for the use of the data or any data analyses.

1 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

1.1 Bibliographic information

1.1.1 Archive study number

GESIS Study number: ZA3066 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

Current Version: 4.0.0, 2015-06-30, [doi:10.4232/1.11968](https://doi.org/10.4232/1.11968)

1.1.2 Title and subtitle

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

1.1.3 Principal investigator

Jürgen W. Falter, Universität Mainz

Oscar W. Gabriel, Universität Stuttgart

Hans Rattinger, Universität Bamberg

1.1.4 Bibliographic citation

Publications based on data provided by GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations should appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

How to cite the data:

Falter, Jürgen W.; Gabriel, Oscar W.; Rattinger, Hans (2015): Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998 GESIS Data Archive, Cologne. ZA3066 Data file Version 4.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.11968

1.2 Content

1.2.1 Abstract

Political attitudes and political participation in reunified Germany.

Cross-section surveys at two different survey times in West and East Germany.

Topics: judgement on current general economic situation as well as economic situation in the previous year; expected economic situation; knowledge about the importance of first vote and second vote; satisfaction with democracy; interest in politics; certainty of one's own intent to participate in the election and one's own voting decision (pre); type of voting as absentee ballot or polling place (post); same voting decision after knowledge about results of the election (post); party preference (Sunday question, first vote and second vote, post); voting decision for candidate (pre) and recall (post), voting decision for party (pre) and recall (post); respondent has answered the question regarding voting intent and voting decision; time of voting decision (post); most important reasons for one's own voting decision (post); certainty of one's own voting decision (pre); reasons for voting intent and not voting; eligible to vote 1998; estimated certainty of selected smaller parties taking seats in Federal Parliament (FDP, Bündnis90/Die Grünen, PDS, Republikaner, and DVU (pre); expected election winner in the Federal Parliament election (CDU/CSU or SPD, pre); evaluation of democracy as a conception of a state; personal financial situation; personal financial situation has improved and expectations for the next year; most important reasons for dissatisfaction with parties; coalition preference; satisfaction with result of Federal Parliament election (post); intensity of following the election campaign (post); responsivity: judgement on party politicians and their job as well as their relation with citizens (scale); most important problems of the country; issue ability of parties; external efficacy: possibilities of citizens to influence parties; internal efficacy: ability of citizens to influence parties and governments (scale); electoral duty; attitude towards democracy; assessment of the position of the most important parties on the topics nuclear energy, immigration, and European unification; personal opinion on these topics and importance of problem solution; importance of providing jobs, support of new technologies the promotion of equal opportunities for women (issue importance); state responsibility for these issues; satisfaction with task fulfillment of the government on these issues; place of residence in July 1989; retrospective judgement on personal standard of living, fairness of income distribution, social security, company of people, and crime protection in the FRG 1989 and in the GDR compared to today; knowledge of the number of federal states; sympathy scale for the parties CDU, CSU, SPD, FDP, Bündnis90/Die Grünen, Republikaner, PDS, and DVU; postmaterialism (Inglehart index); trust in institutions: trust in Federal Parliament, the Federal Constitutional Court, Federal Government, the judiciary, the police, administration, churches, parties, the Federal German Armed Forces, trade unions, ecological groups and in trade associations as well as employer's associations; preference for chancellor; sympathy scale for candidates for chancellor Kohl and Schroeder; assessment of characteristics of the two candidates for chancellor regarding sympathy, trustworthiness, energy and their concepts to improve the economy (economic skills); preference of political participation (scale); left-right self-placement; feeling of representation in selected associations, institutions and parties; assessment of closeness of trade unions, trade and employer's associations, ecological groups and churches to selected parties; social moral orientations (scale); satisfaction with the Federal Government; geographic connection: connected to the community, the city, the region, the federal state, old FRG / GDR, and Germany; left-right placement of parties; media usage: frequency of reading 'BILD-Zeitung', local, regional and national daily newspapers; frequency of reception of political news on television (ARD, ZDF and private TV); assessment of the fairness of the social system in the Federal Republic; belonging to the underprivileged or to the privileged section of population; behavior at the polls in the Federal Parliament election 1994; extremism scale: national pride, nationalization of important companies, courage to sense of nationality, group interests subordinated to public welfare, dictatorship as the better type of state, good aspects of National Socialism, without extermination of Jews, Hitler

as a great statesman, foreign infiltration of the Federal Republic, GDR with more good than bad aspects, foreigners should marry countrymen, influence of Jews still too big, socialism as good concept but badly executed, Jews don't fit in society and sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum.

Demography: sex; age (month of birth, year of birth); religious denomination; frequency of church attendance; memberships in citizen's initiative, party, trade association or trade union; party preference; party identification; reasons for party preference (scale); strength and length of this party preference; education and school degree; aimed form of graduation; completed apprenticeship; present or previous employment; previous employment: until when; involuntary change of job or unemployment since 1994; withdraw from working life since 1994; employment in the civil service; employment status; marital status; living together with a partner; information on partner: education and school degree; aimed form of graduation; completed apprenticeship; present or previous employment; previous employment: until when; involuntary change of job or unemployment since 1994; withdraw from working life since 1994; employment in the civil service, and employment status; household size; number of persons 16 years old or older in household; number of persons contributing to the income of the household; household's net income; self-assessment of social class (respondent's subjective strata); which part of strata; strata compared to the parents; telephone in the household; willingness to participate in a further interview 2002.

Additionally encoded was: respondent number, West-East split, date of Interview (pre/post); year of interview; date of interview; length of interview; interviewer-ID; size of town (politically and BIK (Boustedt)); district of the interview; federal state; weighting factors.

1.2.2 Topic overview

- Cultural and national identity
- Social behaviour and attitudes
- Mass media
- Mass political behaviour, attitudes / opinion
- Government, political systems and organisation
- Elections
- Economic conditions and indicators
- Economic systems and development

1.3 Universe

All German persons who were at least 16 years old at the time of survey and whose residence is in the Federal Republic Germany as well as living in private households

3337 persons were asked.

1.4 Selection method

The ADM Master Sample is a stratified, three-step random selection and is based on election precinct in Federal Parliament elections. Two nets of the ADM sample system were used such that in Eastern Germany a double net was used which means that for an over-sample this was doubled. The target households were selected according to the random route procedure, the target person in the household was determined by Sweden key.

1.5 Date of collection

The data collector is BASIS Research, Frankfurt:

Date of collection in all: August 1998 – November 1998

Date of collection in detail:

Pre-election West: 26 August 1998 to 26 September 1998;

Pre-election East: 30 August 1998 to 25 September 1998;

Post-election West: 08 October 1998 to 21 November 1998;

Post-election East: 13 October 1998 to 21. November 1998.

1.6 Mode of data collection

Telephone survey with standardized questionnaire

1.7 Weighting

All frequency distributions in this Variable Report are based on unweighted data.

1.8 Data processing

Generally, the variable structure of the dataset is based on the structure of the questionnaire. Preceding, there are some technical variables. These comprise archive identification variables (ZA-study number, version and DOI) as well as survey identification variables (respondent ID, federal state). At the bottom of the dataset, you find variables with information on the interview (date and length of the interview as well as interviewer identification), size of the municipality, and weighting variables (household, representative and overall).

In general, the variables adopt the codes of the questionnaire.

The affiliation of a question for the pre-electoral survey and the post-electoral survey is documented by the prefix ,VW' (pre-election), or ,NW' (post-election) to the question number. If a question number is without this prefix, it was raised in both surveys and had in both questionnaires the same question number. If a question was asked only in the pre-electoral survey or only in the post-election survey, one recognizes this by the interrogative number as well as an additional note below the actual question documentation.

For questions that allow for multiple responses a dummy variable is created for each answer category. E.g., a question with four answer categories in an item battery has four dummy variables as final data. In general, a value "1" means that the respondent chose the particular category as an answer (mentioned, yes, ...). In case of a value "0" the respondent did not choose the particular category as answer (not mentioned, no, ...). Dummy variables are also created for "none of these", "Don't know" or other residual responses.

The variables which are only asked to a split, receive a code for the respondents not asked. This code (in general zero) represents the cases to whom the respective question was not asked.

Number of variables: 297

2 Correspondence List

Correspondence list of the variables in the study 'Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998'

Table 1: Variable correspondence list of the pre-election and post-election studies

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
za_nr	ZA Study Number	x	x
version	GESIS Archive Version	x	x
doi	Digital Object Identifier	x	x
vvpid	Respondent number	x	x
vsplitwo	West-East split	x	x
vvornach	Date of interview	x	x
vprojekt	Year of interview	x	x
vland	Federal state - Bundesland	x	x
vadresnr	Sequential address number	x	x
v10	Economic situation today	x	x
v20	Economic situation improved	x	x
v30	Economic situation in a year	x	x
v31	Which vote more important?	x	x
v40	Democracy in Germany	x	x
v50	Interest in politics: strength	x	x
v60	Will respondent vote in next election?	x	
v62	Vote: polling place/absentee		x
v63	Same vote decision as last election		x
v64	Party vote intention, post-election		x
v65	Candidate vote, post-election		x
v66	Party, post: constructed		x
v67	Candidate, post: constructed		x
v69	Candidate (pre) + recall (post)	x	x
v70	Party (pre) + recall (post)	x	x
v71	Voting decision, will respondent vote?	x	x
v72	Voting decision: when?		x
v73a	Most important: 98 voting		x
v73b	2nd most important: 98 voting		x
v80	Sure of voting decision	x	
v81a	Voting decision: 1st reason	x	x
v81b	Voting decision: 2nd reason	x	x
v81c	Voting decision: 3rd reason	x	x
v81d	Voting decision: 4th reason	x	x
v81e	Voting decision: 5th reason	x	x
v82	Eligible to vote 1998	x	
v83a	Non-voter: 1st reason	x	x
v83b	Non-voter: 2nd reason	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v83c	Non-voter: 3rd reason	x	x
v83d	Non-voter: 4th reason	x	x
v83e	Non-voter: 5th reason	x	x
v84a	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP	x	
v84b	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	
v84c	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS	x	
v84d	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner	x	
v84e	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: DVU	x	
v85	Which party gets most votes?	x	
v86	Evaluation concept state democracy	x	x
v90	Personal financial situation	x	x
v100	Personal financial situation improved	x	x
v110	Personal financial situation in a year	x	x
v120a	Dissatisfied with parties: 1st	x	x
v120b	Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd	x	x
v120c	Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd	x	x
v120d	Dissatisfied with parties: 4th	x	x
v120e	Dissatisfied with parties: 5th	x	x
v121	Respondent: wanted government coalition		x
v122	Satisfied election outcome 1998		x
v123	Follow election campaign 1998		x
v130a	Parties only want votes	x	x
v130b	Parties: state as self-service	x	x
v130c	Party politicians trustworthy	x	x
v130d	Good relations to parties	x	x
v130e	Party member can produce idea	x	x
v130f	Parties only interest in power	x	x
v130g	Objectives of parties clearly different	x	x
v130h	Parties too much influence in society	x	x
v130i	Worse without professional politicians	x	x
v130k	Parties, politicians corrupt	x	x
v140aa	Important problems FRG: 1	x	x
v140ab	Important problems FRG: 2	x	x
v140ac	Important problems FRG: 3	x	x
v140ad	Important problems FRG: 4	x	x
v140ae	Important problems FRG: 5	x	x
v140af	Important problems FRG: 6	x	x
vwiprob1	Most important problem FRG	x	x
vwiprob2	2nd most important problem FRG	x	x
v150	Qualified: most important problem	x	x
v160	Qualified: 2nd most important problem	x	x
v170a	Politicians pay attention to people	x	x
v170b	People no influence on government	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v170d	Politics too complicated	x	x
v170f	Members of the Bundestag: contact with population	x	x
v170g	Citizens hardly influence politics	x	x
v170h	Right to stand up own opinion	x	x
v170i	Respondent: active role in political group	x	x
v170k	No democracy without opposition	x	x
v170l	Respondent: understand political issues	x	x
v170m	Duty of citizens: electoral participation	x	x
v170o	Every party: chance government	x	x
v171a	Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU	x	x
v171b	Nuclear energy: SPD	x	x
v171c	Nuclear energy: FDP	x	x
v171d	Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v171e	Nuclear energy: Republikaner	x	x
v171f	Nuclear energy: PDS	x	x
v171g	Nuclear energy: DVU	x	x
v172a	Immigration: CDU/CSU	x	x
v172b	Immigration: SPD	x	x
v172c	Immigration: FDP	x	x
v172d	Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v172e	Immigration: Republikaner	x	x
v172f	Immigration: PDS	x	x
v172g	Immigration: DVU	x	x
v173a	European unification: CDU/CSU	x	x
v173b	European unification: SPD	x	x
v173c	European unification: FDP	x	x
v173d	European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v173e	European unification: Republikaner	x	x
v173f	European unification: PDS	x	x
v173g	European unification: DVU	x	x
v174a	Personal opinion: nuclear energy	x	x
v174b	Personal opinion: immigration	x	x
v174c	Personal opinion: European unification	x	x
v175a	Important: nuclear energy	x	x
v175b	Important: immigration	x	x
v175c	Important: European unification	x	x
v176a	Important: providing jobs	x	x
v176b	Important: support new technologies	x	x
v176c	Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women	x	x
v177a	State responsible: provide job	x	x
v177b	State responsible: support new technologies	x	x
v177c	State responsible: promotion of equal opportunities for women	x	x
v178a	Satisfied state: provide jobs	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v178b	Satisfied state: support new technologies	x	x
v178c	Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women	x	x
v179	Respondent lived where July 1989?	x	x
v180aspw	FRG 89: standard of living	x	x
v180bspw	FRG 1989: fair distribution income	x	x
v180cspw	FRG 1989: social security	x	x
v180dspw	FRG 1989: company of people	x	x
v180espw	FRG 1989: protection crime	x	x
v180aspo	GDR 1989: standard of living	x	x
v180bspw	GDR 1989: fair distribution income	x	x
v180cspo	GDR 1989: social security	x	x
v180dspw	GDR 1989: company of people	x	x
v180espo	GDR 1989: protection crime	x	x
v181	Know: number federal states	x	x
v200a	Think of: CDU	x	x
v200b	Think of: CSU	x	x
v200c	Think of: SPD	x	x
v200d	Think of: FDP	x	x
v200e	Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v200f	Think of: Republikaner	x	x
v200g	Think of: PDS	x	x
v200h	Think of: DVU	x	x
v210a	Political goal: order in nation	x	x
v210b	Political goal: more say in government decisions	x	x
v210c	Political goal: fight rising prices	x	x
v210d	Political goal: freedom of speech	x	x
v220a	Trust: Bundestag	x	x
v220b	Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht	x	x
v220c	Trust: federal government	x	x
v220d	Trust: courts	x	x
v220e	Trust: police	x	x
v220f	Trust: administration	x	x
v220g	Trust: churches	x	x
v220h	Trust: parties	x	x
v220i	Trust: Bundeswehr	x	x
v220k	Trust: unions	x	x
v220l	Trust: trade and employers' associations	x	x
v220m	Trust: ecological groups	x	x
v230	Preferred chancellor	x	x
v231k	Scalometer: Kohl	x	x
v231s	Scalometer: Schroeder	x	x
v232ak	Kohl: economic skills	x	x
v232as	Schroeder: economic skills	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v232bk	Kohl: likeable human being	x	x
v232bs	Schroeder: likeable human being	x	x
v232ck	Kohl: politically trustworthy	x	x
v232cs	Schroeder: politically trustworthy	x	x
v232dk	Kohl: dynamic	x	x
v232ds	Schroeder: dynamic	x	x
v240a	Political participation: citizens' initiative	x	x
v240b	Political participation: legal demonstration	x	x
v240c	Political participation: violent demonstration	x	x
v240e	Political participation: blockade traffic	x	x
v240f	Political participation: get support from party	x	x
v250	Left-right self-placement	x	x
v260a	Interests: trade unions	x	x
v260b	Interests: trade and employers' associations	x	x
v260c	Interests: ecological groups	x	x
v260f	Interests: churches	x	x
v260g	Interests: CDU/CSU	x	x
v260h	Interests: SPD	x	x
v260i	Interests: FDP	x	x
v260j	Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v260k	Interests: Republikaner	x	x
v260l	Interests: DVU	x	x
v260m	Interests: PDS	x	x
v280a	Close to party: trade unions	x	x
v280b	Close to party: Trade and employers' associations	x	x
v280c	Close to party: ecological groups	x	x
v280f	Close to party: churches	x	x
v281a	Society: respects established	x	x
v281b	Society: citizens participation	x	x
v281c	Society: achieve through merit	x	x
v281d	Society: respect law and order	x	x
v281e	Society: open to new ideas	x	x
v281f	Society: man more important than money	x	x
v282	Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government	x	x
v283a	Connected to: community, city	x	x
v283b	Connected to: region	x	x
v283c	Connected to: federal state	x	x
v283d	Connected to: old FRG/GDR	x	x
v283e	Connected to: Germany	x	x
v284a	Left-right: CDU	x	x
v284b	Left-right: SPD	x	x
v284c	Left-right: FDP	x	x
v284d	Left-right: CSU	x	x
v284e	Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v284f	Left-right: Republikaner	x	x
v284g	Left-right: PDS	x	x
v284h	Left-right: DVU	x	x
v285a	How often: Bild-Zeitung	x	x
v285b	How often: national newspaper	x	x
v285c	How often: local or regional newspaper	x	x
v286a	How often: news ARD, ZDF	x	x
v286b	How often: news private TV	x	x
v310	Social order fair or unfair	x	x
v320	Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population	x	x
v330	Recall: party vote 1994	x	x
v350a	Proud to be German	x	x
v350b	Nationalise important companies	x	x
v350c	Courage to sense of nationality	x	x
v350d	Group interests subordinate to public welfare	x	x
v350f	Dictatorship better type of state	x	x
v350g	National Socialism also positive	x	x
v350k	Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman	x	x
v350l	FRG foreign-controlled	x	x
v350m	GDR: more good than bad aspects	x	x
v350n	Foreigners should marry countrymen	x	x
v350o	Influence of Jews still too big	x	x
v350p	Socialism good concept, badly executed	x	x
v350q	Jews don't fit in society	x	x
v350r	Sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum	x	x
vsex	Sex of respondent	x	x
vmonat	Month of birth of respondent	x	x
vjahr	Year of birth of respondent	x	x
vrelig	Respondent's religious denomination	x	x
vkirchg	Frequency attend church	x	
vbildga	Education, kind of school	x	x
vbildgb	Aimed form of graduation	x	x
vbildgc	Completed apprenticeship	x	x
vberuftg	Present employment	x	x
vfrbertg	Previous employment	x	x
vfrbejah	Previous employment: until when	x	x
vwechs94	Involuntary job change 1994	x	x
varlos94	Unemployed since 1994	x	x
vbausg94	Withdraw from working life 1994	x	x
voeff	Employed: public servant	x	x
vberuf	Employment status	x	x
vfamstdn	Respondent: marital status	x	x
vpartner	Live together with partner	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
vpbildga	Partner: kind of school	x	x
vpbildgb	Partner: aimed graduation	x	x
vpbildgc	Partner: completed apprenticeship	x	x
vpberuft	Partner: present employment	x	x
vpfbertg	Partner: previous employment	x	x
vpfrbeja	Partner: previous employment: until when	x	x
vpwech94	Partner: involuntary job change 1994	x	x
vparlo94	Partner: unemployed since 1994	x	x
vpbaus94	Partner: withdraw from working life 1994	x	x
vpoeff	Partner: employed: public servant	x	x
vpberuf	Partner: employment status	x	x
vinitmg	Member: citizens's initiative	x	x
vpartmg	Member: party	x	x
vberufmg	Member: trade association	x	x
vgewermg	Member: trade union	x	x
vpid	Party preference	x	x
vpidstrk	Strength of party preference	x	x
vpidzeit	Length of party preference	x	x
vpida	Party preference: party means a lot to me	x	x
vpidb	Party preference: better politicians	x	x
vpidc	Party preference: often voted for party	x	x
vpidd	Party preference: better policy	x	x
vhhpeink	How many contribute to income of household	x	x
vhheinko	Household's net income	x	x
vschicht	Respondent's subjective strata	x	x
vwochsi	Which part of strata	x	x
vschielt	Strata compared to parents	x	x
vhhpers	Household size	x	x
vhhper16	Household size, Germans over 16	x	x
vtelefon	Telephone household	x	x
vteiln	Agree: further interview 2002	x	x
vdatum	Date of interview	x	x
vdauer	Length of interview	x	x
vinternr	Interviewer identification	x	x
vortsgrp	Size of town - politically	x	x
vortsgrb	Size of town BIK (Boustedt)	x	x
vortsg98	Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998	x	x
vregbez	District of the interview	x	x
vgwow1	absolute East-West weight, pre-election or post-election	x	x
vgwow2	absolute East-West weight, pre-election + post-election	x	x
vgywnw	Representative weights pre-election or post-election, East or West	x	x
vgges	Representative weights East pre- + post-election or West pre- + post	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
vgywnwow	Representative weights all-German pre-election or post-election	x	x
vgyesow	Representative weights all-German pre-election + post-election	x	x

3 International documentation standard

The variable documentation is produced according to the international metadata specifications in the social sciences, which are defined by the Data Documentation Initiative <DDI>. The Variable Reports are based on the XML representation of DDI Version 2.

4 Explanation of the variable documentation

The variable documentation part describes each variable in the analysis data file in terms of relevant metadata and frequency distributions. It is set up by means of the GESIS Dataset Documentation Manager (DSDM) and in accordance with the international metadata specification for the social and behavioral sciences, established by the Data Documentation Initiative (DDI-Codebook/XML).

The documentation combines the variable description (variable name, values, and labels) of the dataset with the exact wording and sequence of question components (question text, interviewer instruction, response categories, etc.) from the questionnaire. The example explained below reproduces the information appearing in the variable documentation part for a typical substantive variable.

The frequency distributions of the substantive variables are based on unweighted data. Due to rounding, users may find slightly different figures if using other statistical packages, especially if the number of cases is very low.

Figure 1: Description of the variable documentation

<p>GESIS STUDY NUMBER: Header with study number, title and doi linked to the study description in the GESIS Data Catalogue.</p>	<p>ZA5633 ZA5633 Politbarometer West 2011 Variablen in Studie (Originalsprache)</p> 																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
<p>VARIABLE NAME (serial number from questionnaire or assigned by the archive and VARIABLE LABEL, as defined in the dataset.</p>	<p>Variable, Label Fragetext (Originalsprache)</p> <p>V120 - FDP-Krise: Hauptursache</p>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
<p>QUESTION NUMBER and full QUESTION TEXT from the questionnaire, including interviewer instructions. The numbers or letters that may appear together with the variable name reflect the item order (multiple item or multiple response questions), if applicable filter information.</p>	<p>F.26 Und woran liegt die Krise der FDP hauptsächlich? An ...</p> <p>in Woche 32: Und woran liegt die Schwäche der FDP hauptsächlich? An ...</p> <p>0 in den Wochen 02 bis 13, 18 bis 28 und 36 bis 50 nicht erhoben 1 ihren führenden Politikern oder 2 an den Inhalten ihrer Politik? 9 keine Angabe</p>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
<p>CODE VALUES occurring in the data for this variable and complete ANSWER TEXT from the questionnaire. Code values may differ from the questionnaire specification due to standardization and harmonization.</p>	<p>ZA5633, V120: FDP-Krise: Hauptursache nach V5: Erhebungswoche (N=1879) V5 nach V120, absolute Werte (Spaltenprozenze)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>V5</th> <th>2</th> <th>4</th> <th>6</th> <th>8</th> <th>13</th> <th>15</th> <th>18</th> <th>21</th> <th>23</th> <th>28</th> <th>32</th> <th>36</th> <th>38</th> <th>41</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>V120</td> <td colspan="14">Mis:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 nicht erhoben</td> <td>X</td> <td>1003</td> <td>1077</td> <td>1014</td> <td>1053</td> <td>1034</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1069</td> <td>991</td> <td>1074</td> <td>1027</td> <td></td> <td>1038</td> <td>991</td> <td>1012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 führende Politiker</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>378 (41,5%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>544 (56,2%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Inhalte der Politik</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>533 (58,5%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>424 (43,8%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 KA</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Summe</td> <td></td> <td>1003</td> <td>1077</td> <td>1014</td> <td>1053</td> <td>1034</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1011</td> <td>1069</td> <td>991</td> <td>1074</td> <td>1027</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1032</td> <td>1038</td> <td>991</td> <td>1012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>911</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>968</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>V5 (FortS.)</th> <th>43</th> <th>45</th> <th>47</th> <th>50</th> <th>N Summe</th> <th>Gült. Summe</th> <th>N Gült. Summe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>V120</td> <td colspan="7"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 nicht erhoben</td> <td>989</td> <td>1030</td> <td>1028</td> <td>994</td> <td>16424</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 führende Politiker</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>922</td> <td>49,1%</td> <td>922</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Inhalte der Politik</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>957</td> <td>50,9%</td> <td>957</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 KA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>164</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Summe</td> <td>989</td> <td>1030</td> <td>1028</td> <td>994</td> <td>18467</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1879</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	V5	2	4	6	8	13	15	18	21	23	28	32	36	38	41	V120	Mis:														0 nicht erhoben	X	1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1069	991	1074	1027		1038	991	1012	1 führende Politiker							378 (41,5%)					544 (56,2%)						2 Inhalte der Politik							533 (58,5%)					424 (43,8%)						9 KA	X									100							64	N Summe		1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1011	1069	991	1074	1027			1032	1038	991	1012	Gült. Summe										100,0%								100,0%			N Gült. Summe										911								968			V5 (FortS.)	43	45	47	50	N Summe	Gült. Summe	N Gült. Summe	V120								0 nicht erhoben	989	1030	1028	994	16424			1 führende Politiker					922	49,1%	922	2 Inhalte der Politik					957	50,9%	957	9 KA					164			N Summe	989	1030	1028	994	18467			Gült. Summe						100,0%		N Gült. Summe							1879
V5	2	4	6	8	13	15	18	21	23	28	32	36	38	41																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
V120	Mis:																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
0 nicht erhoben	X	1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1069	991	1074	1027		1038	991	1012																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
1 führende Politiker							378 (41,5%)					544 (56,2%)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2 Inhalte der Politik							533 (58,5%)					424 (43,8%)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
9 KA	X									100							64																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
N Summe		1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1011	1069	991	1074	1027			1032	1038	991	1012																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Gült. Summe										100,0%								100,0%																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
N Gült. Summe										911								968																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
V5 (FortS.)	43	45	47	50	N Summe	Gült. Summe	N Gült. Summe																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
V120																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
0 nicht erhoben	989	1030	1028	994	16424																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
1 führende Politiker					922	49,1%	922																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
2 Inhalte der Politik					957	50,9%	957																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
9 KA					164																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
N Summe	989	1030	1028	994	18467																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
Gült. Summe						100,0%																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
N Gült. Summe							1879																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
<p>FREQUENCY COUNTS: The frequencies of the occurrence of values (count) refer to the number of cases in the dataset (including missing data), which is not weighted.</p>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														

5 Variable Documentation

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

za_nr - ZA Study Number

ZA-Study Number 3066

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

3066 GESIS Study ZA3066

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

version - GESIS Archive Version

Version and release date of the archive data set

This variable identifies the GESIS archive version number of this data set and the corresponding release date, recorded as a string.

The version number is composed of a sequence of three numbers. The major number is incremented when there are changes in the composition of the data set (e.g. additional variables or cases), the minor or second number is incremented when significant errors have been fixed (e.g. coding errors, misleading value labels), and the third or revision number is incremented when minor bugs are fixed (e.g. spelling errors in variable or value labels).

Version 4.0.0 (2015-06-30)

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

doi - Digital Object Identifier

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

doi:10.4232/1.11968

This variable holds the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for the dataset at hand as registered via the da|ra registration agency for economic and social science data. Each dataset version (see variable 'version') has a unique persistent DOI.

For direct access to the complete archive dissemination package for this study, including new dataset versions, the DOI needs to be appended to the resolver service URL: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968>

In terms of good scientific practice, the DOI is an important element for the correct citation of a dataset.

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vvpnid - Respondent number

Respondent number

This variable uniquely identifies each respondent.

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vsplitwo - West-East split

Geographic coverage

1 West

2 East

ZA3066, vsplitwo: West-East split (N=3337)

vsplitwo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	West		2230	66,8	66,8	66,8
2	East		1107	33,2	33,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vvornach - Date of interview

Date of interview

- 1 before the federal election
- 2 after the federal election

ZA3066, vvornach: Date of interview (N=3337)

vvornach

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	before the Bundestag elections		1633	48,9	48,9	48,9
2	after the Bundestag elections		1704	51,1	51,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vprojekt - Year of interview

Year of interview

1998

ZA3066, vprojekt: Year of interview (N=3337)

vprojekt

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1998			3337	100,0	100,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vland - Federal state - Bundesland

Federal state

- 1 Sleswig-Holstein
- 2 Hamburg
- 3 Lower Saxony
- 4 Bremen
- 5 Northrhine-Westphalia
- 6 Hesse
- 7 Rhineland-Palatinate
- 8 Baden-Wuerttemberg
- 9 Bavaria
- 10 Saarland
- 11 Berlin
- 12 Brandenburg
- 13 Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- 14 Saxony
- 15 Saxony-Anhalt
- 16 Thuringia

ZA3066, vland: Federal state - Bundesland (N=3337)

vland

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	Sleswig-Holstein		95	2,8	2,8	2,8
2	Hamburg		73	2,2	2,2	5,0
3	Lower Saxony		242	7,3	7,3	12,3
4	Bremen		33	1,0	1,0	13,3
5	Northrhine-Westphalia		638	19,1	19,1	32,4
6	Hesse		229	6,9	6,9	39,3
7	Rhineland-Palatinate		115	3,4	3,4	42,7
8	Baden-Wuerttemberg		295	8,8	8,8	51,5
9	Bavaria		359	10,8	10,8	62,3
10	Saarland		40	1,2	1,2	63,5
11	Berlin		216	6,5	6,5	70,0
12	Brandenburg		176	5,3	5,3	75,2
13	Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania		131	3,9	3,9	79,2
14	Saxony		317	9,5	9,5	88,7
15	Saxony-Anhalt		197	5,9	5,9	94,6
16	Thuringia		181	5,4	5,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vadresnr - Sequential address number

Sequential address number

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v10 - Economic situation today

Hello - today we ask you to participate in a survey which we carry out on behalf of the universities of Stuttgart, Mainz and Bamberg. The scientific direction is performed by Professor Dr. Oscar W. Gabriel, Professor Dr. Jürgen W. Falter and Professor Dr. Hans Rattinger. Our institute, the GFM-GETAS/WBA, society for marketing, communication and social research, Hamburg, is one of the biggest and leading market research institutes in the Federal Republic of Germany.

The study serves purely scientific aims, it is financed from research funds of the German Research Foundation (DFG). Thematically it treats the population's opinions and expectations towards the politics in Germany.

Your address has been reached by a statistical chance procedure in the interviewee's random check. The participation in the interview conversation is voluntary. All your data is treated anonymously, i.e. the answers are evaluated without names and addresses. The research project is subject to the data privacy act. It is absolutely made sure that your answers can not be connected to your person.

We are sure that the questions attract your interest and would like to thank you for your interview participation.

Q1a

SPLIT WEST

How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the old federal states: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

Q1a

SPLIT EAST

How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the new federal states: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

- 1 very good
- 2 good
- 3 part good/part bad
- 4 bad
- 5 very bad
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v10: Economic situation today (N=3337)

v10

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very good		30	0,9	0,9	0,9
2	good		713	21,4	21,4	22,3
3	part good/part bad		1638	49,1	49,1	71,4
4	bad		760	22,8	22,8	94,1
5	very bad		148	4,4	4,4	98,6
8	don't know		46	1,4	1,4	99,9
9	refused		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v20 - Economic situation improved

Q2a

SPLIT WEST

Has the general economic situation in the old federal states in the last one to two years got substantially better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, substantially worse or is it the same?

Q2a

SPLIT EAST

Has the general economic situation in the new federal states in the last one to two years got substantially better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, substantially worse or is it the same?

- 1 substantially better
- 2 somewhat better
- 3 the same
- 4 somewhat worse
- 5 substantially worse
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v20: Economic situation improved (N=3337)

v20

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	substantially better		26	0,8	0,8	0,8
2	somewhat better		576	17,3	17,3	18,0
3	the same		1433	42,9	42,9	61,0
4	somewhat worse		1015	30,4	30,4	91,4
5	substantially worse		202	6,1	6,1	97,5
8	don't know		83	2,5	2,5	99,9
9	refused		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v30 - Economic situation in a year

Q3a

SPLIT WEST

And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the old federal states will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

Q3a

SPLIT EAST

And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the in the new federal states will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

- 1 substantially better
- 2 somewhat better
- 3 the same
- 4 somewhat worse
- 5 substantially worse
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v30: Economic situation in a year (N=3337)

v30

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	substantially better		24	0,7	0,7	0,7
2	somewhat better		835	25,0	25,0	25,7
3	the same		1599	47,9	47,9	73,7
4	somewhat worse		559	16,8	16,8	90,4
5	substantially worse		106	3,2	3,2	93,6
8	don't know		210	6,3	6,3	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v31 - Which vote more important?

Q4

In the elections for the Bundestag each voter has two votes, a first vote and a second vote.

Which vote is more important and ultimately decides about the strength of the parties in the Bundestag: the first vote, the second vote or are the two votes equally important?

- 1 the first vote
- 2 the second vote
- 3 both equally important
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v31: Which vote more important? (N=3337)

v31

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	the first vote		560	16,8	16,8	16,8
2	the second vote		1643	49,2	49,2	66,0
3	both equally important		825	24,7	24,7	90,7
8	don't know		299	9,0	9,0	99,7
9	refused		10	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v40 - Democracy in Germany

Q5

Taken all together, how satisfied are you with existing democracy in Germany? Are you...

<INT.: Read list.>

- 1 very satisfied
- 2 fairly satisfied
- 3 part/part
- 4 fairly dissatisfied
- 5 very dissatisfied
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v40: Democracy in Germany (N=3337)

v40

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very satisfied		294	8,8	8,8	8,8
2	fairly satisfied		1186	35,5	35,5	44,4
3	part/part		1262	37,8	37,8	82,2
4	fairly dissatisfied		432	12,9	12,9	95,1
5	very dissatisfied		134	4,0	4,0	99,1
8	don't know		22	0,7	0,7	99,8
9	refused		7	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v50 - Interest in politics: strength

Q6

How strongly are you interested in politics? Would you say...

<INT.: Read list.>

- 1 very strongly,
- 2 fairly strongly,
- 3 moderately,
- 4 less strongly or
- 5 not at all?
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v50: Interest in politics: strength (N=3337)

v50

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very strongly		267	8,0	8,0	8,0
2	fairly strongly		692	20,7	20,7	28,7
3	moderately		1414	42,4	42,4	71,1
4	less strongly		657	19,7	19,7	90,8
5	not at all		290	8,7	8,7	99,5
8	don't know		14	0,4	0,4	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v60 - Will respondent vote in next election?

VW Q10

In the upcoming elections for the Bundestag:

are you definitely, probably, maybe, probably not or definitely not going to vote?

<If code 1, 2, or 9, go to question 11.>

0 INAP.: post-election; not eligible to vote (pre-election)

1 definitely going to vote

2 probably going to vote

3 maybe going to vote

4 probably not going to vote

5 definitely not going to vote

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v60: Will respondent vote in next election? (N=3337)

v60

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1738	52,1	52,1	52,1
1	definitely going to vote		1353	40,5	40,5	92,6
2	probably going to vote		133	4,0	4,0	96,6
3	maybe going to vote		31	0,9	0,9	97,5
4	probably not going to vote		19	0,6	0,6	98,1
5	definitely not going to vote		29	0,9	0,9	99,0
8	still don't know		22	0,7	0,7	99,6
9	refused		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v62 - Vote: polling place/absentee

NW Q6a

<INT.: hand white list 1 to respondent>

The election for the Bundestag was held on 27th October. How did you cast your vote in these Bundestag elections: at the local polling place or by absentee ballot? Or weren't you eligible to vote or didn't you vote for any other reason?

<If code 3, go to question 12.>

<If code 4, go to question 10.>

- 0 INAP.: pre-election
- 1 at the local polling place
- 2 by absentee ballot
- 3 wasn't eligible to vote
- 4 didn't vote for any other reasons
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v62: Vote: polling place/absentee (N=3337)

v62

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1633	48,9	48,9	48,9
1	voted at the local polling place		1340	40,2	40,2	89,1
2	voted by absentee ballot		182	5,5	5,5	94,5
3	wasn't eligible to vote		46	1,4	1,4	95,9
4	didn't vote for any other reasons		128	3,8	3,8	99,8
9	refused		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v63 - Same vote decision as last election

NW Q11

If elections for the Bundestag were held again next Sunday, would you make the same decision or would you make a different decision?

<If Code 1, go to question Q13.>

0 INAP.: pre-election; not eligible to vote (post-election)

1 the same decision

2 different decision

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v63: Same vote decision as last election (N=3337)

v63

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1679	50,3	50,3	50,3
1	the same decision		1438	43,1	43,1	93,4
2	different decision		84	2,5	2,5	95,9
8	don't know		111	3,3	3,3	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v64 - Party vote intention, post-election

NW Q12

<INT.: Hand (again) beige list 2 (ballot) to respondent.>

If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, how would you vote? You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?

Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out. Encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

<INT.: Encircle code number for first vote and second vote. >

NW Q12_A second vote for a party

0 INAP.: pre-election; same voting decision as in V70 (post-election)

1 CDU/CSU

2 SPD

3 FDP

4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

5 Republikaner

6 PDS

7 DVU

8 ÖDP

9 Die Grauen

10 Statt Partei

11 NPD

12 other party

97 wouldn't vote

98 don't know

99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v64: Party vote intention, post-election (N=3337)

v64

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3071	92,0	92,0	92,0
1	CDU/CSU		35	1,0	1,0	93,1
2	SPD		44	1,3	1,3	94,4
3	FDP		3	0,1	0,1	94,5
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		8	0,2	0,2	94,7
5	Republikaner		2	0,1	0,1	94,8
6	PDS		8	0,2	0,2	95,0
7	DVU		3	0,1	0,1	95,1
8	OeDP		1	0,0	0,0	95,1
9	Die Grauen		1	0,0	0,0	95,2
10	Statt-Partei		1	0,0	0,0	95,2
11	NDP		3	0,1	0,1	95,3
12	other party		2	0,1	0,1	95,4
97	wouldn't vote		18	0,5	0,5	95,9
98	don't know		96	2,9	2,9	98,8
99	refused		41	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v65 - Candidate vote, post-election

NW Q12

<INT.: Hand (again) beige list 2 (ballot) to respondent.>

If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, how would you vote? You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?

Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out. Encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

<INT.: Encircle code number for first vote and second vote. >

NW Q12_B first vote for a candidate

0 INAP.: pre-election; same voting decision as in V70 (post-election)

- 1 CDU/CSU candidate
- 2 SPD candidate
- 3 FDP candidate
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen candidate
- 5 Republikaner candidate
- 6 PDS candidate
- 7 DVU candidate
- 8 ÖDP candidate
- 9 Die Grauen candidate
- 10 Statt Partei candidate
- 11 NPD candidate
- 12 other party candidate
- 97 wouldn't vote
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v65: Candidate vote, post-election (N=3337)

v65

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3071	92,0	92,0	92,0
1	CDU/CSU candidate		24	0,7	0,7	92,7
2	SPD candidate		38	1,1	1,1	93,9
3	FDP candidate		7	0,2	0,2	94,1
4	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate		3	0,1	0,1	94,2
5	Republikaner candidate		1	0,0	0,0	94,2
6	PDS candidate		7	0,2	0,2	94,4
8	OeDP candidate		1	0,0	0,0	94,5
12	candidate of another party		3	0,1	0,1	94,5
97	wouldn't vote		62	1,9	1,9	96,4
98	don't know		82	2,5	2,5	98,9
99	refused		38	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v66 - Party, post: constructed

Party, post-election.

This variable was constructed from V70, V63 and V64.

0 INAP.: pre-election; not eligible to vote (post-election)

1 CDU/CSU

2 SPD

3 FDP

4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

5 Republikaner

6 PDS

7 DVU

8 ÖDP

9 Die Grauen

10 Statt Partei

11 NPD

12 other party

97 wouldn't vote

98 don't know

99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable is part of the post-electoral study.

ZA3066, v66: Party, post: constructed (N=3337)

v66

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1679	50,3	50,3	50,3
1	CDU/CSU		416	12,5	12,5	62,8
2	SPD		585	17,5	17,5	80,3
3	FDP		56	1,7	1,7	82,0
4	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen		119	3,6	3,6	85,6
5	Republikaner		19	0,6	0,6	86,1
6	PDS		104	3,1	3,1	89,2
7	DVU		10	0,3	0,3	89,5
8	OeDP		1	0,0	0,0	89,6
9	Die Grauen		3	0,1	0,1	89,7
11	NPD		2	0,1	0,1	89,7
12	other party		11	0,3	0,3	90,1
97	wouldn't vote		85	2,5	2,5	92,6
98	don't know		103	3,1	3,1	95,7
99	refused		144	4,3	4,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v67 - Candidate, post: constructed

Candidate, post-election.

This variable was constructed from V69, V63 and V65.

- 0 INAP
- 1 CDU/CSU candidate
- 2 SPD candidate
- 3 FDP candidate
- 4 Bündnis90/Die Grünen candidate
- 5 Republikaner candidate
- 6 PDS candidate
- 7 DVU candidate
- 8 ÖDP candidate
- 9 Die Grauen candidate
- 10 Statt-Partei candidate
- 11 NPD candidate
- 12 candidate of another party
- 97 wouldn't vote
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable is part of the post-electoral study.

ZA3066, v67: Candidate, post: constructed (N=3337)

v67

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1679	50,3	50,3	50,3
1	CDU/CSU candidate		442	13,2	13,2	63,6
2	SPD candidate		637	19,1	19,1	82,6
3	FDP candidate		39	1,2	1,2	83,8
4	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate		79	2,4	2,4	86,2
5	Republikaner candidate		18	0,5	0,5	86,7
6	PDS candidate		89	2,7	2,7	89,4
7	DVU candidate		5	0,1	0,1	89,5
8	OeDP candidate		1	0,0	0,0	89,6
9	Die Grauen candidate		3	0,1	0,1	89,7
10	Statt-Partei candidate		2	0,1	0,1	89,7
11	NPD candidate		2	0,1	0,1	89,8
12	candidate of another party		7	0,2	0,2	90,0
97	wouldn't vote		85	2,5	2,5	92,5
98	don't know		101	3,0	3,0	95,6
99	refused		148	4,4	4,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v69 - Candidate (pre) + recall (post)

VW Q7

<INT.: hand white list 1 (ballot) to respondent.>

The next elections for the Bundestag will be held on 27th September. You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?

Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.>

<INT.: encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

NW Q7

<INT.: hand beige list 2 (ballot) to respondent.>

You had two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you received for elections for the Bundestag.

How did you mark your ballot?

Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.>

<INT.: encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

VW Q7_A / NW Q7_A first vote for a candidate

- 1 CDU/CSU candidate
- 2 SPD candidate
- 3 FDP candidate
- 4 Bündnis90/Die Grünen candidate
- 5 Republikaner candidate
- 6 PDS candidate
- 7 DVU candidate
- 8 ÖDP candidate
- 9 Die Grauen candidate
- 10 Statt-Partei candidate
- 11 NPD candidate
- 12 candidate of another party
- 96 didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 97 wasn't eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v69: Candidate (pre) + recall (post) (N=3337)

v69

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU candidate		873	26,2	26,2	26,2
2	SPD candidate		1197	35,9	35,9	62,0
3	FDP candidate		64	1,9	1,9	63,9
4	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate		158	4,7	4,7	68,7
5	Republikaner candidate		36	1,1	1,1	69,8
6	PDS candidate		160	4,8	4,8	74,6
7	DVU candidate		25	0,7	0,7	75,3
8	OeDP candidate		4	0,1	0,1	75,4
9	Die Grauen candidate		10	0,3	0,3	75,7
10	Statt-Partei candidate		4	0,1	0,1	75,8
11	NPD candidate		7	0,2	0,2	76,1
12	candidate of another party		12	0,4	0,4	76,4
96	didn't vote (only post-el.)		128	3,8	3,8	80,3
97	wasn't eligible to vote (only post-el.)		46	1,4	1,4	81,6
98	don't know		274	8,2	8,2	89,8
99	refused		339	10,2	10,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v70 - Party (pre) + recall (post)

VW Q7

<INT.: hand white list 1 (ballot) to respondent.>

The next elections for the Bundestag will be held on 27th September. You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?

Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.>

<INT.: encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

NW Q7

<INT.: hand beige list 2 (ballot) to respondent.>

You had two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you received for elections for the Bundestag.

How did you mark your ballot?

Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.>

<INT.: encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

VW Q7_B / NW Q7_B second vote for a party

- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner
- 6 PDS
- 7 DVU
- 8 ÖDP
- 9 Graue
- 10 Statt Partei
- 11 NPD
- 12 another party
- 96 didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 97 wasn't eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v70: Party (pre) + recall (post) (N=3337)

v70

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		798	23,9	23,9	23,9
2	SPD		1113	33,4	33,4	57,3
3	FDP		112	3,4	3,4	60,6
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		237	7,1	7,1	67,7
5	Republikaner		33	1,0	1,0	68,7
6	PDS		189	5,7	5,7	74,4
7	DVU		27	0,8	0,8	75,2
8	OeDP		3	0,1	0,1	75,3
9	Die Grauen		11	0,3	0,3	75,6
10	Statt-Partei		2	0,1	0,1	75,7
11	NPD		7	0,2	0,2	75,9
12	other party		22	0,7	0,7	76,5
96	didn't vote (only post-el.)		128	3,8	3,8	80,4
97	wasn't eligible (only post-el.)		46	1,4	1,4	81,8
98	don't know		265	7,9	7,9	89,7
99	refused		344	10,3	10,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v71 - Voting decision, will respondent vote?

Q8

<INT.: Classify without asking.>

In question 7 was/were code number(s) encircled.

<If code 2, go to question 11.>

0 INAP: not eligible to vote/didn't vote (post-election)

1 yes

2 no

ZA3066, v71: Voting decision, will respondent vote? (N=3337)

v71

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		174	5,2	5,2	5,2
1	yes		2582	77,4	77,4	82,6
2	no		581	17,4	17,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v72 - Voting decision: when?

NW Q9

When did you decide how to vote in these Bundestag elections? A long time ago, a few months ago, a few weeks or days before the elections or on the election day itself?

<INT.: read list>

0 INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)

1 a long time ago

2 a few months ago

3 in the last weeks before the elections

4 in the last days before the elections

5 on election day itself

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v72: Voting decision: when? (N=3337)

v72

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1984	59,5	59,5	59,5
1	a long time ago		863	25,9	25,9	85,3
2	a few months ago		251	7,5	7,5	92,8
3	in last weeks before the elections		132	4,0	4,0	96,8
4	in last days before the elections		60	1,8	1,8	98,6
5	on election day		32	1,0	1,0	99,6
8	don't know		10	0,3	0,3	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v73a - Most important: 98 voting

NW Q9a

<INT.: hand white list 2a to the respondent>

What was most important for your voting in the Bundestag elections?

<INT.: allow only one response each>

0 INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)

- 1 the candidates for chancellor, Gerhard Schröder and Helmut Kohl
- 2 the parties' statements on the problems which are especially important to you personally
- 3 the parties' election campaign
- 4 the desire for a change of government
- 5 the tie to a certain party
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v73a: Most important: 98 voting (N=3337)

v73a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1984	59,5	59,5	59,5
1	candidates for chancellor		262	7,9	7,9	67,3
2	Issue statements		425	12,7	12,7	80,0
3	election campaign		32	1,0	1,0	81,0
4	change of government		451	13,5	13,5	94,5
5	the tie to a certain party		170	5,1	5,1	99,6
9	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v73b - 2nd most important: 98 voting

NW Q9b

And what was second most important for your voting in the Bundestag elections?

<INT.: encircle answer in the scheme to question 9a/b above.>

<INT.: after responding to question 9b -> continue with question 11.>

- 0 INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)
- 1 the candidates for chancellor Gerhard Schröder and Helmut Kohl
- 2 the parties' statements on the problems which are especially important to you personally
- 3 the parties' election campaign
- 4 the desire for a change of government
- 5 the tie to a certain party
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v73b: 2nd most important: 98 voting (N=3337)

v73b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1984	59,5	59,5	59,5
1	candidates for chancellor		302	9,1	9,1	68,5
2	Issue statements		403	12,1	12,1	80,6
3	election campaign		110	3,3	3,3	83,9
4	change of government		250	7,5	7,5	91,4
5	the tie to a certain party		247	7,4	7,4	98,8
9	refused		41	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v80 - Sure of voting decision

VW Q8a

How sure are you about the voting decision you just told me: very sure, fairly sure, rather unsure, not quite sure or very unsure?

0 INAP.: code 2 in V71 (pre-election); post-election

1 very sure

2 fairly sure

3 rather unsure

4 very unsure

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v80: Sure of voting decision (N=3337)

v80

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2108	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	very sure		677	20,3	20,3	83,5
2	fairly sure		407	12,2	12,2	95,7
3	rather unsure		89	2,7	2,7	98,3
4	very unsure		7	0,2	0,2	98,5
8	don't know		49	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81a - Voting decision: 1st reason

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b

And why did you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_A; NW Q8b_A 1st reason for voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v71

11 habit

12 tradition

13 emotional relationship

14 unspecific liking

15 conviction

16 trust

17 end of tie to other party

18 loyal voter, member

21 the party's candidate for chancellor

22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party

23 other politician(s) of the party

24 against other politician(s) of another party

31 group-specific support

32 against group-specific support of another party

33 support for the youth

34 support for seniors

41 good achievements

42 bad achievements of another party

43 good party program

44 against party program of another party

45 good election campaign

46 bad election campaign of another party

51 fight against unemployment

52 economic policy

53 financial policy

54 social policy

55 security of pensions

56 family policy

57 fight against crime

58 environmental policy

59 policy on foreigners

60 reunification

- 61 East German interests
- 62 education policy
- 63 foreign policy
- 64 pro asylum policy
- 71 pro change of government
- 72 against change of government
- 73 general rejection of another party
- 74 pro strong opposition
- 75 formation of a 'good' coalition
- 80 other
- 81 unspecific
- 82 floating voter
- 83 most people vote for this party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused, NA

ZA3066, v81a: Voting decision: 1st reason (N=3337)

v81a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		755	22,6	22,6	22,6
11	habit		87	2,6	2,6	25,2
12	tradition		58	1,7	1,7	27,0
13	emotional relationship		36	1,1	1,1	28,0
14	unspecific liking		52	1,6	1,6	29,6
15	conviction		226	6,8	6,8	36,4
16	trust		84	2,5	2,5	38,9
17	end of tie to other party		5	0,1	0,1	39,0
18	loyal voter, member		35	1,0	1,0	40,1
21	party's candidate for chancellor		87	2,6	2,6	42,7
22	oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party		53	1,6	1,6	44,3
23	other politician(s) of party		44	1,3	1,3	45,6
24	agnst oth politician(s) another party		9	0,3	0,3	45,9
31	group-specific support		138	4,1	4,1	50,0
32	agnst group-spec spprt another party		6	0,2	0,2	50,2
33	support for the youth		4	0,1	0,1	50,3
34	support for seniors		5	0,1	0,1	50,5
41	good achievements		269	8,1	8,1	58,5
42	bad achievements of another party		115	3,4	3,4	62,0
43	good party program		186	5,6	5,6	67,5
44	against party program of another party		13	0,4	0,4	67,9
45	good election campaign		5	0,1	0,1	68,1
51	fight against unemployment		46	1,4	1,4	69,5
52	economic policy		93	2,8	2,8	72,3
53	financial policy		12	0,4	0,4	72,6
54	social policy		89	2,7	2,7	75,3
55	security of pensions		6	0,2	0,2	75,5
56	family policy		18	0,5	0,5	76,0
57	fight against crime		4	0,1	0,1	76,1
58	environmental policy		51	1,5	1,5	77,6
59	policy on foreigners		20	0,6	0,6	78,2
60	reunification		20	0,6	0,6	78,8
61	East German interests		33	1,0	1,0	79,8
63	foreign policy		7	0,2	0,2	80,0
64	pro asylum policy		4	0,1	0,1	80,2
71	pro change of government		378	11,3	11,3	91,5
72	against change of government		55	1,6	1,6	93,1
73	general rejection of another party		26	0,8	0,8	93,9
74	pro strong opposition		37	1,1	1,1	95,0
75	formation of a 'good' coalition		29	0,9	0,9	95,9
80	other		12	0,4	0,4	96,3
81	unspecific		5	0,1	0,1	96,4
82	floating voter		4	0,1	0,1	96,5
83	most people vote for this party		3	0,1	0,1	96,6
98	don't know		13	0,4	0,4	97,0
99	refused, NA		100	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81b - Voting decision: 2nd reason

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b

And why did you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_B; NW Q8b_B 2nd reason for voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason

11 habit

12 tradition

13 emotional relationship

14 unspecific liking

15 conviction

16 trust

17 end of tie to other party

18 loyal voter, member

21 party's candidate for chancellor

22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party

23 other politician(s) of party

24 against oth politician(s) another party

31 group-specific support

32 against group-specific support of another party

33 support for the youth

41 good achievements

42 bad achievements of another party

43 good party program

44 against party program of another party

45 good election campaign

51 fight against unemployment

52 economic policy

53 financial policy

54 social policy

55 security of pensions

56 family policy

57 fight against crime

58 environmental policy

59 policy on foreigners

60 reunification

61 East German interests

62 education policy

- 63 foreign policy
- 64 pro asylum policy
- 71 pro change of government
- 72 against change of government
- 73 general rejection of another party
- 74 pro strong opposition
- 75 formation of a 'good' coalition
- 80 other
- 81 unspecified

ZA3066, v81b: Voting decision: 2nd reason (N=3337)

v81b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2259	67,7	67,7	67,7
11	habit		15	0,4	0,4	68,1
12	tradition		10	0,3	0,3	68,4
13	emotional relationship		3	0,1	0,1	68,5
14	unspecific liking		5	0,1	0,1	68,7
15	conviction		68	2,0	2,0	70,7
16	trust		31	0,9	0,9	71,7
17	end of tie to other party		4	0,1	0,1	71,8
18	loyal voter, member		3	0,1	0,1	71,9
21	party's candidate for chancellor		32	1,0	1,0	72,8
22	oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party		32	1,0	1,0	73,8
23	other politician(s) of party		29	0,9	0,9	74,6
24	agntst oth politician(s) another party		14	0,4	0,4	75,1
31	group-specific support		62	1,9	1,9	76,9
32	agntst group-spec spprt another party		12	0,4	0,4	77,3
33	support for the youth		8	0,2	0,2	77,5
41	good achievements		81	2,4	2,4	80,0
42	bad achievements of another party		82	2,5	2,5	82,4
43	good party program		81	2,4	2,4	84,8
44	against party program of another party		41	1,2	1,2	86,1
45	good election campaign		5	0,1	0,1	86,2
51	fight against unemployment		38	1,1	1,1	87,4
52	economic policy		44	1,3	1,3	88,7
53	financial policy		17	0,5	0,5	89,2
54	social policy		64	1,9	1,9	91,1
55	security of pensions		12	0,4	0,4	91,5
56	family policy		18	0,5	0,5	92,0
57	fight against crime		6	0,2	0,2	92,2
58	environmental policy		33	1,0	1,0	93,2
59	policy on foreigners		11	0,3	0,3	93,5
60	reunification		16	0,5	0,5	94,0
61	East German interests		27	0,8	0,8	94,8
62	education policy		1	0,0	0,0	94,8
63	foreign policy		8	0,2	0,2	95,1
64	pro asylum policy		4	0,1	0,1	95,2
71	pro change of government		77	2,3	2,3	97,5
72	against change of government		21	0,6	0,6	98,1
73	general rejection of another party		14	0,4	0,4	98,5
74	pro strong opposition		24	0,7	0,7	99,3
75	formation of a 'good' coalition		15	0,4	0,4	99,7
80	other		8	0,2	0,2	99,9
81	unspecific		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81c - Voting decision: 3rd reason

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b

And why did you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_C; NW Q8b_C 3rd reason for voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason

11 habit

12 tradition

13 emotional relationship

14 unspecific liking

15 conviction

16 trust

21 party's candidate for chancellor

22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party

23 other politician(s) of party

24 against oth politician(s) another party

31 group-specific support

32 against group-specific support of another party

33 support for the youth

34 support for seniors

41 good achievements

42 bad achievements of another party

43 good party program

44 against party program of another party

45 good election campaign

46 bad election campaign of another party

51 fight against unemployment

52 economic policy

53 financial policy

54 social policy

55 security of pensions

56 family policy

57 fight against crime

58 environmental policy

59 policy on foreigners

60 reunification

61 East German interests

62 education policy

- 63 foreign policy
- 64 pro asylum policy
- 71 pro change of government
- 72 against change of government
- 74 pro strong opposition
- 75 formation of a 'good' coalition
- 80 other
- 82 floating voter

ZA3066, v81c: Voting decision: 3rd reason (N=3337)

v81c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3033	90,9	90,9	90,9
11	habit		5	0,1	0,1	91,0
12	tradition		1	0,0	0,0	91,1
13	emotional relationship		1	0,0	0,0	91,1
14	unspecific liking		1	0,0	0,0	91,1
15	conviction		14	0,4	0,4	91,5
16	trust		2	0,1	0,1	91,6
21	party's candidate for chancellor		14	0,4	0,4	92,0
22	oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party		7	0,2	0,2	92,2
23	other politician(s) of party		12	0,4	0,4	92,6
24	agnst oth politician(s) another party		4	0,1	0,1	92,7
31	group-specific support		14	0,4	0,4	93,1
32	agnst group-spec spprt another party		1	0,0	0,0	93,2
33	support for the youth		2	0,1	0,1	93,2
34	support for seniors		1	0,0	0,0	93,3
41	good achievements		25	0,7	0,7	94,0
42	bad achievements of another party		8	0,2	0,2	94,2
43	good party program		20	0,6	0,6	94,8
44	against party program of another party		10	0,3	0,3	95,1
45	good election campaign		1	0,0	0,0	95,2
46	bad election campaign of another party		1	0,0	0,0	95,2
51	fight against unemployment		16	0,5	0,5	95,7
52	economic policy		15	0,4	0,4	96,1
53	financial policy		8	0,2	0,2	96,4
54	social policy		27	0,8	0,8	97,2
55	security of pensions		8	0,2	0,2	97,4
56	family policy		6	0,2	0,2	97,6
57	fight against crime		7	0,2	0,2	97,8
58	environmental policy		8	0,2	0,2	98,1
59	policy on foreigners		4	0,1	0,1	98,2
60	reunification		6	0,2	0,2	98,4
61	East German interests		8	0,2	0,2	98,6
62	education policy		3	0,1	0,1	98,7
63	foreign policy		8	0,2	0,2	98,9
64	pro asylum policy		5	0,1	0,1	99,1
71	pro change of government		16	0,5	0,5	99,6
72	against change of government		6	0,2	0,2	99,7
74	pro strong opposition		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
75	formation of a 'good' coalition		2	0,1	0,1	99,8
80	other		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
82	floating voter		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81d - Voting decision: 4th reason

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b

And why did you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_D; NW Q8b_D 4th reason for voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason

12 tradition

15 conviction

16 trust

21 party's candidate for chancellor

22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party

23 other politician(s) of party

24 against oth politician(s) another party

31 group-specific support

32 against group-specific support of another party

33 support for the youth

41 good achievements

42 bad achievements of another party

43 good party program

44 against party program of another party

51 fight against unemployment

52 economic policy

53 financial policy

54 social policy

55 security of pensions

56 family policy

58 environmental policy

59 policy on foreigners

60 reunification

63 foreign policy

64 pro asylum policy

71 pro change of government

72 against change of government

74 pro strong opposition

75 formation of a 'good' coalition

80 other

ZA3066, v81d: Voting decision: 4th reason (N=3337)

v81d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3256	97,6	97,6	97,6
12	tradition		1	0,0	0,0	97,6
15	conviction		8	0,2	0,2	97,8
16	trust		1	0,0	0,0	97,9
21	party's candidate for chancellor		3	0,1	0,1	98,0
22	oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party		7	0,2	0,2	98,2
23	other politician(s) of party		2	0,1	0,1	98,2
24	agnst oth politician(s) another party		1	0,0	0,0	98,3
31	group-specific support		2	0,1	0,1	98,3
32	agnst group-spec spprt another party		3	0,1	0,1	98,4
33	support for the youth		3	0,1	0,1	98,5
41	good achievements		2	0,1	0,1	98,6
42	bad achievements of another party		3	0,1	0,1	98,7
43	good party program		3	0,1	0,1	98,7
44	against party program of another party		3	0,1	0,1	98,8
51	fight against unemployment		3	0,1	0,1	98,9
52	economic policy		4	0,1	0,1	99,0
53	financial policy		3	0,1	0,1	99,1
54	social policy		3	0,1	0,1	99,2
55	security of pensions		2	0,1	0,1	99,3
56	family policy		1	0,0	0,0	99,3
58	environmental policy		2	0,1	0,1	99,4
59	policy on foreigners		1	0,0	0,0	99,4
60	reunification		2	0,1	0,1	99,5
63	foreign policy		3	0,1	0,1	99,6
64	pro asylum policy		2	0,1	0,1	99,6
71	pro change of government		5	0,1	0,1	99,8
72	against change of government		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
74	pro strong opposition		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
75	formation of a 'good' coalition		3	0,1	0,1	99,9
80	other		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81e - Voting decision: 5th reason

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b

And why did you vote for this party?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_E; NW Q8b_E 5th reason for voting decision

- 0 INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason
- 22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party
- 24 against oth politician(s) another party
- 32 against group-specific support of another party
- 33 support for the youth
- 41 good achievements
- 42 bad achievements of another party
- 43 good party program
- 44 against party program of another party
- 52 economic policy
- 53 financial policy
- 54 social policy
- 58 environmental policy
- 73 general rejection of another party
- 75 formation of a 'good' coalition

ZA3066, v81e: Voting decision: 5th reason (N=3337)

v81e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3320	99,5	99,5	99,5
22	oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party		1	0,0	0,0	99,5
24	agnst oth politician(s) another party		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
32	agnst group-spec spprt another party		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
33	support for the youth		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
41	good achievements		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
42	bad achievements of another party		3	0,1	0,1	99,7
43	good party program		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
44	against party program of another party		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
52	economic policy		2	0,1	0,1	99,9
53	financial policy		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
54	social policy		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
58	environmental policy		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
73	general rejection of another party		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
75	formation of a 'good' coalition		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v82 - Eligible to vote 1998

VW Q9

Are you eligible to vote in the upcoming Bundestag elections on 27th September?

<INT.: In case of queries --> at least 18 years old and German nationality.>

<If code 2, go to question 11.>

0 INAP: post-election

1 yes

2 no

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v82: Eligible to vote 1998 (N=3337)

v82

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	yes		1599	47,9	47,9	99,0
2	no		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83a - Non-voter: 1st reason

VW Q10a

And why would you possibly not vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a

And why didn't you vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_A; NW Q10a_A 1st reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election)

11 individual lack of political influence

12 no integrity

13 insignificance of politics

14 indifference of parties

21 protest against own party

22 protest against all parties

23 unspecified protest

24 dissatisfaction with government

25 dissatisfaction with the system

31 no interest

32 ignorance

33 habitual non-voter

34 indifference

35 rejection of political parties

36 rejection of politicians/candidates for chancellor

37 profit aspect

41 politics as a 'dirty business'

42 general loss of trust

43 no confidence in electoral procedure

51 no time

52 indecisiveness

53 I'm too old, ill

54 I can't be bothered

55 not eligible to vote

56 legitimacy of not voting

71 no acceptance

72 no agreement with own perceptions

73 state dominated by political parties

96 other

98 don't know <Int.: don't read>

99 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v83a: Non-voter: 1st reason (N=3337)

v83a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3108	93,1	93,1	93,1
11	individ. lack of pol. influence		44	1,3	1,3	94,5
12	no integrity		8	0,2	0,2	94,7
13	insignificance of politics		2	0,1	0,1	94,8
14	indifference of parties		10	0,3	0,3	95,1
22	protest against all parties		5	0,1	0,1	95,2
24	dissatisfaction with gvrnmnt		3	0,1	0,1	95,3
25	dissatisfaction with sstm		5	0,1	0,1	95,4
31	no interest		18	0,5	0,5	96,0
32	ignorance		14	0,4	0,4	96,4
33	habitual non-voter		1	0,0	0,0	96,4
34	indifference		1	0,0	0,0	96,5
35	rejection of political parties		6	0,2	0,2	96,6
36	rejection of politicians		1	0,0	0,0	96,7
37	profit aspect		3	0,1	0,1	96,8
41	politics as 'dirty business'		6	0,2	0,2	96,9
42	general loss of trust		8	0,2	0,2	97,2
51	no time		29	0,9	0,9	98,1
52	indecisiveness		14	0,4	0,4	98,5
53	I'm too old, ill		18	0,5	0,5	99,0
54	I can't be bothered		3	0,1	0,1	99,1
55	not eligible to vote		6	0,2	0,2	99,3
71	no acceptance		2	0,1	0,1	99,3
72	no agreement w own perc.		7	0,2	0,2	99,6
73	state dmntd by pol. parties		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
98	don't know		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
99	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83b - Non-voter: 2nd reason

VW Q10a

And why would you possibly not vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a

And why didn't you vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_B; NW Q10a_B 2nd reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason

11 individual lack of political influence

12 no integrity

13 insignificance of politics

14 indifference of parties

21 protest against own party

22 protest against all parties

24 dissatisfaction with gvrnmnt

25 dissatisfaction with system

31 no interest

32 ignorance

34 indifference

37 profit aspect

41 politics as a 'dirty business'

42 general loss of trust

51 no time

52 indecisiveness

55 not eligible to vote

72 no agreement with own perception

73 state dominated by political parties

ZA3066, v83b: Non-voter: 2nd reason (N=3337)

v83b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3289	98,6	98,6	98,6
11	individ. lack of pol. influence		10	0,3	0,3	98,9
12	no integrity		11	0,3	0,3	99,2
13	insignificance of politics		2	0,1	0,1	99,3
14	indifference of parties		3	0,1	0,1	99,3
21	protest against own party		1	0,0	0,0	99,4
22	protest against all parties		1	0,0	0,0	99,4
24	dissatisfaction with gvrnmnt		1	0,0	0,0	99,4
25	dissatisfaction with sstm		2	0,1	0,1	99,5
31	no interest		2	0,1	0,1	99,6
32	ignorance		3	0,1	0,1	99,6
34	indifference		1	0,0	0,0	99,7
37	profit aspect		3	0,1	0,1	99,8
41	politics as a 'dirty business'		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
42	general loss of trust		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
51	no time		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
52	indecisiveness		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
55	not eligible to vote		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
72	no agreement w own perc.		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
73	state dmntd by pol. parties		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83c - Non-voter: 3rd reason

VW Q10a

And why would you possibly not vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a

And why didn't you vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_C; NW Q10a_C 3rd reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason

11 individual lack of political influence

12 no integrity

14 indifference of parties

24 dissatisfaction with government

41 politics as a 'dirty business'

51 no time

54 I can't be bothered

72 no agreement with own perception

96 other

ZA3066, v83c: Non-voter: 3rd reason (N=3337)

v83c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3327	99,7	99,7	99,7
11	individ. lack of pol. influence		1	0,0	0,0	99,7
12	no integrity		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
14	indifference of parties		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
24	dissatisfaction with gvrnmnt		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
41	politics as a 'dirty business'		2	0,1	0,1	99,9
51	no time		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
54	I can't be bothered		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
72	no agreement w own perc.		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
96	other		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83d - Non-voter: 4th reason

VW Q10a

And why would you possibly not vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a

And why didn't you vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.:Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_D; NW Q10a_D 4th reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason

41 politics as 'dirty business'

ZA3066, v83d: Non-voter: 4th reason (N=3337)

v83d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3336	100,0	100,0	100,0
41	politics as 'dirty business'		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83e - Non-voter: 5th reason

VW Q10a

And why would you possibly not vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a

And why didn't you vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_E; NW Q10a_E 5th reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason

ZA3066, v83e: Non-voter: 5th reason (N=3337)

v83e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3337	100,0	100,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84a - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?

Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_A FDP

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84a: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP (N=3337)

v84a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	absolutely certain		60	1,8	1,8	52,9
2	fairly certain		402	12,0	12,0	64,9
3	more uncertain		868	26,0	26,0	90,9
4	completely improbable		154	4,6	4,6	95,5
8	don't know		135	4,0	4,0	99,6
9	refused		14	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84b - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?

Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_B Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84b: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v84b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	absolutely certain		221	6,6	6,6	57,7
2	fairly certain		853	25,6	25,6	83,2
3	more uncertain		343	10,3	10,3	93,5
4	completely improbable		97	2,9	2,9	96,4
8	don't know		104	3,1	3,1	99,6
9	refused		15	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84c - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?

Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_C PDS

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84c: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS (N=3337)

v84c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	absolutely certain		53	1,6	1,6	52,7
2	fairly certain		402	12,0	12,0	64,7
3	more uncertain		674	20,2	20,2	84,9
4	completely improbable		340	10,2	10,2	95,1
8	don't know		145	4,3	4,3	99,4
9	refused		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84d - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?

Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_D Republikaner

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84d: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner (N=3337)

v84d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	absolutely certain		10	0,3	0,3	51,4
2	fairly certain		103	3,1	3,1	54,5
3	more uncertain		400	12,0	12,0	66,4
4	completely improbable		962	28,8	28,8	95,3
8	don't know		140	4,2	4,2	99,5
9	refused		18	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84e - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: DVU

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?

Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_E DVU

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84e: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: DVU (N=3337)

v84e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	absolutely certain		12	0,4	0,4	51,4
2	fairly certain		60	1,8	1,8	53,2
3	more uncertain		339	10,2	10,2	63,4
4	completely improbable		1008	30,2	30,2	93,6
8	don't know		193	5,8	5,8	99,4
9	refused		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v85 - Which party gets most votes?

VW Q13

What do you think: which party will receive the most votes in the upcoming Bundestag elections, the CDU/CSU or the SPD?

<INT.: Don't read the parties, only names of individual parties, don't accept responses regarding coalitions of various parties.>

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 other party mentioned
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v85: Which party gets most votes? (N=3337)

v85

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1704	51,1	51,1	51,1
1	CDU/CSU		468	14,0	14,0	65,1
2	SPD		940	28,2	28,2	93,3
3	othr party mentioned		13	0,4	0,4	93,6
8	don't know		201	6,0	6,0	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v86 - Evaluation concept state democracy

Q14

<INT.: Hand white list 3 to respondent.>

Compared to other conceptions of a state, what would you say about democracy? Please use this scale to do so. The value '1' means that a democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable, the value '6' means that a democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

- 1 1 democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v86: Evaluation concept state democracy (N=3337)

v86

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 democracy bst state conc. imaginable		1538	46,1	46,1	46,1
2	2		940	28,2	28,2	74,3
3	3		504	15,1	15,1	89,4
4	4		156	4,7	4,7	94,0
5	5		38	1,1	1,1	95,2
6	6 democracy worst state conc. imaginable		7	0,2	0,2	95,4
8	don't know		126	3,8	3,8	99,2
9	refused		28	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v90 - Personal financial situation

Q15

How do you evaluate your own financial situation today: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

- 1 very good
- 2 good
- 3 part good/part bad
- 4 bad
- 5 very bad
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v90: Personal financial situation (N=3337)

v90

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very good		117	3,5	3,5	3,5
2	good		1543	46,2	46,2	49,7
3	part good/part bad		1200	36,0	36,0	85,7
4	bad		368	11,0	11,0	96,7
5	very bad		89	2,7	2,7	99,4
8	don't know		11	0,3	0,3	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v100 - Personal financial situation improved

Q16

Has your own financial situation improved substantially, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated substantially in the last one to two years?

- 1 improved substantially
- 2 improved somewhat
- 3 remained the same
- 4 deteriorated somewhat
- 5 deteriorated substantially
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v100: Personal financial situation improved (N=3337)

v100

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	improved considerably		75	2,2	2,2	2,2
2	improved somewhat		501	15,0	15,0	17,3
3	remained the same		1985	59,5	59,5	76,7
4	deteriorated somewhat		614	18,4	18,4	95,1
5	deteriorated considerably		132	4,0	4,0	99,1
8	don't know		25	0,7	0,7	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v110 - Personal financial situation in a year

Q17

What do you think your own financial situation will be in a year from now? Do you expect that your own financial situation then will be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

- 1 substantially better
- 2 somewhat better
- 3 the same
- 4 somewhat worse
- 5 substantially worse
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v110: Personal financial situation in a year (N=3337)

v110

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	substantially better		53	1,6	1,6	1,6
2	somewhat better		644	19,3	19,3	20,9
3	the same		2041	61,2	61,2	82,0
4	somewhat worse		382	11,4	11,4	93,5
5	substantially worse		57	1,7	1,7	95,2
8	don't know		153	4,6	4,6	99,8
9	refused		7	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120a - Dissatisfied with parties: 1st

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_A 1st reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 1 lack of economic competence
- 2 don't do enough against unemployment
- 3 bad budgetary and financial policy
- 4 unfair tax policy
- 5 too pro-industry and pro-business
- 6 little commitment for the new federal states
- 7 missing price stability
- 8 missing social dedication
- 9 wrong youth welfare policy
- 10 wrong family and feminist policy
- 11 bad policy concerning seniors and pensions
- 12 neglect of housing construction
- 13 wrong health policy and nursing care insurance
- 14 neglect of internal security
- 15 wrong policy concerning foreigners and asylum-seekers
- 18 not enough dedication to the environment
- 19 European policy, EURO
- 22 other relevant political issues
- 24 don't show enough dedication
- 25 wooing of votes
- 27 there's no clear line
- 28 opportunists
- 29 empty promises
- 30 bad party politics
- 31 no stamina
- 32 party discipline is disturbing
- 33 too much power
- 36 unfair politics
- 37 no people's representatives
- 38 no trend-setting politics
- 39 lack of realism
- 40 incapability
- 41 unimaginative, uninspired
- 42 no calibre/character
- 43 no personalities
- 44 cult of personality
- 45 army of bureaucrats
- 46 missing idealism

- 50 dishonest, liars
- 51 obscure, slippery as an eel
- 52 unobjective, polemics
- 53 unfair, intolerant, unjust
- 54 party wrangling
- 55 office hunter, professional politicians
- 56 practise self-support
- 57 bribable, party sleaze
- 58 power-hungry
- 59 egomaniacs
- 60 tacticians, calculating
- 61 unscrupulous, no morals
- 62 arrogant, self-conceited
- 63 inflexible
- 64 dubious practices
- 68 not a lot public relations
- 69 grand appearances in the media
- 70 no popular touch
- 71 can't sell themselves
- 72 brainwashing (of) the people
- 75 too many political parties
- 76 it's necessary to outlaw leftist parties
- 77 it's necessary to outlaw rightist parties
- 78 predominance of large parties
- 79 too wide influence of small parties
- 84 ageing of the parties
- 85 disagreement within the parties
- 95 don't like anything
- 96 no interest in political parties
- 97 other
- 98 nothing, no criticism
- 99 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120b - Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly>

Q18_B 2nd reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP: no further reason mentioned
- 1 lack of economic competence
- 2 don't do enough agnst unemployment
- 3 bad budgetary and financial policy
- 4 unfair fiscal policy
- 5 too pro-industry and pro-business
- 6 little commitment for new federal states
- 7 missing price stability
- 8 missing social dedication
- 9 wrong youth welfare policy
- 10 wrong family and feminist policy
- 11 bad policy concerning seniors
- 13 wrong health policy
- 14 neglect of internal security
- 15 wrong policy concernng foreigners
- 18 not enough dedication to environment
- 19 European policy, EURO
- 22 other relevant political issues
- 24 don't show enough dedication
- 25 wooing of votes
- 27 there's no clear line
- 28 opportunists
- 29 empty promises
- 30 bad party politics
- 31 no stamina
- 32 party discipline is disturbing
- 36 unfair politics
- 37 no people's representatives
- 38 no trend-setting politics
- 39 lack of realism
- 40 incapability
- 41 unimaginative, uninspired
- 42 no calibre/character
- 43 no personalities
- 44 cult of personality
- 45 army of bureaucrats
- 46 missing idealism
- 50 dishonest, liars

- 51 obscure, slippery as an eel
- 52 unobjective, polemics
- 53 unfair, intolerant, unjust
- 54 party wrangling
- 55 office hunter, professional politicians
- 56 pursue self-support
- 57 bribable, party sleaze
- 58 power-hungry
- 59 egomaniacs
- 60 tacticians, calculating
- 61 unscrupulous, no morals
- 62 arrogant, self-conceited
- 63 inflexible
- 64 dubious practices
- 68 not a lot public relations
- 69 grand appearances in the media
- 70 no popular touch
- 71 can't sell themselves
- 72 brainwashing (of) the people
- 76 it's necessary to outlaw leftist parties
- 77 it's necessary to outlaw rightist parties
- 79 too wide influence of small parties
- 84 ageing of the parties
- 85 disagreement within the parties
- 96 no interest in political parties
- 97 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120c - Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_C 3rd reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP: no further reason mentioned
- 2 don't do enough agnst unemployment
- 3 bad budgetary and financial policy
- 4 unfair fiscal policy
- 5 too pro-industry and pro-business
- 7 missing price stability
- 8 missing social dedication
- 11 bad policy concerning seniors
- 13 wrong health policy
- 14 neglect of internal security
- 15 wrong policy concernng foreigners
- 18 not enough dedication to environment
- 19 European policy, EURO
- 22 other relevant political issues
- 24 don't show enough dedication
- 25 wooing of votes
- 27 there's no clear line
- 28 opportunists
- 29 empty promises
- 30 bad party politics
- 31 no stamina
- 33 too much power
- 37 no people's representatives
- 39 lack of realism
- 40 incapability
- 41 unimaginative, uninspired
- 42 no calibre/character
- 43 no personalities
- 44 cult of personality
- 46 missing idealism
- 50 dishonest, liars
- 51 obscure, slippery as an eel
- 52 unobjective, polemics
- 54 party wrangling
- 55 office hunter, professional politicians
- 56 pursue self-support
- 57 bribable, party sleaze
- 58 power-hungry

- 59 egomaniacs
- 60 tacticians, calculating
- 61 unscrupulous, no morals
- 62 arrogant, self-conceited
- 64 dubious practices
- 69 grand appearances in the media
- 70 no popular touch
- 72 brainwashing (of) the people
- 77 it's necessary to outlaw rightist parties
- 84 ageing of the parties

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120d - Dissatisfied with parties: 4th

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_D 4th reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP: no further reason mentioned
- 2 don't do enough agnst unemployment
- 3 bad budgetary and financial policy
- 11 bad policy concerning seniors
- 13 wrong health policy
- 14 neglect of internal security
- 15 wrong policy concernng foreigners
- 24 don't show enough dedication
- 25 wooing of votes
- 27 there's no clear line
- 29 empty promises
- 30 bad party politics
- 37 no people's representatives
- 38 no trend-setting politics
- 41 unimaginative, uninspired
- 50 dishonest, liars
- 55 office hunter, professional politicians
- 56 pursue self-support
- 58 power-hungry
- 59 egomaniacs
- 62 arrogant, self-conceited
- 63 inflexible
- 64 dubious practices
- 70 no popular touch
- 84 ageing of the parties

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120e - Dissatisfied with parties: 5th

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_E 5th reason for dissatisfaction with parties

0 INAP: no further reason mentioned

4 unfair fiscal policy

8 missing social dedication

10 wrong family and feminist policy

58 power-hungry

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v121 - Respondent: wanted government coalition

NW Q54c

According to the 27th September elections result there are different coalition possibilities: SPD and CDU/CSU, SPD and Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen as well as SPD and FDP. Irrespective of how the parties will decide <INT.: if a coalition has already been formed: decided.>, which parties do you personally think should govern Germany during the next four years?

<INT.: Read list.>

- 0 INAP.: pre-election
- 2 SPD and CDU/CSU
- 5 SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 6 SPD and FDP
- 7 none of the above/other coalition
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v121: Respondent: wanted government coalition (N=3337)

v121

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1633	48,9	48,9	48,9
2	CDU and SPD		559	16,8	16,8	65,7
5	SPD and Gruene		727	21,8	21,8	87,5
6	SPD and FDP		101	3,0	3,0	90,5
7	none of the above/other		15	0,4	0,4	90,9
8	don't know		235	7,0	7,0	98,0
9	refused		67	2,0	2,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v122 - Satisfied election outcome 1998

NW Q54b

And how satisfied are you with the result of the Bundestag elections? Are you...

<Int.: Read list.>

- 0 INAP: pre-election
- 1 very satisfied
- 2 fairly satisfied
- 3 part/part
- 4 fairly dissatisfied
- 5 very dissatisfied
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v122: Satisfied election outcome 1998 (N=3337)

v122

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1633	48,9	48,9	48,9
1	very satisfied		233	7,0	7,0	55,9
2	fairly satisfied		547	16,4	16,4	72,3
3	part/part		439	13,2	13,2	85,5
4	fairly dissatisfied		259	7,8	7,8	93,2
5	very dissatisfied		150	4,5	4,5	97,7
8	don't know		51	1,5	1,5	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v123 - Follow election campaign 1998

NW Q54a

One more question about the Bundestag elections 1998. How intensively did you follow the election campaign?

- 0 INAP: pre-election
- 1 very intensively
- 2 intensively
- 3 part/part
- 4 less intensively
- 5 not at all
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v123: Follow election campaign 1998 (N=3337)

v123

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1633	48,9	48,9	48,9
1	very intensively		196	5,9	5,9	54,8
2	intensively		487	14,6	14,6	69,4
3	part/part		577	17,3	17,3	86,7
4	less intensively		332	9,9	9,9	96,6
5	not at all		104	3,1	3,1	99,8
8	don't know		5	0,1	0,1	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130a - Parties only want votes

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_A The parties only want the people's votes, they're not interested in their opinions.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130a: Parties only want votes (N=3337)

v130a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		88	2,6	2,6	2,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		359	10,8	10,8	13,4
3	0 part/part		860	25,8	25,8	39,2
4	+1 tend to agree		1033	31,0	31,0	70,1
5	+2 completely agree		948	28,4	28,4	98,5
8	don't know		43	1,3	1,3	99,8
9	refused		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130b - Parties: state as self-service

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_B The parties regard the state as a self-service shop.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130b: Parties: state as self-service (N=3337)

v130b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		146	4,4	4,4	4,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		462	13,8	13,8	18,2
3	0 part/part		744	22,3	22,3	40,5
4	+1 tend to agree		1056	31,6	31,6	72,2
5	+2 completely agree		822	24,6	24,6	96,8
8	don't know		98	2,9	2,9	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130c - Party politicians trustworthy

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_C Most party politicians are trustworthy and honest people.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130c: Party politicians trustworthy (N=3337)

v130c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		357	10,7	10,7	10,7
2	-1 tend to disagree		736	22,1	22,1	32,8
3	0 part/part		1132	33,9	33,9	66,7
4	+1 tend to agree		827	24,8	24,8	91,5
5	+2 completely agree		173	5,2	5,2	96,6
8	don't know		103	3,1	3,1	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130d - Good relations to parties

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_D Without good relations to the parties citizens nowadays cannot achieve anything.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130d: Good relations to parties (N=3337)

v130d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		245	7,3	7,3	7,3
2	-1 tend to disagree		531	15,9	15,9	23,3
3	0 part/part		926	27,7	27,7	51,0
4	+1 tend to agree		914	27,4	27,4	78,4
5	+2 completely agree		452	13,5	13,5	91,9
8	don't know		258	7,7	7,7	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130e - Party member can produce idea

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_E Even simple party members have the chance to produce their ideas in the parties.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130e: Party member can produce idea (N=3337)

v130e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		355	10,6	10,6	10,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		770	23,1	23,1	33,7
3	0 part/part		854	25,6	25,6	59,3
4	+1 tend to agree		803	24,1	24,1	83,4
5	+2 completely agree		182	5,5	5,5	88,8
8	don't know		363	10,9	10,9	99,7
9	refused		10	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130f - Parties only interest in power

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_F The parties are only interested in power.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130f: Parties only interest in power (N=3337)

v130f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		50	1,5	1,5	1,5
2	-1 tend to disagree		256	7,7	7,7	9,2
3	0 part/part		528	15,8	15,8	25,0
4	+1 tend to agree		1051	31,5	31,5	56,5
5	+2 completely agree		1401	42,0	42,0	98,5
8	don't know		43	1,3	1,3	99,8
9	refused		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130g - Objectives of parties clearly different

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_G The parties are so different in their objectives that the citizens have clear alternatives.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130g: Objectives of parties clearly different (N=3337)

v130g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		471	14,1	14,1	14,1
2	-1 tend to disagree		840	25,2	25,2	39,3
3	0 part/part		865	25,9	25,9	65,2
4	+1 tend to agree		713	21,4	21,4	86,6
5	+2 completely agree		266	8,0	8,0	94,5
8	don't know		173	5,2	5,2	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130h - Parties too much influence in society

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_H The parties exert too much influence in the society.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130h: Parties too much influence in society (N=3337)

v130h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		125	3,7	3,7	3,7
2	-1 tend to disagree		536	16,1	16,1	19,8
3	0 part/part		933	28,0	28,0	47,8
4	+1 tend to agree		1033	31,0	31,0	78,7
5	+2 completely agree		511	15,3	15,3	94,0
8	don't know		188	5,6	5,6	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130i - Worse without professional politicians

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_I Without professional politicians in the parties our country would be governed worse.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130i: Worse without professional politicians (N=3337)

v130i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		259	7,8	7,8	7,8
2	-1 tend to disagree		499	15,0	15,0	22,7
3	0 part/part		752	22,5	22,5	45,3
4	+1 tend to agree		946	28,3	28,3	73,6
5	+2 completely agree		558	16,7	16,7	90,3
8	don't know		310	9,3	9,3	99,6
9	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130k - Parties, politicians corrupt

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_K Most parties and politicians are corrupt.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v130k: Parties, politicians corrupt (N=3337)

v130k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		348	10,4	10,4	10,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		813	24,4	24,4	34,8
3	0 part/part		987	29,6	29,6	64,4
4	+1 tend to agree		614	18,4	18,4	82,8
5	+2 completely agree		328	9,8	9,8	92,6
8	don't know		229	6,9	6,9	99,5
9	refused		18	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140aa - Important problems FRG: 1

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_A First mention

- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 9 monetary stability
- 10 wage policy
- 11 interest rate policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic boom
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 17 intensify exports
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 21 agricultural policy
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 26 cut down of development aid
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 35 support for the Third World
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy
- 39 promotion of further education
- 40 educational policy in general

- 41 drug abuse
- 42 AIDS problem
- 43 expense aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social network'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 47 support for welfare service
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 64 clarification of ownership structures
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic transport policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 78 solving the waste problems
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 83 Treuhand problem
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 89 better/other government
- 91 preservation of democracy
- 96 there are only problems
- 97 other

- 98 no problems
- 99 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ab - Important problems FRG: 2

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_B Second mention

- 0 INAP: no further problems mentioned
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 9 monetary stability
- 10 wage policy
- 11 interest rate policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic boom
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 17 intensify exports
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 21 agricultural policy
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 26 cut down of development aid
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 35 support for the Third World
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy
- 39 promotion of further education

- 40 educational policy in general
- 41 drug abuse
- 42 AIDS problem
- 43 expense aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social network'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 47 support for welfare service
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 64 clarification of ownership structures
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic transport policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 78 solving the waste problems
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 83 Treuhand problem
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 89 better/other government
- 91 preservation of democracy
- 96 there are only problems

- 97 other
- 98 no problems
- 99 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ac - Important problems FRG: 3

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_C Third mention

- 0 INAP: no further problems mentioned
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 9 monetary stability
- 10 wage policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic boom
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 17 intensify exports
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 21 agricultural policy
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 26 cut down of development aid
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy
- 39 promotion of further education
- 40 educational policy in general
- 41 drug abuse

- 42 AIDS problem
- 43 expense aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social network'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic transport policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 83 Treuhand problem
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 89 better/other government
- 91 preservation of democracy
- 97 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ad - Important problems FRG: 4

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_D Fourth mention

- 0 INAP: no further problems mentioned
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 9 monetary stability
- 10 wage policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic boom
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 21 agricultural policy
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 26 cut down of development aid
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 35 support for the Third World
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy
- 39 promotion of further education
- 40 educational policy in general
- 41 drug abuse

- 43 expense aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social network'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic transport policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 83 Treuhand problem
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 89 better/other government
- 91 preservation of democracy
- 97 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ae - Important problems FRG: 5

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_E Fifth mention

- 0 INAP: no further problems mentioned
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 10 wage policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic boom
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 35 support for the Third World
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy
- 39 promotion of further education
- 40 educational policy in general
- 41 drug abuse
- 43 expense aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social network'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 47 support for welfare service

- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 64 clarification of ownership structures
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic transport policy
- 77 environmental protection
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 91 preservation of democracy
- 97 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140af - Important problems FRG: 6

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_F Sixth mention

- 0 INAP: no further problems mentioned
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 10 wage policy
- 11 interest rate policy
- 12 EURO
- 16 standard of living
- 18 investment incentives
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy
- 40 educational policy in general
- 41 drug abuse
- 43 expense aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social network'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 51 youth policy
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners

- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 75 traffic transport policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 89 better/other government
- 97 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwiprob1 - Most important problem FRG

Q21

I am going to read the problems, which you have mentioned again. Would you please tell me which of these problems is most important and which is second most important to you.

<INT.: Read annotations from A to F and encircle the most important and second most important problem mentioned in the scheme to question 21.>

Q21_1 Most important problem

- 0 INAP.: no problems (DK/NA in V140AA)
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 9 monetary stability
- 10 wage policy
- 11 interest rate policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic upswing
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 17 intensify exports
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 21 agricultural policy
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 26 cut down of development aid
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 35 support for the Third World
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy

- 39 promotion of further education
- 40 educational policy
- 41 drug abuse
- 42 AIDS problem
- 43 cost aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social net'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 47 support for welfare service
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 64 clarification of ownership structures
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 78 solving the waste problems
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 83 Treuhand problem
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 89 better/other government
- 91 preservation of democracy

- 96 there are only problems
- 97 other
- 98 no problems
- 99 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwiprob2 - 2nd most important problem FRG

Q21

I am going to read the problems, which you have mentioned again. Would you please tell me which of these problems is most important and which is second most important to you.

<INT.: Read annotations from A to F and encircle the most important and second most important problem mentioned in the scheme to question 21.>

Q21_2 Second most important problem

- 0 INAP.: no problems (DK/NA in V140AA)
- 1 unemployment in general
- 2 employment creation
- 3 secure jobs
- 4 fight youth unemployment
- 5 improvement of employment conditions
- 7 other problems connected with job market
- 8 price stability
- 9 monetary stability
- 10 wage policy
- 11 interest rate policy
- 12 EURO
- 13 stable economy
- 14 economic upswing
- 15 economic recovery in the new federal states
- 16 standard of living
- 17 intensify exports
- 18 investment incentives
- 19 support for research
- 20 infrastructural measures
- 21 agricultural policy
- 22 fiscal policy
- 23 reduction of national debt
- 24 appropriation of public funds
- 25 financing the new federal states
- 26 cut down of development aid
- 27 finances in general
- 28 economic policy in general
- 29 European policy
- 30 'Ostpolitik'
- 31 security policy, NATO
- 32 peacekeeping
- 33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
- 35 support for the Third World
- 36 foreign policy in general
- 37 education policy
- 38 higher education policy

- 39 promotion of further education
- 40 educational policy
- 41 drug abuse
- 42 AIDS problem
- 43 cost aspects in the health care system
- 44 health policy in general
- 45 consolidation of the 'social net'
- 46 cut down social benefits
- 47 support for welfare service
- 48 support for families
- 49 more child care
- 50 equal opportunities for men and women
- 51 youth policy
- 52 policy for senior citizens
- 53 policy concerning pensions
- 54 more public housing
- 55 cultural and educational policy
- 56 preservation of mores and morals
- 57 compliance with human dignity
- 58 against foreigners
- 59 pro foreigners
- 60 immigration problem in general
- 61 reduction of bureaucracy
- 62 more referendums
- 63 reunification
- 64 clarification of ownership structures
- 67 social policy
- 68 internal security
- 69 improvement of the constitutional state
- 70 fighting extremism
- 71 fighting right-wing extremism
- 72 fighting left extremism
- 73 juvenile delinquency
- 74 local government and (federal) state politics
- 75 traffic policy
- 76 domestic policy in general
- 77 environmental protection
- 78 solving the waste problems
- 79 nuclear energy
- 80 energy policy
- 81 other problems connected with environment/energy
- 82 safeguarding the future
- 83 Treuhand problem
- 84 coming to terms with the past
- 85 encourage national consciousness
- 86 populist politics
- 87 contain corruption
- 88 cooperation of the parties
- 89 better/other government
- 91 preservation of democracy

- 96 there are only problems
- 97 other
- 98 no problems
- 99 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v150 - Qualified: most important problem

Q22

<INT.: Hand white list 5 to respondent.>

The most important problem to you is ...

<Int.: read the most important problem from Q21>

Which party, do you think, would be more qualified to solve this problem?

<INT.: Allow only one response.>

0 INAP.: no (important) problems mentioned/refused in V140AA

1 CDU (or: CSU)

2 SPD

3 FDP

4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

5 Republikaner

6 PDS

7 DVU

8 other party

9 all equally qualified

10 neither

98 don't know <Int.: don't read>

99 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v150: Qualified: most important problem (N=3337)

v150

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		12	0,4	0,4	0,4
1	CDU (or CSU)		727	21,8	21,8	22,1
2	SPD		1193	35,8	35,8	57,9
3	FDP		40	1,2	1,2	59,1
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		102	3,1	3,1	62,2
5	Republikaner		42	1,3	1,3	63,4
6	PDS		96	2,9	2,9	66,3
7	DVU		24	0,7	0,7	67,0
8	other party		16	0,5	0,5	67,5
9	all equally qualified		183	5,5	5,5	73,0
10	neither		598	17,9	17,9	90,9
98	don't know		276	8,3	8,3	99,2
99	refused		28	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v160 - Qualified: 2nd most important problem

Q22_a

<INT.: Hand white list 5 again to respondent.>

The second most important problem to you is ...

<Int.: read the second most important problem from Q21>

Which party, do you think, would be more qualified to solve this problem?

<INT.: Allow only one response.>

0 INAP.: only one/no (important) problem mentioned

1 CDU (or: CSU)

2 SPD

3 FDP

4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

5 Republikaner

6 PDS

7 DVU

8 other party

9 all equally qualified

10 neither

98 don't know <Int.: don't read>

99 refused

ZA3066, v160: Qualified: 2nd most important problem (N=3337)

v160

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		163	4,9	4,9	4,9
1	CDU (or CSU)		685	20,5	20,5	25,4
2	SPD		1055	31,6	31,6	57,0
3	FDP		55	1,6	1,6	58,7
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		200	6,0	6,0	64,7
5	Republikaner		65	1,9	1,9	66,6
6	PDS		120	3,6	3,6	70,2
7	DVU		34	1,0	1,0	71,2
8	other party		21	0,6	0,6	71,9
9	all equally qualified		183	5,5	5,5	77,3
10	neither		453	13,6	13,6	90,9
98	don't know		276	8,3	8,3	99,2
99	refused		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170a - Politicians pay attention to people

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_A Politicians pay attention to what common people think.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170a: Politicians pay attention to people (N=3337)

v170a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		854	25,6	25,6	25,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		1095	32,8	32,8	58,4
3	0 part/part		755	22,6	22,6	81,0
4	+1 tend to agree		479	14,4	14,4	95,4
5	+2 completely agree		102	3,1	3,1	98,4
8	don't know		48	1,4	1,4	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170b - People no influence on government

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_B People like me don't have any influence on the government.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170b: People no influence on government (N=3337)

v170b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		181	5,4	5,4	5,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		457	13,7	13,7	19,1
3	0 part/part		515	15,4	15,4	34,6
4	+1 tend to agree		998	29,9	29,9	64,5
5	+2 completely agree		1156	34,6	34,6	99,1
8	don't know		27	0,8	0,8	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170d - Politics too complicated

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_D Politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot understand what's going on.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170d: Politics too complicated (N=3337)

v170d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		598	17,9	17,9	17,9
2	-1 tend to disagree		877	26,3	26,3	44,2
3	0 part/part		811	24,3	24,3	68,5
4	+1 tend to agree		611	18,3	18,3	86,8
5	+2 completely agree		392	11,7	11,7	98,6
8	don't know		39	1,2	1,2	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170f - Members of the Bundestag: contact with population

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_F The members of the Bundestag try to stay in close contact with the population.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170f: Members of the Bundestag: contact with population (N=3337)

v170f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		821	24,6	24,6	24,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		1043	31,3	31,3	55,9
3	0 part/part		852	25,5	25,5	81,4
4	+1 tend to agree		447	13,4	13,4	94,8
5	+2 completely agree		75	2,2	2,2	97,0
8	don't know		95	2,8	2,8	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170g - Citizens hardly influence politics

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_G The citizens hardly have a possibility to influence politics.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170g: Citizens hardly influence politics (N=3337)

v170g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		140	4,2	4,2	4,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		555	16,6	16,6	20,8
3	0 part/part		620	18,6	18,6	39,4
4	+1 tend to agree		1085	32,5	32,5	71,9
5	+2 completely agree		893	26,8	26,8	98,7
8	don't know		38	1,1	1,1	99,8
9	refused		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170h - Right to stand up own opinion

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_H Everybody should have the right to argue for his/her own opinion, even if the majority has a different opinion.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170h: Right to stand up own opinion (N=3337)

v170h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		11	0,3	0,3	0,3
2	-1 tend to disagree		61	1,8	1,8	2,2
3	0 part/part		273	8,2	8,2	10,3
4	+1 tend to agree		1057	31,7	31,7	42,0
5	+2 completely agree		1884	56,5	56,5	98,5
8	don't know		46	1,4	1,4	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170i - Respondent: active role in political group

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_I I believe that I'm capable of playing an active role in a group which is concerned with political issues.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170i: Respondent: active role in political group (N=3337)

v170i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		848	25,4	25,4	25,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		676	20,3	20,3	45,7
3	0 part/part		677	20,3	20,3	66,0
4	+1 tend to agree		684	20,5	20,5	86,5
5	+2 completely agree		355	10,6	10,6	97,1
8	don't know		82	2,5	2,5	99,6
9	refused		15	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170k - No democracy without opposition

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_K A viable democracy isn't possible without a political opposition.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170k: No democracy without opposition (N=3337)

v170k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		27	0,8	0,8	0,8
2	-1 tend to disagree		87	2,6	2,6	3,4
3	0 part/part		404	12,1	12,1	15,5
4	+1 tend to agree		973	29,2	29,2	44,7
5	+2 completely agree		1708	51,2	51,2	95,9
8	don't know		134	4,0	4,0	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170I - Respondent: understand political issues

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_L I'm capable of understanding and evaluating political issues.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170I: Respondent: understand political issues (N=3337)

v170I

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		125	3,7	3,7	3,7
2	-1 tend to disagree		338	10,1	10,1	13,9
3	0 part/part		857	25,7	25,7	39,6
4	+1 tend to agree		1225	36,7	36,7	76,3
5	+2 completely agree		733	22,0	22,0	98,2
8	don't know		50	1,5	1,5	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170m - Duty of citizens: electoral participation

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_M In a democracy regular electoral participation is the duty of the citizens.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170m: Duty of citizens: electoral participation (N=3337)

v170m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		102	3,1	3,1	3,1
2	-1 tend to disagree		116	3,5	3,5	6,5
3	0 part/part		396	11,9	11,9	18,4
4	+1 tend to agree		800	24,0	24,0	42,4
5	+2 completely agree		1855	55,6	55,6	98,0
8	don't know		55	1,6	1,6	99,6
9	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170o - Every party: chance government

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_0 On principle, every democratic party should have the chance to come to power.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170o: Every party: chance government (N=3337)

v170o

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		100	3,0	3,0	3,0
2	-1 tend to disagree		204	6,1	6,1	9,1
3	0 part/part		519	15,6	15,6	24,7
4	+1 tend to agree		1096	32,8	32,8	57,5
5	+2 completely agree		1320	39,6	39,6	97,1
8	don't know		94	2,8	2,8	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171a - Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v171a: Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU (N=3337)

v171a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		725	21,7	21,7	21,7
2	2		770	23,1	23,1	44,8
3	3		683	20,5	20,5	65,3
4	4		467	14,0	14,0	79,3
5	5		177	5,3	5,3	84,6
6	6		50	1,5	1,5	86,1
7	7 immediate shut-down		29	0,9	0,9	86,9
8	don't know		407	12,2	12,2	99,1
9	refused		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171b - Nuclear energy: SPD

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v171b: Nuclear energy: SPD (N=3337)

v171b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		62	1,9	1,9	1,9
2	2		120	3,6	3,6	5,5
3	3		367	11,0	11,0	16,5
4	4		747	22,4	22,4	38,8
5	5		963	28,9	28,9	67,7
6	6		454	13,6	13,6	81,3
7	7 immediate shut-down		172	5,2	5,2	86,5
8	don't know		422	12,6	12,6	99,1
9	refused		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171c - Nuclear energy: FDP

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_C What do you think is the political point of view of the F.D.P.?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v171c: Nuclear energy: FDP (N=3337)

v171c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		377	11,3	11,3	11,3
2	2		539	16,2	16,2	27,4
3	3		687	20,6	20,6	48,0
4	4		645	19,3	19,3	67,4
5	5		197	5,9	5,9	73,3
6	6		77	2,3	2,3	75,6
7	7 immediate shut-down		34	1,0	1,0	76,6
8	don't know		749	22,4	22,4	99,0
9	refused		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171d - Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v171d: Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v171d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		59	1,8	1,8	1,8
2	2		34	1,0	1,0	2,8
3	3		34	1,0	1,0	3,8
4	4		79	2,4	2,4	6,2
5	5		72	2,2	2,2	8,3
6	6		394	11,8	11,8	20,1
7	7 immediate shut-down		2331	69,9	69,9	90,0
8	don't know		305	9,1	9,1	99,1
9	refused		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171e - Nuclear energy: Republikaner

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v171e: Nuclear energy: Republikaner (N=3337)

v171e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		189	5,7	5,7	5,7
2	2		171	5,1	5,1	10,8
3	3		199	6,0	6,0	16,8
4	4		388	11,6	11,6	28,4
5	5		156	4,7	4,7	33,1
6	6		84	2,5	2,5	35,6
7	7 immediate shut-down		166	5,0	5,0	40,5
8	don't know		1944	58,3	58,3	98,8
9	refused		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171f - Nuclear energy: PDS

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v171f: Nuclear energy: PDS (N=3337)

v171f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		47	1,4	1,4	1,4
2	2		75	2,2	2,2	3,7
3	3		178	5,3	5,3	9,0
4	4		467	14,0	14,0	23,0
5	5		393	11,8	11,8	34,8
6	6		284	8,5	8,5	43,3
7	7 immediate shut-down		250	7,5	7,5	50,8
8	don't know		1603	48,0	48,0	98,8
9	refused		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171g - Nuclear energy: DVU

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_G What do you think is the political point of view of the DVU?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v171g: Nuclear energy: DVU (N=3337)

v171g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		156	4,7	4,7	4,7
2	2		131	3,9	3,9	8,6
3	3		183	5,5	5,5	14,1
4	4		309	9,3	9,3	23,3
5	5		134	4,0	4,0	27,4
6	6		107	3,2	3,2	30,6
7	7 immediate shut-down		152	4,6	4,6	35,1
8	don't know		2121	63,6	63,6	98,7
9	refused		44	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172a - Immigration: CDU/CSU

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v172a: Immigration: CDU/CSU (N=3337)

v172a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		157	4,7	4,7	4,7
2	2		201	6,0	6,0	10,7
3	3		295	8,8	8,8	19,6
4	4		478	14,3	14,3	33,9
5	5		666	20,0	20,0	53,9
6	6		681	20,4	20,4	74,3
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		571	17,1	17,1	91,4
8	don't know		257	7,7	7,7	99,1
9	refused		31	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172b - Immigration: SPD

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v172b: Immigration: SPD (N=3337)

v172b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		181	5,4	5,4	5,4
2	2		410	12,3	12,3	17,7
3	3		772	23,1	23,1	40,8
4	4		905	27,1	27,1	68,0
5	5		440	13,2	13,2	81,2
6	6		209	6,3	6,3	87,4
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		94	2,8	2,8	90,2
8	don't know		296	8,9	8,9	99,1
9	refused		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172c - Immigration: FDP

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_C What do you think is the political point of view of the F.D.P. on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v172c: Immigration: FDP (N=3337)

v172c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		82	2,5	2,5	2,5
2	2		167	5,0	5,0	7,5
3	3		374	11,2	11,2	18,7
4	4		768	23,0	23,0	41,7
5	5		622	18,6	18,6	60,3
6	6		427	12,8	12,8	73,1
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		236	7,1	7,1	80,2
8	don't know		631	18,9	18,9	99,1
9	refused		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172d - Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v172d: Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v172d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		829	24,8	24,8	24,8
2	2		755	22,6	22,6	47,5
3	3		443	13,3	13,3	60,7
4	4		342	10,2	10,2	71,0
5	5		180	5,4	5,4	76,4
6	6		140	4,2	4,2	80,6
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		96	2,9	2,9	83,5
8	don't know		520	15,6	15,6	99,0
9	refused		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172e - Immigration: Republikaner

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v172e: Immigration: Republikaner (N=3337)

v172e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		87	2,6	2,6	2,6
2	2		27	0,8	0,8	3,4
3	3		36	1,1	1,1	4,5
4	4		53	1,6	1,6	6,1
5	5		59	1,8	1,8	7,9
6	6		164	4,9	4,9	12,8
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		2337	70,0	70,0	82,8
8	don't know		539	16,2	16,2	99,0
9	refused		35	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172f - Immigration: PDS

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v172f: Immigration: PDS (N=3337)

v172f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		200	6,0	6,0	6,0
2	2		260	7,8	7,8	13,8
3	3		396	11,9	11,9	25,7
4	4		481	14,4	14,4	40,1
5	5		260	7,8	7,8	47,9
6	6		164	4,9	4,9	52,8
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		420	12,6	12,6	65,4
8	don't know		1115	33,4	33,4	98,8
9	refused		41	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172g - Immigration: DVU

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand beige list 8 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<Int.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_G What do you think is the political point of view of the DVU on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know <don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v172g: Immigration: DVU (N=3337)

v172g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		63	1,9	1,9	1,9
2	2		33	1,0	1,0	2,9
3	3		30	0,9	0,9	3,8
4	4		76	2,3	2,3	6,1
5	5		69	2,1	2,1	8,1
6	6		166	5,0	5,0	13,1
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		1999	59,9	59,9	73,0
8	don't know		858	25,7	25,7	98,7
9	refused		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173a - European unification: CDU/CSU

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v173a: European unification: CDU/CSU (N=3337)

v173a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		1404	42,1	42,1	42,1
2	2		710	21,3	21,3	63,4
3	3		385	11,5	11,5	74,9
4	4		194	5,8	5,8	80,7
5	5		126	3,8	3,8	84,5
6	6		94	2,8	2,8	87,3
7	7 EU unification already too far		101	3,0	3,0	90,3
8	don't know		295	8,8	8,8	99,2
9	refused		28	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173b - European unification: SPD

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v173b: European unification: SPD (N=3337)

v173b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		424	12,7	12,7	12,7
2	2		662	19,8	19,8	32,5
3	3		821	24,6	24,6	57,1
4	4		649	19,4	19,4	76,6
5	5		248	7,4	7,4	84,0
6	6		93	2,8	2,8	86,8
7	7 EU unification already too far		52	1,6	1,6	88,4
8	don't know		359	10,8	10,8	99,1
9	refused		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173c - European unification: FDP

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_C What do you think is the political point of view of the F.D.P. on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v173c: European unification: FDP (N=3337)

v173c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		660	19,8	19,8	19,8
2	2		712	21,3	21,3	41,1
3	3		594	17,8	17,8	58,9
4	4		459	13,8	13,8	72,7
5	5		182	5,5	5,5	78,1
6	6		98	2,9	2,9	81,1
7	7 EU unification already too far		56	1,7	1,7	82,7
8	don't know		545	16,3	16,3	99,1
9	refused		31	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173d - European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v173d: European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v173d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		252	7,6	7,6	7,6
2	2		380	11,4	11,4	18,9
3	3		593	17,8	17,8	36,7
4	4		686	20,6	20,6	57,3
5	5		413	12,4	12,4	69,6
6	6		185	5,5	5,5	75,2
7	7 EU unification already too far		80	2,4	2,4	77,6
8	don't know		717	21,5	21,5	99,1
9	refused		31	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173e - European unification: Republikaner

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v173e: European unification: Republikaner (N=3337)

v173e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		89	2,7	2,7	2,7
2	2		41	1,2	1,2	3,9
3	3		64	1,9	1,9	5,8
4	4		125	3,7	3,7	9,6
5	5		192	5,8	5,8	15,3
6	6		433	13,0	13,0	28,3
7	7 EU unification already too far		1401	42,0	42,0	70,3
8	don't know		959	28,7	28,7	99,0
9	refused		33	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173f - European unification: PDS

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v173f: European unification: PDS (N=3337)

v173f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		72	2,2	2,2	2,2
2	2		128	3,8	3,8	6,0
3	3		314	9,4	9,4	15,4
4	4		579	17,4	17,4	32,8
5	5		348	10,4	10,4	43,2
6	6		218	6,5	6,5	49,7
7	7 EU unification already too far		354	10,6	10,6	60,3
8	don't know		1285	38,5	38,5	98,8
9	refused		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173g - European unification: DVU

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_G What do you think is the political point of view of the DVU on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v173g: European unification: DVU (N=3337)

v173g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		83	2,5	2,5	2,5
2	2		26	0,8	0,8	3,3
3	3		58	1,7	1,7	5,0
4	4		120	3,6	3,6	8,6
5	5		134	4,0	4,0	12,6
6	6		336	10,1	10,1	22,7
7	7 EU unification already too far		1326	39,7	39,7	62,4
8	don't know		1217	36,5	36,5	98,9
9	refused		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v174a - Personal opinion: nuclear energy

Q27

<INT.: Hand beige list 10 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q27_A What's your opinion on nuclear energy?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v174a: Personal opinion: nuclear energy (N=3337)

v174a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		141	4,2	4,2	4,2
2	2		211	6,3	6,3	10,5
3	3		432	12,9	12,9	23,5
4	4		836	25,1	25,1	48,5
5	5		619	18,5	18,5	67,1
6	6		425	12,7	12,7	79,8
7	7 immediate shut-down		462	13,8	13,8	93,7
8	don't know		173	5,2	5,2	98,9
9	refused		38	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v174b - Personal opinion: immigration

Q27

<INT.: Hand beige list 10 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q27_B What's your opinion on immigration possibilities for foreigners?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v174b: Personal opinion: immigration (N=3337)

v174b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration poss.		116	3,5	3,5	3,5
2	2		181	5,4	5,4	8,9
3	3		293	8,8	8,8	17,7
4	4		615	18,4	18,4	36,1
5	5		559	16,8	16,8	52,9
6	6		544	16,3	16,3	69,2
7	7 restriction of immigration poss.		905	27,1	27,1	96,3
8	don't know		79	2,4	2,4	98,7
9	refused		45	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v174c - Personal opinion: European unification

Q27

<INT.: Hand beige list 10 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q27_C What's your opinion on European unification?

- 1 1 speed up the EU unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 EU unification already too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v174c: Personal opinion: European unification (N=3337)

v174c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the EU unification		302	9,1	9,1	9,1
2	2		428	12,8	12,8	21,9
3	3		543	16,3	16,3	38,1
4	4		800	24,0	24,0	62,1
5	5		380	11,4	11,4	73,5
6	6		298	8,9	8,9	82,4
7	7 EU unification already too far		375	11,2	11,2	93,7
8	don't know		171	5,1	5,1	98,8
9	refused		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v175a - Important: nuclear energy

Q28

<INT.: Hand white list 11 to respondent .>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item. >

Q28_A Nuclear energy

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 part/part
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v175a: Important: nuclear energy (N=3337)

v175a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		884	26,5	26,5	26,5
2	important		1307	39,2	39,2	65,7
3	part/part		757	22,7	22,7	88,3
4	not so important		262	7,9	7,9	96,2
5	completely unimportant		45	1,3	1,3	97,5
8	don't know		65	1,9	1,9	99,5
9	refused		17	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v175b - Important: immigration

Q28

<INT.: Hand white list 11 to respondent .>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item. >

Q28_B Immigration possibilities for foreigners

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 part/part
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v175b: Important: immigration (N=3337)

v175b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		1219	36,5	36,5	36,5
2	important		1236	37,0	37,0	73,6
3	part/part		567	17,0	17,0	90,6
4	not so important		198	5,9	5,9	96,5
5	completely unimportant		59	1,8	1,8	98,3
8	don't know		39	1,2	1,2	99,4
9	refused		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v175c - Important: European unification

Q28

<INT.: Hand white list 11 to respondent .>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item. >

Q28_C European unification

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 part/part
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v175c: Important: European unification (N=3337)

v175c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		683	20,5	20,5	20,5
2	important		1192	35,7	35,7	56,2
3	part/part		902	27,0	27,0	83,2
4	not so important		363	10,9	10,9	94,1
5	completely unimportant		118	3,5	3,5	97,6
8	don't know		61	1,8	1,8	99,5
9	refused		18	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v176a - Important: providing jobs

Q29

<INT.: Hand beige list 12 to respondent.>

Now here are some more topics. How important do you think are these measures?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item. >

Q29_A Providing a job for every person who wants to work

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 part/part
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v176a: Important: providing jobs (N=3337)

v176a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		2529	75,8	75,8	75,8
2	important		651	19,5	19,5	95,3
3	part/part		119	3,6	3,6	98,9
4	not so important		12	0,4	0,4	99,2
5	completely unimportant		3	0,1	0,1	99,3
8	don't know		15	0,4	0,4	99,8
9	refused		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v176b - Important: support new technologies

Q29

<INT.: Hand beige list 12 to respondent.>

Now here are some more topics. How important do you think are these measures?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item. >

Q29_B Support for the industry's development of new products and technologies

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 part/part
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v176b: Important: support new technologies (N=3337)

v176b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		1287	38,6	38,6	38,6
2	important		1309	39,2	39,2	77,8
3	part/part		515	15,4	15,4	93,2
4	not so important		115	3,4	3,4	96,7
5	completely unimportant		27	0,8	0,8	97,5
8	don't know		78	2,3	2,3	99,8
9	refused		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v176c - Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women

Q29

<INT.: Hand beige list 12 to respondent.>

Now here are some more topics. How important do you think are these measures?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item. >

Q29_C Promotion of equal opportunities for women

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 part/part
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v176c: Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women (N=3337)

v176c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		1254	37,6	37,6	37,6
2	important		1231	36,9	36,9	74,5
3	part/part		590	17,7	17,7	92,1
4	not so important		163	4,9	4,9	97,0
5	completely unimportant		55	1,6	1,6	98,7
8	don't know		40	1,2	1,2	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v177a - State responsible: provide job

Q30

<INT.: Hand white list 13 to respondent.>

Please tell me using this list, to what extent the state should be responsible for the listed items. The state should...

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q30_A Providing a job for every person who wants to work

- 1 definitely be responsible,
- 2 partially be responsible,
- 3 rather not be responsible or
- 4 definitely not be responsible.
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v177a: State responsible: provide job (N=3337)

v177a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	definitely be responsible		1657	49,7	49,7	49,7
2	partially be responsible		1327	39,8	39,8	89,4
3	rather not be responsible		244	7,3	7,3	96,7
4	definitely not be responsible		65	1,9	1,9	98,7
8	don't know		41	1,2	1,2	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v177b - State responsible: support new technologies

Q30

<INT.: Hand white list 13 to respondent.>

Please tell me using this list, to what extent the state should be responsible for the listed items. The state should...

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q30_B Support for the industry's development of new products and technologies.

- 1 definitely be responsible,
- 2 partially be responsible,
- 3 rather not be responsible or
- 4 definitely not be responsible.
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v177b: State responsible: support new technologies (N=3337)

v177b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	definitely be responsible		1169	35,0	35,0	35,0
2	partially be responsible		1539	46,1	46,1	81,2
3	rather not be responsible		428	12,8	12,8	94,0
4	definitely not be responsible		79	2,4	2,4	96,3
8	don't know		117	3,5	3,5	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v177c - State responsible: promotion of equal opportunities for women

Q30

<INT.: Hand white list 13 to respondent.>

Please tell me using this list, to what extent the state should be responsible for the listed items. The state should...

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q30_C Promotion of equal opportunities for women

- 1 definitely be responsible,
- 2 partially be responsible,
- 3 rather not be responsible or
- 4 definitely not be responsible.
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v177c: State responsible: promotion of equal opportunities for women (N=3337)

v177c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	definitely be responsible		1458	43,7	43,7	43,7
2	partially be responsible		1309	39,2	39,2	82,9
3	rather not be responsible		380	11,4	11,4	94,3
4	definitely not be responsible		101	3,0	3,0	97,3
8	don't know		81	2,4	2,4	99,8
9	refused		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v178a - Satisfied state: provide jobs

Q31

<INT.: Hand beige list 14 to respondent.>

Please tell me how satisfied you are with how the state executes these tasks. Are you very satisfied, satisfied, partly satisfied/partly not satisfied, rather dissatisfied or completely dissatisfied with it?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q31_A Providing a job for every person who wants to work

- 1 very satisfied
- 2 satisfied
- 3 partly/partly
- 4 rather dissatisfied
- 5 completely dissatisfied
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v178a: Satisfied state: provide jobs (N=3337)

v178a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very satisfied		56	1,7	1,7	1,7
2	satisfied		191	5,7	5,7	7,4
3	partly satisfied/partly not satisfied		786	23,6	23,6	31,0
4	rather dissatisfied		1166	34,9	34,9	65,9
5	completely dissatisfied		1083	32,5	32,5	98,4
8	don't know		44	1,3	1,3	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v178b - Satisfied state: support new technologies

Q31

<INT.: Hand beige list 14 to respondent.>

Please tell me how satisfied you are with how the state executes these tasks. Are you very satisfied, satisfied, partly satisfied/partly not satisfied, rather dissatisfied or completely dissatisfied with it?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q31_B Support for the industry's development of new products and technologies

- 1 very satisfied
- 2 satisfied
- 3 partly/partly
- 4 rather dissatisfied
- 5 completely dissatisfied
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v178b: Satisfied state: support new technologies (N=3337)

v178b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very satisfied		69	2,1	2,1	2,1
2	satisfied		603	18,1	18,1	20,1
3	partly satisfied/partly not satisfied		1463	43,8	43,8	64,0
4	rather dissatisfied		592	17,7	17,7	81,7
5	completely dissatisfied		216	6,5	6,5	88,2
8	don't know		383	11,5	11,5	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v178c - Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women

Q31

<INT.: Hand beige list 14 to respondent.>

Please tell me how satisfied you are with how the state executes these tasks. Are you very satisfied, satisfied, partly satisfied/partly not satisfied, rather dissatisfied or completely dissatisfied with it?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q31_C Promotion of equal opportunities for women

- 1 very satisfied
- 2 satisfied
- 3 partly/partly
- 4 rather dissatisfied
- 5 completely dissatisfied
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v178c: Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women (N=3337)

v178c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very satisfied		82	2,5	2,5	2,5
2	satisfied		607	18,2	18,2	20,6
3	partly satisfied/partly not satisfied		1337	40,1	40,1	60,7
4	rather dissatisfied		787	23,6	23,6	84,3
5	completely dissatisfied		331	9,9	9,9	94,2
8	don't know		182	5,5	5,5	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v179 - Respondent lived where July 1989?

Q32

Where did you live in July 1989, i.e. just before the 'Wende': in the former FRG, in the former GDR or already abroad for a few years?

<If code 2, go to question 33b. >

<If code 3, go to question 34.>

- 1 former FRG
- 2 former GDR
- 3 already abroad for a few years
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v179: Respondent lived where July 1989? (N=3337)

v179

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	former FRG		2170	65,0	65,0	65,0
2	former GDR		1112	33,3	33,3	98,4
3	already abroad for a few years		54	1,6	1,6	100,0
9	refused		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180aspw - FRG 89: standard of living

Q33a

<INT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

F33a_A Personal standard of living

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180aspw: FRG 89: standard of living (N=3337)

v180aspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1167	35,0	35,0	35,0
1	a lot better		118	3,5	3,5	38,5
2	better		638	19,1	19,1	57,6
3	the same		1166	34,9	34,9	92,6
4	worse		189	5,7	5,7	98,2
5	a lot worse		18	0,5	0,5	98,8
8	don't know		35	1,0	1,0	99,8
9	refused		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180bspw - FRG 1989: fair distribution income

Q33a

<INT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33a_B Fair distribution of income

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180bspw: FRG 1989: fair distribution income (N=3337)

v180bspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1167	35,0	35,0	35,0
1	a lot better		103	3,1	3,1	38,1
2	better		685	20,5	20,5	58,6
3	the same		1003	30,1	30,1	88,6
4	worse		238	7,1	7,1	95,8
5	a lot worse		33	1,0	1,0	96,8
8	don't know		101	3,0	3,0	99,8
9	refused		7	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180cspw - FRG 1989: social security

Q33a

<INT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33a_C Social security

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180cspw: FRG 1989: social security (N=3337)

v180cspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1167	35,0	35,0	35,0
1	a lot better		210	6,3	6,3	41,3
2	better		814	24,4	24,4	65,7
3	the same		745	22,3	22,3	88,0
4	worse		281	8,4	8,4	96,4
5	a lot worse		57	1,7	1,7	98,1
8	don't know		58	1,7	1,7	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180dspw - FRG 1989: company of people

Q33a

<INT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

F33a_D Sticking together of the people among each other

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180dspw: FRG 1989: company of people (N=3337)

v180dspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1167	35,0	35,0	35,0
1	a lot better		116	3,5	3,5	38,4
2	better		575	17,2	17,2	55,7
3	the same		1064	31,9	31,9	87,6
4	worse		255	7,6	7,6	95,2
5	a lot worse		78	2,3	2,3	97,5
8	don't know		79	2,4	2,4	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180espw - FRG 1989: protection crime

Q33a

<INT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33a_E Protection of the people against crime

<INT.: after question 33a has been answered --> continue directly with question 34>

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180espw: FRG 1989: protection crime (N=3337)

v180espw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1167	35,0	35,0	35,0
1	a lot better		235	7,0	7,0	42,0
2	better		585	17,5	17,5	59,5
3	the same		884	26,5	26,5	86,0
4	worse		251	7,5	7,5	93,6
5	a lot worse		148	4,4	4,4	98,0
8	don't know		63	1,9	1,9	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180aspo - GDR 1989: standard of living

Q33b

<INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_A Personal standard of living

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180aspo: GDR 1989: standard of living (N=3337)

v180aspo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2225	66,7	66,7	66,7
1	a lot better		63	1,9	1,9	68,6
2	better		250	7,5	7,5	76,1
3	the same		318	9,5	9,5	85,6
4	worse		408	12,2	12,2	97,8
5	a lot worse		48	1,4	1,4	99,3
8	don't know		24	0,7	0,7	100,0
9	refused		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180bsp0 - GDR 1989: fair distribution income

Q33b

<INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_B Fair distribution of income

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180bsp0: GDR 1989: fair distribution income (N=3337)

v180bsp0

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2225	66,7	66,7	66,7
1	a lot better		106	3,2	3,2	69,9
2	better		452	13,5	13,5	83,4
3	the same		182	5,5	5,5	88,9
4	worse		264	7,9	7,9	96,8
5	a lot worse		43	1,3	1,3	98,1
8	don't know		63	1,9	1,9	99,9
9	refused		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180cspo - GDR 1989: social security

Q33b

<INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_C Social security

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180cspo: GDR 1989: social security (N=3337)

v180cspo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2225	66,7	66,7	66,7
1	a lot better		470	14,1	14,1	80,8
2	better		393	11,8	11,8	92,5
3	the same		91	2,7	2,7	95,3
4	worse		84	2,5	2,5	97,8
5	a lot worse		60	1,8	1,8	99,6
8	don't know		14	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180dsp0 - GDR 1989: company of people

Q33b

<INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_D Sticking together of the people among each other

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180dsp0: GDR 1989: company of people (N=3337)

v180dsp0

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2225	66,7	66,7	66,7
1	a lot better		509	15,3	15,3	81,9
2	better		397	11,9	11,9	93,8
3	the same		74	2,2	2,2	96,0
4	worse		71	2,1	2,1	98,2
5	a lot worse		47	1,4	1,4	99,6
8	don't know		14	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180espo - GDR 1989: protection crime

Q33b

<INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_E Protection of the people against crime

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v180espo: GDR 1989: protection crime (N=3337)

v180espo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2225	66,7	66,7	66,7
1	a lot better		442	13,2	13,2	79,9
2	better		400	12,0	12,0	91,9
3	the same		123	3,7	3,7	95,6
4	worse		57	1,7	1,7	97,3
5	a lot worse		64	1,9	1,9	99,2
8	don't know		26	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v181 - Know: number federal states

Q34

Do you know of how many federal states the FRG altogether consists today, old and new federal states added up?

98 don't know <Int.: don't read>

99 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v181: Know: number federal states (N=3337)

v181

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
5	5 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	0,0
6	6 federal states		3	0,1	0,1	0,1
7	7 federal states		3	0,1	0,1	0,2
8	8 federal states		4	0,1	0,1	0,3
9	9 federal states		11	0,3	0,3	0,7
10	10 federal states		23	0,7	0,7	1,3
11	11 federal states		36	1,1	1,1	2,4
12	12 federal states		85	2,5	2,5	5,0
13	13 federal states		107	3,2	3,2	8,2
14	14 federal states		132	4,0	4,0	12,1
15	15 federal states		350	10,5	10,5	22,6
16	16 federal states		1494	44,8	44,8	67,4
17	17 federal states		119	3,6	3,6	71,0
18	18 federal states		49	1,5	1,5	72,4
19	19 federal states		21	0,6	0,6	73,1
20	20 federal states		10	0,3	0,3	73,4
21	21 federal states		12	0,4	0,4	73,7
22	22 federal states		5	0,1	0,1	73,9
23	23 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	73,9
24	24 federal states		4	0,1	0,1	74,0
25	25 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	74,0
26	26 federal states		4	0,1	0,1	74,2
27	27 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	74,2
28	28 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	74,2
30	30 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	74,3
98	don't know		850	25,5	25,5	99,7
99	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200a - Think of: CDU

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_A What do you think of the CDU?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200a: Think of: CDU (N=3337)

v200a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		276	8,3	8,3	8,3
2	-4		167	5,0	5,0	13,3
3	-3		335	10,0	10,0	23,3
4	-2		309	9,3	9,3	32,6
5	-1		218	6,5	6,5	39,1
6	0 undecided		373	11,2	11,2	50,3
7	+1		254	7,6	7,6	57,9
8	+2		349	10,5	10,5	68,4
9	+3		452	13,5	13,5	81,9
10	+4		262	7,9	7,9	89,8
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		248	7,4	7,4	97,2
98	don't know		39	1,2	1,2	98,4
99	refused		55	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200b - Think of: CSU

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_B What do you think of the CSU?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200b: Think of: CSU (N=3337)

v200b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		423	12,7	12,7	12,7
2	-4		277	8,3	8,3	21,0
3	-3		318	9,5	9,5	30,5
4	-2		235	7,0	7,0	37,5
5	-1		192	5,8	5,8	43,3
6	0 undecided		409	12,3	12,3	55,6
7	+1		224	6,7	6,7	62,3
8	+2		281	8,4	8,4	70,7
9	+3		327	9,8	9,8	80,5
10	+4		249	7,5	7,5	88,0
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		242	7,3	7,3	95,2
98	don't know		102	3,1	3,1	98,3
99	refused		58	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200c - Think of: SPD

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_C What do you think of the SPD?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200c: Think of: SPD (N=3337)

v200c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		88	2,6	2,6	2,6
2	-4		53	1,6	1,6	4,2
3	-3		142	4,3	4,3	8,5
4	-2		158	4,7	4,7	13,2
5	-1		190	5,7	5,7	18,9
6	0 undecided		442	13,2	13,2	32,2
7	+1		450	13,5	13,5	45,6
8	+2		576	17,3	17,3	62,9
9	+3		601	18,0	18,0	80,9
10	+4		356	10,7	10,7	91,6
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		187	5,6	5,6	97,2
98	don't know		40	1,2	1,2	98,4
99	refused		54	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200d - Think of: FDP

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_D What do you think of the FDP?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200d: Think of: FDP (N=3337)

v200d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		350	10,5	10,5	10,5
2	-4		223	6,7	6,7	17,2
3	-3		321	9,6	9,6	26,8
4	-2		323	9,7	9,7	36,5
5	-1		346	10,4	10,4	46,8
6	0 undecided		708	21,2	21,2	68,1
7	+1		337	10,1	10,1	78,2
8	+2		256	7,7	7,7	85,8
9	+3		180	5,4	5,4	91,2
10	+4		77	2,3	2,3	93,5
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		23	0,7	0,7	94,2
98	don't know		140	4,2	4,2	98,4
99	refused		53	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200e - Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_E What do you think of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200e: Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v200e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		374	11,2	11,2	11,2
2	-4		158	4,7	4,7	15,9
3	-3		252	7,6	7,6	23,5
4	-2		240	7,2	7,2	30,7
5	-1		258	7,7	7,7	38,4
6	0 undecided		623	18,7	18,7	57,1
7	+1		443	13,3	13,3	70,4
8	+2		322	9,6	9,6	80,0
9	+3		282	8,5	8,5	88,5
10	+4		165	4,9	4,9	93,4
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		85	2,5	2,5	96,0
98	don't know		82	2,5	2,5	98,4
99	refused		53	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200f - Think of: Republikaner

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_F What do you think of the Republikaner?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200f: Think of: Republikaner (N=3337)

v200f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		2184	65,4	65,4	65,4
2	-4		267	8,0	8,0	73,4
3	-3		152	4,6	4,6	78,0
4	-2		106	3,2	3,2	81,2
5	-1		70	2,1	2,1	83,3
6	0 undecided		167	5,0	5,0	88,3
7	+1		65	1,9	1,9	90,2
8	+2		50	1,5	1,5	91,7
9	+3		41	1,2	1,2	93,0
10	+4		16	0,5	0,5	93,4
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		25	0,7	0,7	94,2
98	don't know		136	4,1	4,1	98,3
99	refused		58	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200g - Think of: PDS

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_G What do you think of the PDS?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v200g: Think of: PDS (N=3337)

v200g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		1214	36,4	36,4	36,4
2	-4		229	6,9	6,9	43,2
3	-3		239	7,2	7,2	50,4
4	-2		175	5,2	5,2	55,6
5	-1		135	4,0	4,0	59,7
6	0 undecided		408	12,2	12,2	71,9
7	+1		228	6,8	6,8	78,8
8	+2		178	5,3	5,3	84,1
9	+3		130	3,9	3,9	88,0
10	+4		63	1,9	1,9	89,9
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		70	2,1	2,1	92,0
98	don't know		214	6,4	6,4	98,4
99	refused		54	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200h - Think of: DVU

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_H What do you think of the DVU?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v200h: Think of: DVU (N=3337)

v200h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		2236	67,0	67,0	67,0
2	-4		218	6,5	6,5	73,5
3	-3		128	3,8	3,8	77,4
4	-2		73	2,2	2,2	79,6
5	-1		63	1,9	1,9	81,5
6	0 undecided		150	4,5	4,5	85,9
7	+1		47	1,4	1,4	87,4
8	+2		29	0,9	0,9	88,2
9	+3		21	0,6	0,6	88,9
10	+4		10	0,3	0,3	89,2
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		25	0,7	0,7	89,9
98	don't know		277	8,3	8,3	98,2
99	refused		60	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210a - Political goal: order in nation

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q36_1

<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2

And which aim would be the second most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3

And which aim would be the third most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

A Political goal: Maintaining order in the nation

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v210a: Political goal: order in nation (N=3337)

v210a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		1484	44,5	44,5	44,5
2	second most important		876	26,3	26,3	70,7
3	third most important		613	18,4	18,4	89,1
4	fourth most important		327	9,8	9,8	98,9
8	don't know		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210b - Political goal: more say in government decisions

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q36_1

<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2

And which aim would be the second most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3

And which aim would be the third most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

B Political goal: Giving the people more say in government decisions

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v210b: Political goal: more say in government decisions (N=3337)

v210b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		911	27,3	27,3	27,3
2	second most important		850	25,5	25,5	52,8
3	third most important		1007	30,2	30,2	82,9
4	fourth most important		519	15,6	15,6	98,5
8	don't know		50	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210c - Political goal: fight rising prices

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q36_1

<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2

And which aim would be the second most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3

And which aim would be the third most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

C Political goal: Fight against rising prices

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v210c: Political goal: fight rising prices (N=3337)

v210c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		414	12,4	12,4	12,4
2	second most important		833	25,0	25,0	37,4
3	third most important		836	25,1	25,1	62,4
4	fourth most important		1204	36,1	36,1	98,5
8	don't know		50	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210d - Political goal: freedom of speech

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not part of this dataset.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q36_1

<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2

And which aim would be the second most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3

And which aim would be the third most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

D Political goal: Protecting the freedom of speech

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, v210d: Political goal: freedom of speech (N=3337)

v210d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		500	15,0	15,0	15,0
2	second most important		743	22,3	22,3	37,2
3	third most important		826	24,8	24,8	62,0
4	fourth most important		1214	36,4	36,4	98,4
8	don't know		54	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220a - Trust: Bundestag

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_A What about the Bundestag?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220a: Trust: Bundestag (N=3337)

v220a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		183	5,5	5,5	5,5
2	I rather don't trust this institution		426	12,8	12,8	18,2
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1110	33,3	33,3	51,5
4	I more or less trust this institution		1254	37,6	37,6	89,1
5	I completely trust this institution		250	7,5	7,5	96,6
8	don't know		97	2,9	2,9	99,5
9	refused		17	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220b - Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_B What about the Bundesverfassungsgericht?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220b: Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht (N=3337)

v220b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		80	2,4	2,4	2,4
2	I rather don't trust this institution		256	7,7	7,7	10,1
3	I somewhat trust this institution		683	20,5	20,5	30,5
4	I more or less trust this institution		1339	40,1	40,1	70,7
5	I completely trust this institution		810	24,3	24,3	94,9
8	don't know		157	4,7	4,7	99,6
9	refused		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220c - Trust: federal government

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_C What about the federal government?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220c: Trust: federal government (N=3337)

v220c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		312	9,3	9,3	9,3
2	I rather don't trust this institution		553	16,6	16,6	25,9
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1053	31,6	31,6	57,5
4	I more or less trust this institution		1080	32,4	32,4	89,8
5	I completely trust this institution		248	7,4	7,4	97,3
8	don't know		75	2,2	2,2	99,5
9	refused		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220d - Trust: courts

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_D What about the courts?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220d: Trust: courts (N=3337)

v220d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		206	6,2	6,2	6,2
2	I rather don't trust this institution		519	15,6	15,6	21,7
3	I somewhat trust this institution		865	25,9	25,9	47,6
4	I more or less trust this institution		1240	37,2	37,2	84,8
5	I completely trust this institution		385	11,5	11,5	96,3
8	don't know		109	3,3	3,3	99,6
9	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220e - Trust: police

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_E What about the police?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220e: Trust: police (N=3337)

v220e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		109	3,3	3,3	3,3
2	I rather don't trust this institution		329	9,9	9,9	13,1
3	I somewhat trust this institution		787	23,6	23,6	36,7
4	I more or less trust this institution		1464	43,9	43,9	80,6
5	I completely trust this institution		588	17,6	17,6	98,2
8	don't know		46	1,4	1,4	99,6
9	refused		14	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220f - Trust: administration

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_F What about the administration?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220f: Trust: administration (N=3337)

v220f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		339	10,2	10,2	10,2
2	I rather don't trust this institution		819	24,5	24,5	34,7
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1140	34,2	34,2	68,9
4	I more or less trust this institution		813	24,4	24,4	93,2
5	I completely trust this institution		143	4,3	4,3	97,5
8	don't know		69	2,1	2,1	99,6
9	refused		14	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220g - Trust: churches

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_G What about the churches?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220g: Trust: churches (N=3337)

v220g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		559	16,8	16,8	16,8
2	I rather don't trust this institution		578	17,3	17,3	34,1
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1005	30,1	30,1	64,2
4	I more or less trust this institution		709	21,2	21,2	85,4
5	I completely trust this institution		306	9,2	9,2	94,6
8	don't know		162	4,9	4,9	99,5
9	refused		18	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220h - Trust: parties

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_H What about the political parties?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220h: Trust: parties (N=3337)

v220h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		310	9,3	9,3	9,3
2	I rather don't trust this institution		741	22,2	22,2	31,5
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1483	44,4	44,4	75,9
4	I more or less trust this institution		644	19,3	19,3	95,2
5	I completely trust this institution		58	1,7	1,7	97,0
8	don't know		78	2,3	2,3	99,3
9	refused		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220i - Trust: Bundeswehr

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_I What about the Bundeswehr?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220i: Trust: Bundeswehr (N=3337)

v220i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		179	5,4	5,4	5,4
2	I rather don't trust this institution		275	8,2	8,2	13,6
3	I somewhat trust this institution		892	26,7	26,7	40,3
4	I more or less trust this institution		1244	37,3	37,3	77,6
5	I completely trust this institution		585	17,5	17,5	95,1
8	don't know		146	4,4	4,4	99,5
9	refused		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220k - Trust: unions

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_K What about the unions?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220k: Trust: unions (N=3337)

v220k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		272	8,2	8,2	8,2
2	I rather don't trust this institution		488	14,6	14,6	22,8
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1144	34,3	34,3	57,1
4	I more or less trust this institution		977	29,3	29,3	86,3
5	I completely trust this institution		255	7,6	7,6	94,0
8	don't know		184	5,5	5,5	99,5
9	refused		17	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220I - Trust: trade and employers' associations

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_L What about the trade and employers' association

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220I: Trust: trade and employers' associations (N=3337)

v220I

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		426	12,8	12,8	12,8
2	I rather don't trust this institution		782	23,4	23,4	36,2
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1129	33,8	33,8	70,0
4	I more or less trust this institution		592	17,7	17,7	87,8
5	I completely trust this institution		94	2,8	2,8	90,6
8	don't know		295	8,8	8,8	99,4
9	refused		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220m - Trust: ecological groups

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_M What about ecological groups?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v220m: Trust: ecological groups (N=3337)

v220m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't trust this institution at all		143	4,3	4,3	4,3
2	I rather don't trust this institution		368	11,0	11,0	15,3
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1073	32,2	32,2	47,5
4	I more or less trust this institution		1187	35,6	35,6	83,0
5	I completely trust this institution		434	13,0	13,0	96,0
8	don't know		117	3,5	3,5	99,6
9	refused		15	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v230 - Preferred chancellor

VW Q11

Now I would like to ask the following question: Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder are the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties.
Which of these two would you prefer as chancellor after the federal election?

NW Q13

Now I would like to ask the following question: Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder are the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties.
Which of these two have you preferred as chancellor?

- 1 Helmut Kohl
- 2 Gerhard Schröder
- 3 neither <Int.: don't read>
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v230: Preferred chancellor (N=3337)

v230

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	Helmut Kohl		905	27,1	27,1	27,1
2	Schroeder		1574	47,2	47,2	74,3
3	neither		635	19,0	19,0	93,3
8	don't know		148	4,4	4,4	97,8
9	refused		75	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v231k - Scalometer: Kohl

Q41

<INT.: Hand white list 21 to respondent.>

What do you think, in general, about Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder? Please tell me by using the following scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder, -5 means that you do not think much of the politician at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value for each question.>

Q41_A What do you think of Helmut Kohl?

- 1 -5 don't think much of this politician at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of this politician
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v231k: Scalometer: Kohl (N=3337)

v231k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of this politician at all		344	10,3	10,3	10,3
2	-4		222	6,7	6,7	17,0
3	-3		323	9,7	9,7	26,6
4	-2		248	7,4	7,4	34,1
5	-1		188	5,6	5,6	39,7
6	0 undecided		358	10,7	10,7	50,4
7	+1		285	8,5	8,5	59,0
8	+2		335	10,0	10,0	69,0
9	+3		376	11,3	11,3	80,3
10	+4		299	9,0	9,0	89,2
11	+5 think a great deal of this politician		258	7,7	7,7	97,0
98	don't know		42	1,3	1,3	98,2
99	refused		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v231s - Scalometer: Schroeder

Q41

<INT.: Hand white list 21 to respondent.>

What do you think, in general, about Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder? Please tell me by using the following scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder, -5 means that you do not think much of the politician at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value for each question.>

Q41_B And what do you think of Gerhard Schröder?

- 1 -5 don't think much of this politician at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of this politician
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v231s: Scalometer: Schroeder (N=3337)

v231s

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of this politician at all		146	4,4	4,4	4,4
2	-4		87	2,6	2,6	7,0
3	-3		163	4,9	4,9	11,9
4	-2		181	5,4	5,4	17,3
5	-1		207	6,2	6,2	23,5
6	0 undecided		551	16,5	16,5	40,0
7	+1		458	13,7	13,7	53,7
8	+2		509	15,3	15,3	69,0
9	+3		452	13,5	13,5	82,5
10	+4		289	8,7	8,7	91,2
11	+5 think a great deal of this politician		150	4,5	4,5	95,7
98	don't know		86	2,6	2,6	98,3
99	refused		58	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232ak - Kohl: economic skills

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42A

The first statement: he's got reasonable ideas to boost the economy.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42A_A Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v232ak: Kohl: economic skills (N=3337)

v232ak

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		393	11,8	11,8	11,8
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		679	20,3	20,3	32,1
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		800	24,0	24,0	56,1
4	+1 more or less applies		852	25,5	25,5	81,6
5	+2 completely applies		418	12,5	12,5	94,2
8	don't know		159	4,8	4,8	98,9
9	refused		36	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232as - Schroeder: economic skills

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42A

The first statement: he's got reasonable ideas to boost the economy.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

F42A_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v232as: Schroeder: economic skills (N=3337)

v232as

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		159	4,8	4,8	4,8
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		349	10,5	10,5	15,2
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		860	25,8	25,8	41,0
4	+1 more or less applies		1304	39,1	39,1	80,1
5	+2 completely applies		390	11,7	11,7	91,8
8	don't know		238	7,1	7,1	98,9
9	refused		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232bk - Kohl: likeable human being

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42B

He's likeable as a human being.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

F42B_A Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v232bk: Kohl: likeable human being (N=3337)

v232bk

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		512	15,3	15,3	15,3
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		602	18,0	18,0	33,4
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		714	21,4	21,4	54,8
4	+1 more or less applies		849	25,4	25,4	80,2
5	+2 completely applies		556	16,7	16,7	96,9
8	don't know		70	2,1	2,1	99,0
9	refused		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232bs - Schroeder: likeable human being

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42B

He's likeable as a human being.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42B_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v232bs: Schroeder: likeable human being (N=3337)

v232bs

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		209	6,3	6,3	6,3
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		405	12,1	12,1	18,4
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		783	23,5	23,5	41,9
4	+1 more or less applies		1273	38,1	38,1	80,0
5	+2 completely applies		540	16,2	16,2	96,2
8	don't know		93	2,8	2,8	99,0
9	refused		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232ck - Kohl: politically trustworthy

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42C

He is politically trustworthy.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42C_A Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v232ck: Kohl: politically trustworthy (N=3337)

v232ck

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		376	11,3	11,3	11,3
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		549	16,5	16,5	27,7
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		634	19,0	19,0	46,7
4	+1 more or less applies		1021	30,6	30,6	77,3
5	+2 completely applies		616	18,5	18,5	95,8
8	don't know		109	3,3	3,3	99,0
9	refused		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232cs - Schroeder: politically trustworthy

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42C

He is politically trustworthy.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42C_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v232cs: Schroeder: politically trustworthy (N=3337)

v232cs

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		199	6,0	6,0	6,0
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		412	12,3	12,3	18,3
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		957	28,7	28,7	47,0
4	+1 more or less applies		1163	34,9	34,9	81,8
5	+2 completely applies		369	11,1	11,1	92,9
8	don't know		203	6,1	6,1	99,0
9	refused		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232dk - Kohl: dynamic

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42D

He is dynamic.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42D_A Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v232dk: Kohl: dynamic (N=3337)

v232dk

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		302	9,1	9,1	9,1
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		518	15,5	15,5	24,6
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		671	20,1	20,1	44,7
4	+1 more or less applies		1079	32,3	32,3	77,0
5	+2 completely applies		625	18,7	18,7	95,7
8	don't know		106	3,2	3,2	98,9
9	refused		36	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232ds - Schroeder: dynamic

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42D

He is dynamic.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42D_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v232ds: Schroeder: dynamic (N=3337)

v232ds

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		109	3,3	3,3	3,3
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		212	6,4	6,4	9,6
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		619	18,5	18,5	28,2
4	+1 more or less applies		1325	39,7	39,7	67,9
5	+2 completely applies		806	24,2	24,2	92,0
8	don't know		229	6,9	6,9	98,9
9	refused		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240a - Political participation: citizens' initiative

F39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_A work for a citizens' initiative

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v240a: Political participation: citizens' initiative (N=3337)

v240a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		647	19,4	19,4	19,4
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		348	10,4	10,4	29,8
3	0 would possibly do that		635	19,0	19,0	48,8
4	+1 would probably do that		1034	31,0	31,0	79,8
5	+2 would definitely do that		552	16,5	16,5	96,4
8	don't know		100	3,0	3,0	99,4
9	refused		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240b - Political participation: legal demonstration

F39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_B attend a legal demonstration

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v240b: Political participation: legal demonstration (N=3337)

v240b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		768	23,0	23,0	23,0
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		322	9,6	9,6	32,7
3	0 would possibly do that		534	16,0	16,0	48,7
4	+1 would probably do that		939	28,1	28,1	76,8
5	+2 would definitely do that		671	20,1	20,1	96,9
8	don't know		78	2,3	2,3	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240c - Political participation: violent demonstration

F39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_C attend a demonstration even if violence is likely

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v240c: Political participation: violent demonstration (N=3337)

v240c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		2012	60,3	60,3	60,3
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		581	17,4	17,4	77,7
3	0 would possibly do that		370	11,1	11,1	88,8
4	+1 would probably do that		205	6,1	6,1	94,9
5	+2 would definitely do that		79	2,4	2,4	97,3
8	don't know		65	1,9	1,9	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240e - Political participation: blockade traffic

F39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_E blockade road traffic

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v240e: Political participation: blockade traffic (N=3337)

v240e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		1993	59,7	59,7	59,7
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		509	15,3	15,3	75,0
3	0 would possibly do that		393	11,8	11,8	86,8
4	+1 would probably do that		246	7,4	7,4	94,1
5	+2 would definitely do that		96	2,9	2,9	97,0
8	don't know		75	2,2	2,2	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240f - Political participation: get support from party

F39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_F try to get the support from a political party

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v240f: Political participation: get support from party (N=3337)

v240f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		853	25,6	25,6	25,6
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		309	9,3	9,3	34,8
3	0 would possibly do that		774	23,2	23,2	58,0
4	+1 would probably do that		838	25,1	25,1	83,1
5	+2 would definitely do that		420	12,6	12,6	95,7
8	don't know		123	3,7	3,7	99,4
9	refused		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v250 - Left-right self-placement

Q37

<INT.: Hand beige list 18 to respondent>

In politics people often use the terms 'left' and 'right'. Using this scale from 1 to 11, where would you place yourself, 1 meaning left and 11 right?

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

1 1 left

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11 right

98 don't know <Int.: don't read>

99 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v250: Left-right self-placement (N=3337)

v250

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		48	1,4	1,4	1,4
2	2		138	4,1	4,1	5,6
3	3		432	12,9	12,9	18,5
4	4		459	13,8	13,8	32,3
5	5		561	16,8	16,8	49,1
6	6		700	21,0	21,0	70,1
7	7		235	7,0	7,0	77,1
8	8		193	5,8	5,8	82,9
9	9		124	3,7	3,7	86,6
10	10		44	1,3	1,3	87,9
11	11 right		36	1,1	1,1	89,0
98	don't know		253	7,6	7,6	96,6
99	refused		114	3,4	3,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260a - Interests: trade unions

Q43

<INT.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q43_A How about the unions?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v260a: Interests: trade unions (N=3337)

v260a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		293	8,8	8,8	8,8
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		433	13,0	13,0	21,8
3	0 neither... nor		936	28,0	28,0	49,8
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1087	32,6	32,6	82,4
5	+2 fully represents my interests		312	9,3	9,3	91,7
8	don't know		256	7,7	7,7	99,4
9	refused		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260b - Interests: trade and employers' associations

Q43

<INT.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q43_B How about the trade and employers' associations?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v260b: Interests: trade and employers' associations (N=3337)

v260b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		592	17,7	17,7	17,7
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		755	22,6	22,6	40,4
3	0 neither... nor		1032	30,9	30,9	71,3
4	+1 partially represents my interests		513	15,4	15,4	86,7
5	+2 fully represents my interests		64	1,9	1,9	88,6
8	don't know		362	10,8	10,8	99,4
9	refused		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260c - Interests: ecological groups

Q43

<INT.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q43_C How about ecological groups?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v260c: Interests: ecological groups (N=3337)

v260c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		184	5,5	5,5	5,5
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		356	10,7	10,7	16,2
3	0 neither... nor		936	28,0	28,0	44,2
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1251	37,5	37,5	81,7
5	+2 fully represents my interests		400	12,0	12,0	93,7
8	don't know		191	5,7	5,7	99,4
9	refused		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260f - Interests: churches

Q43

<INT.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q43_D How about the church?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v260f: Interests: churches (N=3337)

v260f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		618	18,5	18,5	18,5
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		510	15,3	15,3	33,8
3	0 neither... nor		1110	33,3	33,3	67,1
4	+1 partially represents my interests		644	19,3	19,3	86,4
5	+2 fully represents my interests		197	5,9	5,9	92,3
8	don't know		234	7,0	7,0	99,3
9	refused		24	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260g - Interests: CDU/CSU

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

F44_E what about the CDU/CSU?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v260g: Interests: CDU/CSU (N=3337)

v260g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		580	17,4	17,4	17,4
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		793	23,8	23,8	41,1
3	0 neither... nor		604	18,1	18,1	59,2
4	+1 partially represents my interests		854	25,6	25,6	84,8
5	+2 fully represents my interests		362	10,8	10,8	95,7
8	don't know		96	2,9	2,9	98,6
9	refused		48	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260h - Interests: SPD

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_F what about the SPD?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v260h: Interests: SPD (N=3337)

v260h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		166	5,0	5,0	5,0
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		420	12,6	12,6	17,6
3	0 neither... nor		830	24,9	24,9	42,4
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1356	40,6	40,6	83,1
5	+2 fully represents my interests		421	12,6	12,6	95,7
8	don't know		96	2,9	2,9	98,6
9	refused		48	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260i - Interests: FDP

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_G what about the FDP?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v260i: Interests: FDP (N=3337)

v260i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		659	19,7	19,7	19,7
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		817	24,5	24,5	44,2
3	0 neither... nor		1087	32,6	32,6	76,8
4	+1 partially represents my interests		453	13,6	13,6	90,4
5	+2 fully represents my interests		65	1,9	1,9	92,3
8	don't know		211	6,3	6,3	98,7
9	refused		45	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260j - Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_H what about Bündnis 90/ Den Grünen?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v260j: Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v260j

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		507	15,2	15,2	15,2
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		574	17,2	17,2	32,4
3	0 neither... nor		980	29,4	29,4	61,8
4	+1 partially represents my interests		889	26,6	26,6	88,4
5	+2 fully represents my interests		187	5,6	5,6	94,0
8	don't know		154	4,6	4,6	98,6
9	refused		46	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260k - Interests: Republikaner

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_J what about the Republikaner?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v260k: Interests: Republikaner (N=3337)

v260k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		2258	67,7	67,7	67,7
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		305	9,1	9,1	76,8
3	0 neither... nor		304	9,1	9,1	85,9
4	+1 partially represents my interests		143	4,3	4,3	90,2
5	+2 fully represents my interests		50	1,5	1,5	91,7
8	don't know		227	6,8	6,8	98,5
9	refused		50	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260l - Interests: DVU

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_K What about the DVU?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v260l: Interests: DVU (N=3337)

v260l

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		2292	68,7	68,7	68,7
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		247	7,4	7,4	76,1
3	0 neither... nor		276	8,3	8,3	84,4
4	+1 partially represents my interests		83	2,5	2,5	86,8
5	+2 fully represents my interests		44	1,3	1,3	88,2
8	don't know		343	10,3	10,3	98,4
9	refused		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260m - Interests: PDS

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_L what about the PDS?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v260m: Interests: PDS (N=3337)

v260m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		1385	41,5	41,5	41,5
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		367	11,0	11,0	52,5
3	0 neither... nor		658	19,7	19,7	72,2
4	+1 partially represents my interests		423	12,7	12,7	84,9
5	+2 fully represents my interests		135	4,0	4,0	88,9
8	don't know		318	9,5	9,5	98,5
9	refused		51	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v280a - Close to party: trade unions

Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>

Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?

<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_A The trade unions

- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner/DVU
- 6 PDS
- 7 no party
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v280a: Close to party: trade unions (N=3337)

v280a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		187	5,6	5,6	5,6
2	SPD		2365	70,9	70,9	76,5
3	FDP		31	0,9	0,9	77,4
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		20	0,6	0,6	78,0
6	PDS		52	1,6	1,6	79,6
7	no party		193	5,8	5,8	85,3
8	don't know		466	14,0	14,0	99,3
9	refused		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v280b - Close to party: Trade and employers' associations

Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>

Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?

<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_B trade and employers' associations

- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner/DVU
- 6 PDS
- 7 no party
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v280b: Close to party: Trade and employers' associations (N=3337)

v280b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		1983	59,4	59,4	59,4
2	SPD		237	7,1	7,1	66,5
3	FDP		492	14,7	14,7	81,3
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		3	0,1	0,1	81,4
5	Rep./DVU		4	0,1	0,1	81,5
6	PDS		9	0,3	0,3	81,8
7	no party		110	3,3	3,3	85,0
8	don't know		474	14,2	14,2	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v280c - Close to party: ecological groups

Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>

Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?

<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_C ecological groups

- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner/DVU
- 6 PDS
- 7 no party
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v280c: Close to party: ecological groups (N=3337)

v280c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		40	1,2	1,2	1,2
2	SPD		80	2,4	2,4	3,6
3	FDP		15	0,4	0,4	4,0
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		2790	83,6	83,6	87,7
5	Rep./DVU		5	0,1	0,1	87,8
6	PDS		6	0,2	0,2	88,0
7	no party		64	1,9	1,9	89,9
8	don't know		316	9,5	9,5	99,4
9	refused		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v280f - Close to party: churches

Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>

Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?

<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_F the churches

- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner/DVU
- 6 PDS
- 7 no party
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v280f: Close to party: churches (N=3337)

v280f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		2058	61,7	61,7	61,7
2	SPD		63	1,9	1,9	63,6
3	FDP		61	1,8	1,8	65,4
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		22	0,7	0,7	66,0
5	Rep./DVU		7	0,2	0,2	66,3
6	PDS		5	0,1	0,1	66,4
7	no party		538	16,1	16,1	82,5
8	don't know		556	16,7	16,7	99,2
9	refused		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281a - Society: respects established

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_A I want to live in a society which values and respects established things

- 1 1 most important
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 least important
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281a: Society: respects established (N=3337)

v281a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		303	9,1	9,1	9,1
2	2		412	12,3	12,3	21,4
3	3		472	14,1	14,1	35,6
4	4		542	16,2	16,2	51,8
5	5		562	16,8	16,8	68,7
6	6 least important		844	25,3	25,3	93,9
8	don't know		143	4,3	4,3	98,2
9	refused		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281b - Society: citizens participation

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_B I want to live in a society in which the citizens participate in all decisions

1 1 most important

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6 least important

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281b: Society: citizens participation (N=3337)

v281b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		426	12,8	12,8	12,8
2	2		488	14,6	14,6	27,4
3	3		544	16,3	16,3	43,7
4	4		589	17,7	17,7	61,3
5	5		579	17,4	17,4	78,7
6	6 least important		505	15,1	15,1	93,8
8	don't know		145	4,3	4,3	98,2
9	refused		61	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281c - Society: achieve through merit

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_C I want to live in a society in which one can achieve something through merit

1 1 most important

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6 least important

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281c: Society: achieve through merit (N=3337)

v281c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		464	13,9	13,9	13,9
2	2		513	15,4	15,4	29,3
3	3		649	19,4	19,4	48,7
4	4		597	17,9	17,9	66,6
5	5		541	16,2	16,2	82,8
6	6 least important		374	11,2	11,2	94,0
8	don't know		138	4,1	4,1	98,2
9	refused		61	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281d - Society: respect law and order

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_D I want to live in a society which respects law and order

1 1 most important

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6 least important

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281d: Society: respect law and order (N=3337)

v281d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		701	21,0	21,0	21,0
2	2		741	22,2	22,2	43,2
3	3		597	17,9	17,9	61,1
4	4		483	14,5	14,5	75,6
5	5		428	12,8	12,8	88,4
6	6 least important		190	5,7	5,7	94,1
8	don't know		138	4,1	4,1	98,2
9	refused		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281e - Society: open to new ideas

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_E I want to live in a society which is open to new ideas and mental change

1 1 most important

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6 least important

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281e: Society: open to new ideas (N=3337)

v281e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		249	7,5	7,5	7,5
2	2		415	12,4	12,4	19,9
3	3		426	12,8	12,8	32,7
4	4		581	17,4	17,4	50,1
5	5		670	20,1	20,1	70,2
6	6 least important		790	23,7	23,7	93,8
8	don't know		145	4,3	4,3	98,2
9	refused		61	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281f - Society: man more important than money

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_F I want to live in a society in which man is more important than money.

- 1 1 most important
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 least important
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281f: Society: man more important than money (N=3337)

v281f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		1000	30,0	30,0	30,0
2	2		572	17,1	17,1	47,1
3	3		450	13,5	13,5	60,6
4	4		343	10,3	10,3	70,9
5	5		353	10,6	10,6	81,5
6	6 least important		423	12,7	12,7	94,1
8	don't know		138	4,1	4,1	98,3
9	refused		58	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v282 - Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government

Q47

<INT.: Hand beige list 26 to respondent.>

Are you more satisfied or more dissatisfied with what the government (CDU/CSU-FDP) in Bonn has accomplished so far? Please tell me with the aid of this scale. +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the present government in Bonn. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished so far. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value - take note of signs.>

- 1 -5 not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 completely satisfied with what the present government has accomplished
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v282: Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government (N=3337)

v282

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 not at all satisfied		284	8,5	8,5	8,5
2	-4		319	9,6	9,6	18,1
3	-3		458	13,7	13,7	31,8
4	-2		368	11,0	11,0	42,8
5	-1		225	6,7	6,7	49,6
6	0 undecided		308	9,2	9,2	58,8
7	+1		322	9,6	9,6	68,4
8	+2		353	10,6	10,6	79,0
9	+3		365	10,9	10,9	90,0
10	+4		185	5,5	5,5	95,5
11	+5 completely satisfied		64	1,9	1,9	97,4
98	don't know		53	1,6	1,6	99,0
99	refused		33	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283a - Connected to: community, city

Q48b

<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_A Are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your community (city)?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v283a: Connected to: community, city (N=3337)

v283a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		1084	32,5	32,5	32,5
2	somewhat connected		1538	46,1	46,1	78,6
3	sparsely connected		601	18,0	18,0	96,6
4	not at all connected		92	2,8	2,8	99,3
8	don't know		19	0,6	0,6	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283b - Connected to: region

Q48b

<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_B And when you think about the region here: are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your region?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v283b: Connected to: region (N=3337)

v283b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		991	29,7	29,7	29,7
2	somewhat connected		1660	49,7	49,7	79,4
3	sparsely connected		579	17,4	17,4	96,8
4	not at all connected		83	2,5	2,5	99,3
8	don't know		20	0,6	0,6	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283c - Connected to: federal state

Q48b

<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_C And what about your federal state?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v283c: Connected to: federal state (N=3337)

v283c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		811	24,3	24,3	24,3
2	somewhat connected		1699	50,9	50,9	75,2
3	sparsely connected		693	20,8	20,8	96,0
4	not at all connected		102	3,1	3,1	99,0
8	don't know		29	0,9	0,9	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283d - Connected to: old FRG/GDR

Q48b

<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_D And what about the old FRG (Split East: with the former GDR) as it existed before the unification with the GDR (Split East: with the FRG)?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v283d: Connected to: old FRG/GDR (N=3337)

v283d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		558	16,7	16,7	16,7
2	somewhat connected		1486	44,5	44,5	61,3
3	sparsely connected		819	24,5	24,5	85,8
4	not at all connected		314	9,4	9,4	95,2
8	don't know		148	4,4	4,4	99,6
9	refused		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283e - Connected to: Germany

Q48b

<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_E And what about Germany as a whole?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v283e: Connected to: Germany (N=3337)

v283e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		746	22,4	22,4	22,4
2	somewhat connected		1744	52,3	52,3	74,6
3	sparsely connected		715	21,4	21,4	96,0
4	not at all connected		79	2,4	2,4	98,4
8	don't know		44	1,3	1,3	99,7
9	refused		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284a - Left-right: CDU

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_A the CDU?

1 1 left

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11 right

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3066, v284a: Left-right: CDU (N=3337)

v284a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		32	1,0	1,0	1,0
2	2		32	1,0	1,0	1,9
3	3		68	2,0	2,0	4,0
4	4		89	2,7	2,7	6,6
5	5		158	4,7	4,7	11,4
6	6		464	13,9	13,9	25,3
7	7		645	19,3	19,3	44,6
8	8		768	23,0	23,0	67,6
9	9		439	13,2	13,2	80,8
10	10		170	5,1	5,1	85,9
11	11 right		125	3,7	3,7	89,6
98	don't know		291	8,7	8,7	98,3
99	refused		56	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284b - Left-right: SPD

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_B the SPD?

1 1 left

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11 right

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3066, v284b: Left-right: SPD (N=3337)

v284b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		100	3,0	3,0	3,0
2	2		263	7,9	7,9	10,9
3	3		616	18,5	18,5	29,3
4	4		816	24,5	24,5	53,8
5	5		744	22,3	22,3	76,1
6	6		276	8,3	8,3	84,4
7	7		86	2,6	2,6	86,9
8	8		64	1,9	1,9	88,9
9	9		27	0,8	0,8	89,7
10	10		9	0,3	0,3	89,9
11	11 right		5	0,1	0,1	90,1
98	don't know		277	8,3	8,3	98,4
99	refused		54	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284c - Left-right: FDP

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_C the FDP?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v284c: Left-right: FDP (N=3337)

v284c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		11	0,3	0,3	0,3
2	2		30	0,9	0,9	1,2
3	3		87	2,6	2,6	3,8
4	4		108	3,2	3,2	7,1
5	5		375	11,2	11,2	18,3
6	6		912	27,3	27,3	45,6
7	7		536	16,1	16,1	61,7
8	8		412	12,3	12,3	74,0
9	9		288	8,6	8,6	82,7
10	10		103	3,1	3,1	85,8
11	11 right		33	1,0	1,0	86,8
98	don't know		384	11,5	11,5	98,3
99	refused		58	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284d - Left-right: CSU

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_D CSU?

1 1 left

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11 right

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3066, v284d: Left-right: CSU (N=3337)

v284d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		34	1,0	1,0	1,0
2	2		36	1,1	1,1	2,1
3	3		64	1,9	1,9	4,0
4	4		70	2,1	2,1	6,1
5	5		113	3,4	3,4	9,5
6	6		245	7,3	7,3	16,8
7	7		297	8,9	8,9	25,7
8	8		507	15,2	15,2	40,9
9	9		786	23,6	23,6	64,5
10	10		552	16,5	16,5	81,0
11	11 right		256	7,7	7,7	88,7
98	don't know		320	9,6	9,6	98,3
99	refused		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284e - Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_E Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v284e: Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

v284e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		264	7,9	7,9	7,9
2	2		529	15,9	15,9	23,8
3	3		717	21,5	21,5	45,3
4	4		573	17,2	17,2	62,4
5	5		366	11,0	11,0	73,4
6	6		319	9,6	9,6	82,9
7	7		78	2,3	2,3	85,3
8	8		44	1,3	1,3	86,6
9	9		18	0,5	0,5	87,1
10	10		11	0,3	0,3	87,5
11	11 right		5	0,1	0,1	87,6
98	don't know		356	10,7	10,7	98,3
99	refused		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284f - Left-right: Republikaner

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_F the Republikaner?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v284f: Left-right: Republikaner (N=3337)

v284f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		113	3,4	3,4	3,4
2	2		29	0,9	0,9	4,3
3	3		20	0,6	0,6	4,9
4	4		20	0,6	0,6	5,5
5	5		18	0,5	0,5	6,0
6	6		18	0,5	0,5	6,5
7	7		19	0,6	0,6	7,1
8	8		41	1,2	1,2	8,3
9	9		126	3,8	3,8	12,1
10	10		353	10,6	10,6	22,7
11	11 right		2180	65,3	65,3	88,0
98	don't know		338	10,1	10,1	98,1
99	refused		62	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284g - Left-right: PDS

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_G the PDS?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, v284g: Left-right: PDS (N=3337)

v284g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		1245	37,3	37,3	37,3
2	2		583	17,5	17,5	54,8
3	3		310	9,3	9,3	64,1
4	4		110	3,3	3,3	67,4
5	5		69	2,1	2,1	69,4
6	6		93	2,8	2,8	72,2
7	7		55	1,6	1,6	73,9
8	8		58	1,7	1,7	75,6
9	9		74	2,2	2,2	77,8
10	10		60	1,8	1,8	79,6
11	11 right		183	5,5	5,5	85,1
98	don't know		433	13,0	13,0	98,1
99	refused		64	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284h - Left-right: DVU

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_H the DVU?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v284h: Left-right: DVU (N=3337)

v284h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		150	4,5	4,5	4,5
2	2		23	0,7	0,7	5,2
3	3		20	0,6	0,6	5,8
4	4		11	0,3	0,3	6,1
5	5		21	0,6	0,6	6,7
6	6		32	1,0	1,0	7,7
7	7		26	0,8	0,8	8,5
8	8		36	1,1	1,1	9,6
9	9		126	3,8	3,8	13,3
10	10		257	7,7	7,7	21,0
11	11 right		2034	61,0	61,0	82,0
98	don't know		537	16,1	16,1	98,1
99	refused		64	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285a - How often: Bild-Zeitung

Q50

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read questions A to C and note an answer each.>

<INT.: no period of time but days per week>

Q50_A On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in the BILD-Zeitung?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v285a: How often: Bild-Zeitung (N=3337)

v285a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		2436	73,0	73,0	73,0
1	one		150	4,5	4,5	77,5
2	two		170	5,1	5,1	82,6
3	three		126	3,8	3,8	86,4
4	four		76	2,3	2,3	88,6
5	five		86	2,6	2,6	91,2
6	six		187	5,6	5,6	96,8
7	seven		76	2,3	2,3	99,1
8	don't know		25	0,7	0,7	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285b - How often: national newspaper

Q50

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read questions A to C and note an answer each.>

<INT.: no period of time but days per week>

Q50_B On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in one of these national newspapers?

(FAZ, Die Welt, Süddeutsche, Frankfurter Rundschau, taz)

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v285b: How often: national newspaper (N=3337)

v285b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		2407	72,1	72,1	72,1
1	one		231	6,9	6,9	79,1
2	two		191	5,7	5,7	84,8
3	three		104	3,1	3,1	87,9
4	four		51	1,5	1,5	89,4
5	five		50	1,5	1,5	90,9
6	six		199	6,0	6,0	96,9
7	seven		65	1,9	1,9	98,8
8	don't know		35	1,0	1,0	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285c - How often: local or regional newspaper

Q50

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read questions A to C and note an answer each.>

<INT.: no period of time but days per week>

Q50_C On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in a local or regional newspapers?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, v285c: How often: local or regional newspaper (N=3337)

v285c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		555	16,6	16,6	16,6
1	one		156	4,7	4,7	21,3
2	two		232	7,0	7,0	28,3
3	three		236	7,1	7,1	35,3
4	four		172	5,2	5,2	40,5
5	five		181	5,4	5,4	45,9
6	six		1461	43,8	43,8	89,7
7	seven		318	9,5	9,5	99,2
8	don't know		23	0,7	0,7	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v286a - How often: news ARD, ZDF

Q51

How often per week do you watch newscasts on the first or second television network? In this context only the newscasts of 'ARD' and 'ZDF' are meant, i.e. 'Tagesschau', 'Tagesthemen', 'Heute' and 'Heute-Journal'.

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch these newscasts?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v286a: How often: news ARD, ZDF (N=3337)

v286a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		303	9,1	9,1	9,1
1	one		100	3,0	3,0	12,1
2	two		217	6,5	6,5	18,6
3	three		269	8,1	8,1	26,6
4	four		302	9,1	9,1	35,7
5	five		306	9,2	9,2	44,9
6	six		525	15,7	15,7	60,6
7	seven		1285	38,5	38,5	99,1
8	don't know		25	0,7	0,7	99,9
9	refused		5	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v286b - How often: news private TV

Q52

And how about the newscasts of the private TV networks, e.g. 'SAT1-Nachrichten' or 'RTL-Aktuell'?

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch these newscasts?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v286b: How often: news private TV (N=3337)

v286b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		793	23,8	23,8	23,8
1	one		215	6,4	6,4	30,2
2	two		492	14,7	14,7	45,0
3	three		444	13,3	13,3	58,3
4	four		312	9,3	9,3	67,6
5	five		219	6,6	6,6	74,2
6	six		258	7,7	7,7	81,9
7	seven		552	16,5	16,5	98,4
8	don't know		48	1,4	1,4	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v310 - Social order fair or unfair

Q53

What do you think of our social order? Is it, by and large, rather fair or rather unfair?

- 1 rather fair
- 2 part/part
- 3 rather unfair
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v310: Social order fair or unfair (N=3337)

v310

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	rather fair		490	14,7	14,7	14,7
2	part/part		1759	52,7	52,7	67,4
3	rather unfair		1034	31,0	31,0	98,4
8	don't know		47	1,4	1,4	99,8
9	refused		7	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v320 - Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population

Q54

Irrespective of how fair a society is, there are privileged and underprivileged people or sections of the population.

Do you think that you rather belong to the people or section of the population in our society which is rather underprivileged, neither underprivileged nor privileged, or privileged?

I belong to a section of the population, which is:...

- 1 rather underprivileged
- 2 neither/nor
- 3 rather privileged
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v320: Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population (N=3337)

v320

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	rather underprivileged		743	22,3	22,3	22,3
2	neither/nor		2222	66,6	66,6	88,9
3	rather privileged		228	6,8	6,8	95,7
8	don't know		123	3,7	3,7	99,4
9	refused		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v330 - Recall: party vote 1994

VW Q55

<INT.: Hand beige list 30 to respondent. >

How about the last elections for the Bundestag in October 1994:

which party did you vote for?

Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: Only one naming possible. In case of queries: the second vote is important.>

NW Q55

<INT.: Hand beige list 30 to respondent. >

How about the elections before last for the Bundestag in October 1994:

which party did you vote for?

Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: Only one naming possible. In case of queries: the second vote is important.>

- 1 CDU (or CSU)
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner
- 6 PDS
- 12 other party
- 96 didn't vote
- 97 wasn't eligible to vote
- 98 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 99 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v330: Recall: party vote 1994 (N=3337)

v330

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU (or CSU)		1158	34,7	34,7	34,7
2	SPD		947	28,4	28,4	63,1
3	FDP		80	2,4	2,4	65,5
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		190	5,7	5,7	71,2
5	Republikaner		17	0,5	0,5	71,7
6	PDS		139	4,2	4,2	75,8
12	other party		28	0,8	0,8	76,7
96	didn't vote		189	5,7	5,7	82,3
97	wasn't eligible to vote		207	6,2	6,2	88,6
98	don't know		114	3,4	3,4	92,0
99	refused		268	8,0	8,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350a - Proud to be German

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_A I am proud to be German.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350a: Proud to be German (N=3337)

v350a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		225	6,7	6,7	6,7
2	tend to disagree		253	7,6	7,6	14,3
3	part/part		752	22,5	22,5	36,9
4	tend to agree		993	29,8	29,8	66,6
5	agree completely		1042	31,2	31,2	97,8
8	don't know		44	1,3	1,3	99,2
9	refused		28	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350b - Nationalise important companies

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_B The most important companies have to be nationalised.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350b: Nationalise important companies (N=3337)

v350b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1204	36,1	36,1	36,1
2	tend to disagree		654	19,6	19,6	55,7
3	part/part		632	18,9	18,9	74,6
4	tend to agree		426	12,8	12,8	87,4
5	agree completely		168	5,0	5,0	92,4
8	don't know		242	7,3	7,3	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350c - Courage to sense of nationality

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_C We should have the courage to have a strong sense of nationality again.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350c: Courage to sense of nationality (N=3337)

v350c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		329	9,9	9,9	9,9
2	tend to disagree		359	10,8	10,8	20,6
3	part/part		817	24,5	24,5	45,1
4	tend to agree		1013	30,4	30,4	75,5
5	agree completely		701	21,0	21,0	96,5
8	don't know		93	2,8	2,8	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350d - Group interests subordinate to public welfare

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_D Interests of groups and associations should be unconditionally subordinate to public welfare.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350d: Group interests subordinate to public welfare (N=3337)

v350d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		265	7,9	7,9	7,9
2	tend to disagree		493	14,8	14,8	22,7
3	part/part		989	29,6	29,6	52,4
4	tend to agree		830	24,9	24,9	77,2
5	agree completely		502	15,0	15,0	92,3
8	don't know		242	7,3	7,3	99,5
9	refused		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350f - Dictatorship better type of state

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_F Under certain circumstances a dictatorship is the best type of state.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350f: Dictatorship better type of state (N=3337)

v350f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1805	54,1	54,1	54,1
2	tend to disagree		495	14,8	14,8	68,9
3	part/part		463	13,9	13,9	82,8
4	tend to agree		287	8,6	8,6	91,4
5	agree completely		68	2,0	2,0	93,4
8	don't know		199	6,0	6,0	99,4
9	refused		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350g - National Socialism also positive

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_H National Socialism also had its positive aspects.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350g: National Socialism also positive (N=3337)

v350g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1823	54,6	54,6	54,6
2	tend to disagree		517	15,5	15,5	70,1
3	part/part		374	11,2	11,2	81,3
4	tend to agree		331	9,9	9,9	91,2
5	agree completely		99	3,0	3,0	94,2
8	don't know		150	4,5	4,5	98,7
9	refused		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350k - Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_J Without the extermination of the Jews Hitler would today be seen as a great statesman.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350k: Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman (N=3337)

v350k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1926	57,7	57,7	57,7
2	tend to disagree		456	13,7	13,7	71,4
3	part/part		328	9,8	9,8	81,2
4	tend to agree		246	7,4	7,4	88,6
5	agree completely		138	4,1	4,1	92,7
8	don't know		188	5,6	5,6	98,4
9	refused		55	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350I - FRG foreign-controlled

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_K Because of the many foreignners the FRG is foreign-controlled up to a dangerous degree.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350I: FRG foreign-controlled (N=3337)

v350I

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		606	18,2	18,2	18,2
2	tend to disagree		423	12,7	12,7	30,8
3	part/part		657	19,7	19,7	50,5
4	tend to agree		794	23,8	23,8	74,3
5	agree completely		741	22,2	22,2	96,5
8	don't know		81	2,4	2,4	99,0
9	refused		35	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350m - GDR: more good than bad aspects

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_M The GDR had more good than bad aspects.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350m: GDR: more good than bad aspects (N=3337)

v350m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1222	36,6	36,6	36,6
2	tend to disagree		640	19,2	19,2	55,8
3	part/part		756	22,7	22,7	78,5
4	tend to agree		380	11,4	11,4	89,8
5	agree completely		141	4,2	4,2	94,1
8	don't know		175	5,2	5,2	99,3
9	refused		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350n - Foreigners should marry countrymen

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_L Foreigners should choose their spouse amongst their own countrymen.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350n: Foreigners should marry countrymen (N=3337)

v350n

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1234	37,0	37,0	37,0
2	tend to disagree		541	16,2	16,2	53,2
3	part/part		664	19,9	19,9	73,1
4	tend to agree		385	11,5	11,5	84,6
5	agree completely		327	9,8	9,8	94,4
8	don't know		143	4,3	4,3	98,7
9	refused		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350o - Influence of Jews still too big

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_M The influence of the Jews is still too big nowadays.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350o: Influence of Jews still too big (N=3337)

v350o

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1437	43,1	43,1	43,1
2	tend to disagree		518	15,5	15,5	58,6
3	part/part		506	15,2	15,2	73,7
4	tend to agree		325	9,7	9,7	83,5
5	agree completely		148	4,4	4,4	87,9
8	don't know		340	10,2	10,2	98,1
9	refused		63	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350p - Socialism good concept, badly executed

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_P Socialism is a good concept, which up to now was just badly executed.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350p: Socialism good concept, badly executed (N=3337)

v350p

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		705	21,1	21,1	21,1
2	tend to disagree		491	14,7	14,7	35,8
3	part/part		766	23,0	23,0	58,8
4	tend to agree		676	20,3	20,3	79,1
5	agree completely		492	14,7	14,7	93,8
8	don't know		180	5,4	5,4	99,2
9	refused		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350q - Jews don't fit in society

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_P There is simply something special and peculiar about the Jews, because of which they don't really fit in our society.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350q: Jews don't fit in society (N=3337)

v350q

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1493	44,7	44,7	44,7
2	tend to disagree		539	16,2	16,2	60,9
3	part/part		503	15,1	15,1	76,0
4	tend to agree		281	8,4	8,4	84,4
5	agree completely		128	3,8	3,8	88,2
8	don't know		324	9,7	9,7	97,9
9	refused		69	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350r - Sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_Q I sympathise with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350r: Sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum (N=3337)

v350r

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		2436	73,0	73,0	73,0
2	tend to disagree		327	9,8	9,8	82,8
3	part/part		256	7,7	7,7	90,5
4	tend to agree		125	3,7	3,7	94,2
5	agree completely		77	2,3	2,3	96,5
8	don't know		75	2,2	2,2	98,8
9	refused		41	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vsex - Sex of respondent

S1

<INT.: determine without asking the respondent.>

The interview is conducted with:

- 1 a man
- 2 a woman

ZA3066, vsex: Sex of respondent (N=3337)

vsex

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	male		1575	47,2	47,2	47,2
2	female		1762	52,8	52,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Ce		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vmonat - Month of birth of respondent

S2

Finally some statistical questions: would you please tell me in which month and year you were born?

S2_M month

- 1 January
- 2 February
- 3 March
- 4 April
- 5 May
- 6 June
- 7 July
- 8 August
- 9 September
- 10 October
- 11 November
- 12 December
- 99 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vjahr - Year of birth of respondent

S2

Finally some statistical questions: would you please tell me in which month and year you were born?

S2_J year

9999 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vrelig - Respondent's religious denomination

S3

<INT.: hand white list S1 to respondent>

What is or was your religious denomination? Please tell me using this list.

<INT.: allow only one response>

I have a religious denomination:

- 1 Protestant/Lutheran church
- 2 Catholic church
- 3 other

I don't have a religious denomination:

- 4 left the Protestant/Lutheran church
- 5 left the Catholic church
- 6 never was a member of a church/religious denomination

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vrelig: Respondent's religious denomination (N=3337)

vrelig

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	Protestant/Lutheran church		1234	37,0	37,0	37,0
2	Catholic church		939	28,1	28,1	65,1
3	other		55	1,6	1,6	66,8
4	left the Protestant/Lutheran church		268	8,0	8,0	74,8
5	left the Catholic church		93	2,8	2,8	77,6
6	never member of church/rel. denomination		699	20,9	20,9	98,5
8	don't know		9	0,3	0,3	98,8
9	refused		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vkirchg – Frequency attend church

S4

<INT.: hand white list S2 to respondent>

Generally, how frequently do you attend church? Please tell me using this list.

<INT.: allow only one response>

- 1 several times a week
- 2 once a week
- 3 at least once a month
- 4 several times a year
- 5 once a year
- 6 less than once a year
- 7 never
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vkirchg: Frequency attend church (N=3337)

vkirchg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	several times a week		40	1,2	1,2	1,2
2	once a week		231	6,9	6,9	8,1
3	at least once a month		291	8,7	8,7	16,8
4	several times a year		690	20,7	20,7	37,5
5	once a year		417	12,5	12,5	50,0
6	less than once a year		442	13,2	13,2	63,3
7	never		1190	35,7	35,7	98,9
8	don't know		7	0,2	0,2	99,1
9	refused		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbildga - Education, kind of school

S5

<INT.: hand white list S3 to respondent.>

In which kind of school did you complete your education? Please tell me using this list.

<INT.: Allow only one response, let respondent only specify highest level of education>

<If code 2 to 9, go to question S6.>

- 0 finished school without certificate
- 1 'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' or 'Polytechnische Oberschule' with 8 grades
- 2 'Mittlere Reife' or secondary school without 'Abitur' or polytechnical school with 10 grades
- 3 'Abitur', 'EOS' with 12 grades
- 4 completed studies
- 7 still in school
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vbildga: Education, kind of school (N=3337)

vbildga

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	still in school		76	2,3	2,3	2,3
2	finished school without certificate		51	1,5	1,5	3,8
3	'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule'		1401	42,0	42,0	45,8
4	'Mittlere Reife'		1045	31,3	31,3	77,1
5	'Abitur'		341	10,2	10,2	87,3
6	completed studies		421	12,6	12,6	99,9
9	refused		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbildgb - Aimed form of graduation

S5a

Wich form of graduation or certificate are you aiming at?

- 0 INAP: no pupil anymore
- 3 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate
- 4 'Mittlere Reife'
- 5 'Abitur', 'Fachabitur'
- 7 other graduation/leaving certificate
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vbildgb: Aimed form of graduation (N=3337)

vbildgb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		3261	97,7	97,7	97,7
3	'Hauptschule' leaving certificate		2	0,1	0,1	97,8
4	'Mittlere Reife'		15	0,4	0,4	98,2
5	'Abitur'		57	1,7	1,7	99,9
7	other graduation/leaving certificate		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbildgc - Completed apprenticeship

S6

Did you complete an occupational apprenticeship?

- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vbildgc: Completed apprenticeship (N=3337)

vbildgc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	yes		2473	74,1	74,1	74,1
2	no		836	25,1	25,1	99,2
9	refused		28	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vberuftg - Present employment

S7

<INT.: hand white list S4 to respondent>

Are you presently a full-time or part-time employee? Which of the categories on this list is appropriate to you?

<INT.: Only one answer possible>

<If Code 1, 2, or 3, go to question S10.>

- 1 full-time employee
- 2 part-time employee
- 3 occupational training, apprenticeship
- 4 working hourly
- 5 presently unemployed
- 6 presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'
- 7 reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre
- 8 on maternity leave, parental leave
- 9 housewife/househusband
- 10 early retirement, retired, receiving pension
- 11 draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service
- 12 school education
- 13 studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university
- 99 refused

ZA3066, vberuftg: Present employment (N=3337)

vberuftg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	full-time employee		1195	35,8	35,8	35,8
2	part-time employee		267	8,0	8,0	43,8
3	occ. training, apprenticeship		52	1,6	1,6	45,4
4	working hourly		29	0,9	0,9	46,2
5	presently unemployed		293	8,8	8,8	55,0
6	presently working reduced hours		3	0,1	0,1	55,1
7	reschooling financed by employm. centre		22	0,7	0,7	55,8
8	on maternity leave, parental leave		74	2,2	2,2	58,0
9	housewife/househusband		292	8,8	8,8	66,7
10	early retirement, retired, pension		904	27,1	27,1	93,8
11	draftee, comm. alt. service		10	0,3	0,3	94,1
12	school education		76	2,3	2,3	96,4
13	studies at university		112	3,4	3,4	99,8
99	refused		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vfrbertg - Previous employment

S8

Did you previously have a full-time or part-time job, working at least 15 hours per week?

<If Code 2 or 9, go to question S13.>

0 INAP.: presently employed

1 yes

2 no

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vfrbertg: Previous employment (N=3337)

vfrbertg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1514	45,4	45,4	45,4
1	yes		1528	45,8	45,8	91,2
2	no		279	8,4	8,4	99,5
9	refused		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vfrbejah - Previous employment: until when

S9

When did you finish this employment?

<For all years before 1994, carry on with question S11.>

0 INAP: presently employed, never before employed

9999 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwechs94 - Involuntary job change 1994

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1994, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_A Did you change your job involuntarily since 1994?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/employed until 1993

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, vwechs94: Involuntary job change 1994 (N=3337)

vwechs94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1182	35,4	35,4	35,4
1	yes		248	7,4	7,4	42,9
2	no		1850	55,4	55,4	98,3
9	refused		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

varlos94 - Unemployed since 1994

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1994, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_B Did you become unemployed since 1994?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/employed until 1993

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, varlos94: Unemployed since 1994 (N=3337)

varlos94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1182	35,4	35,4	35,4
1	yes		444	13,3	13,3	48,7
2	no		1640	49,1	49,1	97,9
8	don't know		1	0,0	0,0	97,9
9	refused		70	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbausg94 - Withdraw from working life 1994

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1994, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_C Did you withdraw from working life since 1994?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/employed until 1993

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, vbausg94: Withdraw from working life 1994 (N=3337)

vbausg94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1182	35,4	35,4	35,4
1	yes		327	9,8	9,8	45,2
2	no		1763	52,8	52,8	98,1
8	don't know		1	0,0	0,0	98,1
9	refused		64	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

voeff - Employed: public servant

S11

Are you <INT.: where applicable 'were you'> employed in the public service?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, voeff: Employed: public servant (N=3337)

voeff

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		295	8,8	8,8	8,8
1	yes		584	17,5	17,5	26,3
2	no		2411	72,3	72,3	98,6
8	don't know		4	0,1	0,1	98,7
9	refused		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vberuf - Employment status

S12

<INT.: hand white list S5 to respondent.>

Please take a look at this list. What is your present occupational position or in case you aren't employed anymore, what was your last occupational position?

<INT.: Allow only one response>

<INT.: Note value.>

Self-employed

- 1 self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)
- 2 self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of large store, medium craftsman)
- 3 self-employed - higher level (e.g., owner of large business or factory)

Employee (also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 4 salaried employee - lower level (e.g., messenger)
- 5 salaried employee - medium level (e.g., secretary)
- 6 salaried employee - professional level (e.g., accountant, cashier)
- 7 salaried employee - in a managerial position (e.g., department head, director)

Civil servants

- 8 civil servant - low level (e.g., up to assistant)
- 9 civil servant - medium level (up to "Hauptsekretär")
- 10 civil servant - high level (up to "Amtsrat")
- 11 civil servant - highest level (beginning at "Regierungsrat")

Worker (also farm workers, also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 12 unskilled worker
- 13 semi-skilled worker
- 14 skilled worker
- 15 highly trained worker

Self-employed farmers

- 16 farmer, small farm (up to 5 ha/ 20 morgen)
- 17 farmer, medium-sized farm
- 18 farmer, large farm

Other

- 19 professional

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

20 in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies

98 don't know

99 refused

Note:

"Morgen" is a former measure of land used e.g. in Germany.

ZA3066, vberuf: Employment status (N=3337)

vberuf

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		295	8,8	8,8	8,8
1	self-employed - lower level		148	4,4	4,4	13,3
2	self-employed - medium level		32	1,0	1,0	14,2
3	self-employed - higher level		3	0,1	0,1	14,3
4	salaried employee - lower level		293	8,8	8,8	23,1
5	salaried employee - medium level		493	14,8	14,8	37,9
6	salaried employee - professional level		478	14,3	14,3	52,2
7	salaried employee - in a managerial position		166	5,0	5,0	57,2
8	civil servant - low level		33	1,0	1,0	58,2
9	civil servant - medium level		68	2,0	2,0	60,2
10	civil servant - high level		60	1,8	1,8	62,0
11	civil servant - highest level		38	1,1	1,1	63,1
12	unskilled worker		85	2,5	2,5	65,7
13	semi-skilled worker		181	5,4	5,4	71,1
14	skilled worker		648	19,4	19,4	90,5
15	highly-trained worker		75	2,2	2,2	92,8
16	farmer, small farm		9	0,3	0,3	93,0
17	farmer, medium-sized farm		6	0,2	0,2	93,2
18	farmer, large farm		4	0,1	0,1	93,3
19	professional		63	1,9	1,9	95,2
20	in occ. training, apprntcship, studies		60	1,8	1,8	97,0
98	don't know		19	0,6	0,6	97,6
99	refused		80	2,4	2,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vfamstdn - Respondent: marital status

S13

Would you please tell me your marital status: which of the categories on this list is appropriate to you? Please just tell me the respective letter.

<INT.: hand white list S6 to respondent>

<If code 1, go to question S14.>

- 1 married, living together with spouse
- 2 married, living separated, getting a divorce
- 3 divorced
- 4 widowed
- 5 single
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vfamstdn: Respondent: marital status (N=3337)

vfamstdn

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	married, living together with spouse		1914	57,4	57,4	57,4
2	married (separated, getting a divorce)		68	2,0	2,0	59,4
3	divorced		249	7,5	7,5	66,9
4	widowed		367	11,0	11,0	77,9
5	single		736	22,1	22,1	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpartner - Live together with partner

S13a

Do you live together with a partner?

<If code 2, go to question S22.>

0 INAP: married, living together with spouse

1 yes

2 no

9 refused

ZA3066, vpartner: Live together with partner (N=3337)

vpartner

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1914	57,4	57,4	57,4
1	yes		235	7,0	7,0	64,4
2	no		1185	35,5	35,5	99,9
9	refused		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbildga - Partner: kind of school

S14

<INT.: hand white list S3 to respondent again>

What is the highest level of general education of your spouse/partner?

<If code 2 to 9, go to question S15.>

- 0 INAP: no partner
- 1 still pupil
- 2 finished school without leaving certificate
- 3 'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate
- 4 'Mittlere Reife', 'Realschule' leaving certificate
- 5 'Abitur'
- 6 completed university, 'Fachhochschule' or polytechnic degree
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpbildga: Partner: kind of school (N=3337)

vpbildga

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1188	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	pupil		1	0,0	0,0	35,6
2	finished school without certificate		32	1,0	1,0	36,6
3	'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule'		931	27,9	27,9	64,5
4	'Mittlere Reife'		719	21,5	21,5	86,0
5	'Abitur'		174	5,2	5,2	91,2
6	completed studies		273	8,2	8,2	99,4
8	don't know		6	0,2	0,2	99,6
9	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbildgb - Partner: aimed graduation

S14a

Which form of graduation or certificate is he/she aiming at?

- 0 INAP: no partner; code 2 bis 9 in vpbildga
- 3 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate
- 4 'Mittlere Reife'
- 5 'Abitur'
- 6 other graduation/leaving certificate
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vpbildgb: Partner: aimed graduation (N=3337)

vpbildgb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2289	68,6	68,6	68,6
3	'Hauptschule' leaving certificate		5	0,1	0,1	68,7
4	'Mittlere Reife'		4	0,1	0,1	68,9
8	don't know		1	0,0	0,0	68,9
9	refused		1038	31,1	31,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbildgc - Partner: completed apprenticeship

S15

Did he/she complete an occupational apprenticeship?

- 0 INAP: no partner
- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpbildgc: Partner: completed apprenticeship (N=3337)

vpbildgc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1188	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	yes		1699	50,9	50,9	86,5
2	no		423	12,7	12,7	99,2
8	don't know		4	0,1	0,1	99,3
9	refused		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpberuft - Partner: present employment

S16

<INT.: hand white list S4 to respondent again.>

What does presently apply to your spouse/partner?

<INT.: Allow only one response>

<If Code 1, 2, or 3, go to question S19.>

- 0 INAP: no partner
- 1 full-time employee
- 2 part-time employee
- 3 occupational training, apprenticeship
- 4 working hourly
- 5 presently unemployed
- 6 presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'
- 7 reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre
- 8 on maternity leave, parental leave
- 9 housewife/househusband (not full- or part-time employed)
- 10 early retirement, retired, receiving pension
- 11 draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service
- 12 school education
- 13 studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, vpberuft: Partner: present employment (N=3337)

vpberuft

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1188	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	full-time employee		1088	32,6	32,6	68,2
2	part-time employee		193	5,8	5,8	74,0
3	occ. training, apprenticeship		8	0,2	0,2	74,2
4	working hourly		26	0,8	0,8	75,0
5	presently unemployed		102	3,1	3,1	78,1
6	presently working reduced hours		2	0,1	0,1	78,1
7	reschooling financed by employm. centre		9	0,3	0,3	78,4
8	on maternity leave, parental leave		24	0,7	0,7	79,1
9	housewife/househusband		217	6,5	6,5	85,6
10	early retirement, retired, pension		446	13,4	13,4	99,0
11	draftee, comm. alt. service		1	0,0	0,0	99,0
13	studies at university		21	0,6	0,6	99,6
99	refused		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpfbertg - Partner: previous employment

S17

Did your spouse/partner previously have a full-time or part-time job, working at least 15 hours per week?

<If code 0, 2, 8, or 9, go to question S22.>

0 INAP: no partner; code 1, 2, 3 in vperbuft

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpfbertg: Partner: previous employment (N=3337)

vpfbertg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		2477	74,2	74,2	74,2
1	yes		729	21,8	21,8	96,1
2	no		116	3,5	3,5	99,6
8	don't know		2	0,1	0,1	99,6
9	refused		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpfrbeja - Partner: previous employment: until when

S18

When did your spouse/partner finish this employment?

<For all years before 1994, carry on with question S20.>

0 INAP: no partner; code 0, 2, 8, 9 in vpbertg

9999 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpwech94 - Partner: involuntary job change 1994

S19

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_A Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994?

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied

1 yes

2 no

9 refused

ZA3066, vpwech94: Partner: involuntary job change 1994 (N=3337)

vpwech94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1768	53,0	53,0	53,0
1	yes		180	5,4	5,4	58,4
2	no		1354	40,6	40,6	99,0
9	refused		35	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vparlo94 - Partner: unemployed since 1994

S19

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_B Did he/she become unemployed since 1994?

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied

1 yes

2 no

9 refused

ZA3066, vparlo94: Partner: unemployed since 1994 (N=3337)

vparlo94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1768	53,0	53,0	53,0
1	yes		250	7,5	7,5	60,5
2	no		1278	38,3	38,3	98,8
9	refused		41	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbaus94 - Partner: withdraw from working life 1994

S19

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_C Did he/she withdraw from working life since 1994?

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied

1 yes

2 no

9 refused

ZA3066, vpbaus94: Partner: withdraw from working life 1994 (N=3337)

vpbaus94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1768	53,0	53,0	53,0
1	yes		164	4,9	4,9	57,9
2	no		1366	40,9	40,9	98,8
9	refused		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpoeff - Partner: employed: public servant

S20

Is your spouse/partner <INT.: where applicable 'Was your spouse/partner'> employed in the public service?

0 INAP: no partner; partner not occupied

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpoeff: Partner: employed: public servant (N=3337)

vpoeff

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1319	39,5	39,5	39,5
1	yes		318	9,5	9,5	49,1
2	no		1645	49,3	49,3	98,4
8	don't know		8	0,2	0,2	98,6
9	refused		47	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpberuf - Partner: employment status

S21

<INT.: hand again white list S5 to respondent.>

Please take a look at this list. What is the present occupational position of your spouse/partner or in case your spouse/partner isn't employed anymore, what was the last occupational position of your spouse/partner?

<INT.: Allow only one response>

<INT.: Note value.>

Self-employed

- 1 self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)
- 2 self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of large store, medium craftsman)
- 3 self-employed - higher level (e.g., owner of large business or factory)

Employee (also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 4 salaried employee - lower level (e.g., messenger)
- 5 salaried employee - medium level (e.g., secretary)
- 6 salaried employee - professional level (e.g., accountant, cashier)
- 7 salaried employee - in a managerial position (e.g., department head, director)

Civil servants

- 8 civil servant - low level (e.g., up to assistant)
- 9 civil servant - medium level (up to "Hauptsekretär")
- 10 civil servant - high level (up to "Amtsrat")
- 11 civil servant - highest level (beginning at "Regierungsrat")

Worker (also farm workers, also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 12 unskilled worker
- 13 semi-skilled worker
- 14 skilled worker
- 15 highly trained worker

Self-employed farmers

- 16 farmer, small farm (up to 5 ha/ 20 morgen)
- 17 farmer, medium-sized farm
- 18 farmer, large farm

Other

- 19 professional

0 INAP.: no partner; partner was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

20 in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies

98 don't know

99 refused

Note:

"Morgen" is a former measure of land used e.g. in Germany.

ZA3066, vpberuf: Partner: employment status (N=3337)

vpberuf

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1319	39,5	39,5	39,5
1	self-employed - lower level		73	2,2	2,2	41,7
2	self-employed - medium level		35	1,0	1,0	42,8
3	self-employed - higher level		5	0,1	0,1	42,9
4	salaried employee - lower level		177	5,3	5,3	48,2
5	salaried employee - medium level		328	9,8	9,8	58,0
6	salaried employee - higher level		279	8,4	8,4	66,4
7	salaried employee - in a managerial position		119	3,6	3,6	70,0
8	civil servant - low level		17	0,5	0,5	70,5
9	civil servant - medium level		41	1,2	1,2	71,7
10	civil servant - high level		43	1,3	1,3	73,0
11	civil servant - highest level		25	0,7	0,7	73,7
12	unskilled worker		34	1,0	1,0	74,8
13	semi-skilled worker		128	3,8	3,8	78,6
14	skilled worker		508	15,2	15,2	93,8
15	highly trained worker		63	1,9	1,9	95,7
16	farmer, small farm		3	0,1	0,1	95,8
17	farmer, medium-sized farm		3	0,1	0,1	95,9
18	farmer, large farm		3	0,1	0,1	96,0
19	professional		37	1,1	1,1	97,1
20	in occ. training, apprntcship, studies		9	0,3	0,3	97,4
98	don't know		27	0,8	0,8	98,2
99	refused		61	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vinitmg - Member: citizens's initiative

S22

<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_A Citizens' initiative

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vinitmg: Member: citizens's initiative (N=3337)

vinitmg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no		3224	96,6	96,6	96,6
2	yes, just a member		69	2,1	2,1	98,7
3	member and occupy an office		12	0,4	0,4	99,0
9	refused		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpartmg - Member: party

S22

<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_B Political party

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vpartmg: Member: party (N=3337)

vpartmg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no		3131	93,8	93,8	93,8
2	yes, just a member		132	4,0	4,0	97,8
3	member and occupy an office		40	1,2	1,2	99,0
8	don't know		1	0,0	0,0	99,0
9	refused		33	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vberufmg - Member: trade association

S22

<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_C Trade association

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vberufmg: Member: trade association (N=3337)

vberufmg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no		3135	93,9	93,9	93,9
2	yes, member, don't occupy an office		150	4,5	4,5	98,4
3	member and occupy an office		20	0,6	0,6	99,0
9	refused		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgewermg - Member: trade union

S22

<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_D Trade union

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vgewermg: Member: trade union (N=3337)

vgewermg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no		2890	86,6	86,6	86,6
2	yes, member, don't occupy an office		391	11,7	11,7	98,3
3	member and occupy an office		30	0,9	0,9	99,2
9	refused		26	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpid - Party preference

S23

<INT.: hand white list S8 to respondent.>

Many people in the Federal Republic lean toward a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party. How about you? Do you in general lean toward a particular party? If so, which one? Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: Only one answer possible.>

<If Code 96, 98, 99, go to question S27.>

Yes, namely:

- 1 CDU
- 2 CSU
- 3 SPD
- 4 FDP
- 5 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 6 Republikaner
- 7 PDS
- 9 other party

96 don't lean toward a party <Int.: don't read>

98 don't know <Int.: don't read>

99 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpid: Party preference (N=3337)

vpid

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU		730	21,9	21,9	21,9
2	CSU		118	3,5	3,5	25,4
3	SPD		852	25,5	25,5	50,9
4	FDP		69	2,1	2,1	53,0
5	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		211	6,3	6,3	59,3
6	Republikaner		25	0,7	0,7	60,1
7	PDS		142	4,3	4,3	64,3
8	DVU		28	0,8	0,8	65,2
9	other party		22	0,7	0,7	65,8
96	don't lean toward a party		942	28,2	28,2	94,1
98	don't know		52	1,6	1,6	95,6
99	refused		146	4,4	4,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidstrk - Strength of party preference

S24

Taken altogether, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this particular party: very strongly, strongly, moderately, weakly or very weakly?

- 0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID
- 1 very strongly
- 2 strongly
- 3 moderately
- 4 weakly
- 5 very weakly
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpidstrk: Strength of party preference (N=3337)

vpidstrk

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1140	34,2	34,2	34,2
1	very strongly		184	5,5	5,5	39,7
2	strongly		762	22,8	22,8	62,5
3	moderately		1077	32,3	32,3	94,8
4	weakly		142	4,3	4,3	99,0
5	very weakly		17	0,5	0,5	99,6
8	don't know		11	0,3	0,3	99,9
9	refused		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidzeit - Length of party preference

S25

And for how have you been leaning toward this party:

since you can remember, for many years, for a few years, for some months or for a few weeks?

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 since I can remember

2 for many years

3 for a few years

4 for some months

5 for a few weeks

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpidzeit: Length of party preference (N=3337)

vpidzeit

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1140	34,2	34,2	34,2
1	since I can remember		506	15,2	15,2	49,3
2	for many years		919	27,5	27,5	76,9
3	for a few years		615	18,4	18,4	95,3
4	for some months		112	3,4	3,4	98,7
5	for a few weeks		13	0,4	0,4	99,0
8	don't know		26	0,8	0,8	99,8
9	refused		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpida - Party preference: party means a lot to me

S26

<INT.: hand white list S9 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_A This party means a lot to me. I am not indifferent about its development. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, vpid: Party preference: party means a lot to me (N=3337)

vpida

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1140	34,2	34,2	34,2
1	-2 completely disagree		35	1,0	1,0	35,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		136	4,1	4,1	39,3
3	0 part/part		434	13,0	13,0	52,3
4	+1 tend to agree		899	26,9	26,9	79,2
5	+2 completely agree		649	19,4	19,4	98,7
8	don't know		36	1,1	1,1	99,8
9	refused		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidb - Party preference: better politicians

S26

<INT.: hand white list S9 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_B This party doesn't mean that much to me, but it's presently got the better politicians. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, vpidb: Party preference: better politicians (N=3337)

vpidb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1140	34,2	34,2	34,2
1	-2 completely disagree		249	7,5	7,5	41,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		471	14,1	14,1	55,7
3	0 part/part		604	18,1	18,1	73,8
4	+1 tend to agree		587	17,6	17,6	91,4
5	+2 completely agree		176	5,3	5,3	96,7
8	don't know		90	2,7	2,7	99,4
9	refused		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidc - Party preference: often voted for party

S26

<INT.: hand white list S9 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_C I am not really connected to this party, but I often voted for it in the past. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, vpidc: Party preference: often voted for party (N=3337)

vpidc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1140	34,2	34,2	34,2
1	-2 completely disagree		516	15,5	15,5	49,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		550	16,5	16,5	66,1
3	0 part/part		500	15,0	15,0	81,1
4	+1 tend to agree		384	11,5	11,5	92,6
5	+2 completely agree		133	4,0	4,0	96,6
8	don't know		87	2,6	2,6	99,2
9	refused		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidd - Party preference: better policy

S26

<INT.: hand white list S9 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_D This party itself is less important to me, but it presently pursues the better policy. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3066, vpidd: Party preference: better policy (N=3337)

vpidd

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		1140	34,2	34,2	34,2
1	-2 completely disagree		343	10,3	10,3	44,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		447	13,4	13,4	57,8
3	0 part/part		611	18,3	18,3	76,1
4	+1 tend to agree		518	15,5	15,5	91,7
5	+2 completely agree		164	4,9	4,9	96,6
8	don't know		88	2,6	2,6	99,2
9	refused		26	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhhpeink - How many contribute to income of household

S27

Taken altogether, how many persons contribute to the income of this household?

- 1 one person
- 2 two persons
- 3 three persons
- 4 four persons
- 5 five or more persons
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vhhpeink: How many contribute to income of household (N=3337)

vhhpeink

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	one person		1364	40,9	40,9	40,9
2	two persons		1688	50,6	50,6	91,5
3	three persons		194	5,8	5,8	97,3
4	four persons		57	1,7	1,7	99,0
5	five or more persons		17	0,5	0,5	99,5
8	don't know		2	0,1	0,1	99,6
9	refused		15	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhheinko - Household's net income

S28

<INT.: hand white list S10 to respondent.>

Using this list, please tell me the net income of your household, i.e. the amount you have left after taxes and social and health insurance premiums.

Please just tell me the respective identification code from this list.

<INT.: For self-employed persons ask for the average monthly net income less the business expenses>

<INT.: Note identification code.>

- 1 up to 1,000 DM
- 2 1,000 - 1,500 DM
- 3 1,500 - 2,000 DM
- 4 2,000 - 2,500 DM
- 5 2,500 - 3,000 DM
- 6 3,000 - 3,500 DM
- 7 3,500 - 4,000 DM
- 8 4,000 - 5,000 DM
- 9 5,000 - 6,000 DM
- 10 6,000 - 7,000 DM
- 11 7,000- 10,000 DM
- 12 10,000 DM and above
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3066, vhheinko: Household's net income (N=3337)

vhheinko

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	up to 1,000 DM		60	1,8	1,8	1,8
2	1,000 - 1,500 DM		159	4,8	4,8	6,6
3	1,500 - 2,000 DM		235	7,0	7,0	13,6
4	2,000 - 2,500 DM		327	9,8	9,8	23,4
5	2,500 - 3,000 DM		352	10,5	10,5	34,0
6	3,000 - 3,500 DM		301	9,0	9,0	43,0
7	3,500 - 4,000 DM		307	9,2	9,2	52,2
8	4,000 - 5,000 DM		306	9,2	9,2	61,3
9	5,000 - 6,000 DM		204	6,1	6,1	67,5
10	6,000 - 7,000 DM		115	3,4	3,4	70,9
11	7,000- 10,000 DM		110	3,3	3,3	74,2
12	10,000 DM and above		43	1,3	1,3	75,5
98	don't know		153	4,6	4,6	80,1
99	refused		665	19,9	19,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vschicht - Respondent's subjective strata

S29

There is much talk these days about the various population strata. Which of these strata do you consider yourself a part of, the working class, the middle class or the upper class?

<If code 8 or 9, go to question S32.>

- 1 working class
- 2 middle class
- 3 upper class
- 8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vschicht: Respondent's subjective strata (N=3337)

vschicht

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	working class		1329	39,8	39,8	39,8
2	middle class		1749	52,4	52,4	92,2
3	upper class		99	3,0	3,0	95,2
8	don't know		102	3,1	3,1	98,3
9	refused		58	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwoschi - Which part of strata

S30

Would you rather place yourself in the lower part, the average or the upper part of this strata?

0 INAP.: Code 8 or 9 in VSCHICHT

1 lower part

2 average

3 upper part

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vwoschi: Which part of strata (N=3337)

vwoschi

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		160	4,8	4,8	4,8
1	lower part		500	15,0	15,0	19,8
2	average		2222	66,6	66,6	86,4
3	upper part		415	12,4	12,4	98,8
8	don't know		29	0,9	0,9	99,7
9	refused		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vschielt - Strata compared to parents

S31

And when you compare that to your parents' house, would you say you belong to a lower stratum, the same stratum or a higher stratum than your parents.

0 INAP.: Code 8 or 9 in VSCHICHT

1 lower stratum

2 the same stratum

3 higher stratum

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>

9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vschielt: Strata compared to parents (N=3337)

vschielt

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP		160	4,8	4,8	4,8
1	lower class		385	11,5	11,5	16,3
2	the same class		2162	64,8	64,8	81,1
3	higher class		533	16,0	16,0	97,1
8	don't know		68	2,0	2,0	99,1
9	refused		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhhpers - Household size

S32

How many persons all together live in you household, you and children included? Please also add the persons who are temporarily absent, but who belong to the household.

<lf code 1, go to question S34.>

- 1 I live alone
- 2 two persons
- 3 three persons
- 4 four persons
- 5 five persons
- 6 six persons
- 7 seven persons
- 8 eight persons
- 9 nine persons
- 10 ten persons
- 11 eleven persons
- 98 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 99 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vhhpers: Household size (N=3337)

vhhpers

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I live alone		820	24,6	24,6	24,6
2	two persons		1277	38,3	38,3	62,8
3	three persons		594	17,8	17,8	80,6
4	four persons		467	14,0	14,0	94,6
5	five persons		129	3,9	3,9	98,5
6	six persons		35	1,0	1,0	99,6
7	seven persons		10	0,3	0,3	99,9
8	eight persons		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
11	eleven persons		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhhper16 - Household size, Germans over 16

S33

How many persons in your household, yourself and your children included, have a German citizenship and are at least 16 years old?

<INT.: please note amount>

- 1 one person
- 2 two persons
- 3 three persons
- 4 four persons
- 5 five persons
- 6 six persons
- 7 seven persons
- 8 eight persons
- 98 don't know <Int.: don't read>
- 99 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note:

Even though, the persons who live alone, should be filtered out in question S33, they are recoded on code 1 in vhhper16.

ZA3066, vhhper16: Household size, Germans over 16 (N=3337)

vhhper16

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	one person		928	27,8	27,8	27,8
2	two persons		1828	54,8	54,8	82,6
3	three persons		385	11,5	11,5	94,1
4	four persons		160	4,8	4,8	98,9
5	five persons		29	0,9	0,9	99,8
6	six persons		5	0,1	0,1	99,9
7	seven persons		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
8	eight persons		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vtelefon - Telephone household

S34

Do you have a telephone here in this household?

- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vtelefon: Telephone household (N=3337)

vtelefon

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	yes		3072	92,1	92,1	92,1
2	no		253	7,6	7,6	99,6
9	refused		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vteiln - Agree: further interview 2002

S35

<INT.: get the universities' letters and relocation card ready.>

Our last interview questions is a request to you at the same time. This survey you just participated in is done under the direction of three professors, working at the universities of Stuttgart, Mainz and Bamberg. In their name we would like to pass this letter to you. The professors would like to continue this study in the next Bundestag elections in 2002, i.e. in four years.

In this letter you are asked to agree to a further interview in the future. With your approval you don't incur any liabilities, it is completely up to you whether you want to participate again in four years or not. We kindly ask you to do so - please read (evtl: the letter) yourself.

<INT.: Hand letter and relocation card to respondent and encircle>

- 1 yes, respondent can be asked again in 2002, whether he/she wants to participate
- 2 no, respondent decidedly rejects that
- 9 refused

ZA3066, vteiln: Agree: further interview 2002 (N=3337)

vteiln

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	yes		2629	78,8	78,8	78,8
2	no		683	20,5	20,5	99,3
9	refused		25	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vdatum - Date of interview

Date of interview

koding format: DDMMYY

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vdauer - Length of interview

Length of interview

999 length of interview unascertainable

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vinternr - Interviewer identification

Identification of interviewer

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vortsggrp - Size of town - politically

(completed by the institute:)

Size of town (politically)

- 1 less than 1,999 inhabitants
- 2 2,000 - 4,999
- 3 5,000 - 19,999
- 4 20,000 - 49,999
- 5 50,000 - 99,999
- 6 100,000 - 499,999
- 7 500,000 inhabitants and more

ZA3066, vortsggrp: Size of town - politically (N=3337)

vortsggrp

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	less than 1,999 inhabitants		292	8,8	8,8	8,8
2	2,000 - 4,999		312	9,3	9,3	18,1
3	5,000 - 19,999		611	18,3	18,3	36,4
4	20,000 - 49,999		609	18,2	18,2	54,7
5	50,000 - 99,999		272	8,2	8,2	62,8
6	100,000 - 499,999		646	19,4	19,4	82,2
7	500,000 inhabitants and more		595	17,8	17,8	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vortsgrb - Size of town BIK (Boustedt)

Size of town according to BIK (Boustedt)

- 1 less than 1,999 inhabitants
- 2 2,000 - 4,999
- 3 5,000 - 19,999
- 4 20,000 - 49,999
- 5 50,000 - 99,999
- 6 100,000 - 499,999
- 7 500,000 inhabitants and more

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, vortsgrb: Size of town BIK (Boustedt) (N=3337)

vortsgrb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	less than 1,999 inhabitants		259	7,8	7,8	7,8
2	2,000 - 4,999		214	6,4	6,4	14,2
3	5,000 - 19,999		394	11,8	11,8	26,0
4	20,000 - 49,999		323	9,7	9,7	35,7
5	50,000 - 99,999		190	5,7	5,7	41,4
6	100,000 - 499,999		607	18,2	18,2	59,5
7	500,000 inhabitants and more		1350	40,5	40,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vortsg98 - Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998

Size of town according to BIK (Boustedt), differential version 1998

- 1 less than 1,999 inhabitants
- 2 2,000 - 4,999
- 3 5,000 - 19,999
- 4 20,000 - 49,999
- 5 50,000 - 99,999, peripheral area
- 6 50,000 - 99,999, centre zone
- 7 100,000 - 499,999, peripheral area
- 8 100,000 - 499,999, centre zone
- 9 500,000 and more inhabitants, peripheral area
- 10 500,000 and more inhabitants, centre zone

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, vortsg98: Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998 (N=3337)

vortsg98

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	less than 1,999 inhabitants		259	7,8	7,8	7,8
2	2,000 - 4,999		214	6,4	6,4	14,2
3	5,000 - 19,999		394	11,8	11,8	26,0
4	20,000 - 49,999		323	9,7	9,7	35,7
5	50,000 - 99,999, peripheral area		31	0,9	0,9	36,6
6	50,000 - 99,999, centre zone		159	4,8	4,8	41,4
7	100,000 - 499,999, peripheral area		194	5,8	5,8	47,2
8	100,000 - 499,999, centre zone		413	12,4	12,4	59,5
9	500,000 and more inhabitants, peripheral area		265	7,9	7,9	67,5
10	500,000 and more inhabitants, centre zone		1085	32,5	32,5	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vregbez - District of the interview

District of the interview

- 10 Sleswig-Holstein
- 20 Hamburg
- 31 Brunswick
- 32 Hanover
- 33 Lueneburg
- 34 Weser-Ems
- 40 Bremen
- 51 Dusseldorf
- 53 Cologne
- 55 Muenster
- 57 Detmold
- 59 Arnsberg
- 64 Darmstadt
- 65 Gießen
- 66 Kassel
- 71 Coblenz
- 72 Trier
- 73 Rhinhesse-Palatinate
- 81 Stuttgart
- 82 Karlsruhe
- 83 Fribourg
- 84 Tuebingen
- 91 Upper Bavaria ('Oberbayern')
- 92 Lower Bavaria ('Niederbayern')
- 93 Upper Palatinate ('Oberpfalz')
- 94 Upper Franconia ('Oberfranken')
- 95 Central Franconia ('Mittelfranken')
- 96 Lower Franconia ('Unterfranken')
- 97 Swabia ('Schwaben')
- 100 Saarland
- 111 West Berlin
- 112 East Berlin
- 120 Brandenburg
- 130 Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- 141 Chemnitz
- 142 Dresden
- 143 Leipzig
- 151 Dessau
- 152 Halle
- 153 Magdeburg
- 160 Thuringia

ZA3066, vregbez: District of the interview (N=3337)

vregbez

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
10	Sleswig-Holstein		95	2,8	2,8	2,8
20	Hamburg		73	2,2	2,2	5,0
31	Brunswick		40	1,2	1,2	6,2
32	Hanover		76	2,3	2,3	8,5
33	Lueneburg		56	1,7	1,7	10,2
34	Weser-Ems		70	2,1	2,1	12,3
40	Bremen		33	1,0	1,0	13,3
51	Duesseldorf		189	5,7	5,7	18,9
53	Cologne		136	4,1	4,1	23,0
55	Muenster		79	2,4	2,4	25,4
57	Detmold		90	2,7	2,7	28,1
59	Arnsberg		144	4,3	4,3	32,4
64	Darmstadt		143	4,3	4,3	36,7
65	Giessen		39	1,2	1,2	37,8
66	Kassel		47	1,4	1,4	39,3
71	Coblenz		41	1,2	1,2	40,5
72	Trier		15	0,4	0,4	40,9
73	Rhinhesse-Palatinate		59	1,8	1,8	42,7
81	Stuttgart		106	3,2	3,2	45,9
82	Karlsruhe		82	2,5	2,5	48,3
83	Fribourg		58	1,7	1,7	50,1
84	Tuebingen		49	1,5	1,5	51,5
91	Upper Bavaria		143	4,3	4,3	55,8
92	Lower Bavaria		25	0,7	0,7	56,6
93	Upper Palatinate		30	0,9	0,9	57,5
94	Upper Franconia		36	1,1	1,1	58,6
95	Central Franconia		34	1,0	1,0	59,6
96	Lower Franconia		32	1,0	1,0	60,5
97	Swabia		59	1,8	1,8	62,3
100	Saarland		40	1,2	1,2	63,5
111	West Berlin		111	3,3	3,3	66,8
112	East Berlin		105	3,1	3,1	70,0
120	Brandenburg		176	5,3	5,3	75,2
130	Mecklenburg-Vorpommern		131	3,9	3,9	79,2
141	Chemnitz		118	3,5	3,5	82,7
142	Dresden		120	3,6	3,6	86,3
143	Leipzig		79	2,4	2,4	88,7
151	Dessau		46	1,4	1,4	90,1
152	Halle		59	1,8	1,8	91,8
153	Magdeburg		92	2,8	2,8	94,6
160	Thuringia		181	5,4	5,4	100,0
	Sum		3337	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3337			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgwow1 - absolute East-West weight, pre-election or post-election

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgwow2 - absolute East-West weight, pre-election + post-election

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgvwnw - Representative weights pre-election or post-election, East or West

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgges - Representative weights East pre- + post-election or West pre- + post

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vgges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgvwnwow - Representative weights all-German pre-election or post-election

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vggesow - Representative weights all-German pre-election + post-election

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggew

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Contents		page
za_nr	ZA Study Number	1
version	GESIS Archive Version	2
doi	Digital Object Identifier	3
vvpid	Respondent number	4
vsplitwo	West-East split	5
vvornach	Date of interview	6
vprojekt	Year of interview	7
vland	Federal state - Bundesland	8
vadresnr	Sequential address number	9
v10	Economic situation today	10
v20	Economic situation improved	12
v30	Economic situation in a year	13
v31	Which vote more important?	14
v40	Democracy in Germany	15
v50	Interest in politics: strength	16
v60	Will respondent vote in next election?	17
v62	Vote: polling place/absentee	18
v63	Same vote decision as last election	19
v64	Party vote intention, post-election	20
v65	Candidate vote, post-election	22
v66	Party, post: constructed	24
v67	Candidate, post: constructed	25
v69	Candidate (pre) + recall (post)	27
v70	Party (pre) + recall (post)	29
v71	Voting decision, will respondent vote?	31
v72	Voting decision: when?	32
v73a	Most important: 98 voting	33
v73b	2nd most important: 98 voting	34
v80	Sure of voting decision	35
v81a	Voting decision: 1st reason	36
v81b	Voting decision: 2nd reason	39
v81c	Voting decision: 3rd reason	42
v81d	Voting decision: 4th reason	45
v81e	Voting decision: 5th reason	47
v82	Eligible to vote 1998	49
v83a	Non-voter: 1st reason	50
v83b	Non-voter: 2nd reason	52
v83c	Non-voter: 3rd reason	54
v83d	Non-voter: 4th reason	55
v83e	Non-voter: 5th reason	56
v84a	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP	57
v84b	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	58
v84c	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS	59
v84d	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner	60
v84e	Certainty of representation in Bundestag: DVU	61
v85	Which party gets most votes?	62
v86	Evaluation concept state democracy	63
v90	Personal financial situation	64
v100	Personal financial situation improved	65

v110	Personal financial situation in a year	66
v120a	Dissatisfied with parties: 1st	67
v120b	Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd	69
v120c	Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd	71
v120d	Dissatisfied with parties: 4th	73
v120e	Dissatisfied with parties: 5th	74
v121	Respondent: wanted government coalition	75
v122	Satisfied election outcome 1998	76
v123	Follow election campaign 1998	77
v130a	Parties only want votes	78
v130b	Parties: state as self-service	79
v130c	Party politicians trustworthy	80
v130d	Good relations to parties	81
v130e	Party member can produce idea	82
v130f	Parties only interest in power	83
v130g	Objectives of parties clearly different	84
v130h	Parties too much influence in society	85
v130i	Worse without professional politicians	86
v130k	Parties, politicians corrupt	87
v140aa	Important problems FRG: 1	88
v140ab	Important problems FRG: 2	91
v140ac	Important problems FRG: 3	94
v140ad	Important problems FRG: 4	96
v140ae	Important problems FRG: 5	98
v140af	Important problems FRG: 6	100
vwiprob1	Most important problem FRG	102
vwiprob2	2nd most important problem FRG	105
v150	Qualified: most important problem	108
v160	Qualified: 2nd most important problem	109
v170a	Politicians pay attention to people	110
v170b	People no influence on government	111
v170d	Politics too complicated	112
v170f	Members of the Bundestag: contact with population	113
v170g	Citizens hardly influence politics	114
v170h	Right to stand up own opinion	115
v170i	Respondent: active role in political group	116
v170k	No democracy without opposition	117
v170l	Respondent: understand political issues	118
v170m	Duty of citizens: electoral participation	119
v170o	Every party: chance government	120
v171a	Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU	121
v171b	Nuclear energy: SPD	122
v171c	Nuclear energy: FDP	123
v171d	Nuclear energy: Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	124
v171e	Nuclear energy: Republikaner	125
v171f	Nuclear energy: PDS	126
v171g	Nuclear energy: DVU	127
v172a	Immigration: CDU/CSU	128
v172b	Immigration: SPD	129
v172c	Immigration: FDP	130
v172d	Immigration: Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	131

v172e	Immigration: Republikaner	132
v172f	Immigration: PDS	133
v172g	Immigration: DVU	134
v173a	European unification: CDU/CSU	135
v173b	European unification: SPD	136
v173c	European unification: FDP	137
v173d	European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	138
v173e	European unification: Republikaner	139
v173f	European unification: PDS	140
v173g	European unification: DVU	141
v174a	Personal opinion: nuclear energy	142
v174b	Personal opinion: immigration	143
v174c	Personal opinion: European unification	144
v175a	Important: nuclear energy	145
v175b	Important: immigration	146
v175c	Important: European unification	147
v176a	Important: providing jobs	148
v176b	Important: support new technologies	149
v176c	Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women	150
v177a	State responsible: provide job	151
v177b	State responsible: support new technologies	152
v177c	State responsible: promotion of equal opportunities for women	153
v178a	Satisfied state: provide jobs	154
v178b	Satisfied state: support new technologies	155
v178c	Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women	156
v179	Respondent lived where July 1989?	157
v180aspw	FRG 89: standard of living	158
v180bspw	FRG 1989: fair distribution income	159
v180cspw	FRG 1989: social security	160
v180dspw	FRG 1989: company of people	161
v180espw	FRG 1989: protection crime	162
v180aspo	GDR 1989: standard of living	163
v180bspw	GDR 1989: fair distribution income	164
v180cspo	GDR 1989: social security	165
v180dspw	GDR 1989: company of people	166
v180espo	GDR 1989: protection crime	167
v181	Know: number federal states	168
v200a	Think of: CDU	169
v200b	Think of: CSU	170
v200c	Think of: SPD	171
v200d	Think of: FDP	172
v200e	Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	173
v200f	Think of: Republikaner	174
v200g	Think of: PDS	175
v200h	Think of: DVU	176
v210a	Political goal: order in nation	177
v210b	Political goal: more say in government decisions	179
v210c	Political goal: fight rising prices	181
v210d	Political goal: freedom of speech	183
v220a	Trust: Bundestag	185
v220b	Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht	186

v220c	Trust: federal government	187
v220d	Trust: courts	188
v220e	Trust: police	189
v220f	Trust: administration	190
v220g	Trust: churches	191
v220h	Trust: parties	192
v220i	Trust: Bundeswehr	193
v220k	Trust: unions	194
v220l	Trust: trade and employers' associations	195
v220m	Trust: ecological groups	196
v230	Preferred chancellor	197
v231k	Scalometer: Kohl	198
v231s	Scalometer: Schroeder	199
v232ak	Kohl: economic skills	200
v232as	Schroeder: economic skills	201
v232bk	Kohl: likeable human being	202
v232bs	Schroeder: likeable human being	203
v232ck	Kohl: politically trustworthy	204
v232cs	Schroeder: politically trustworthy	205
v232dk	Kohl: dynamic	206
v232ds	Schroeder: dynamic	207
v240a	Political participation: citizens' initiative	208
v240b	Political participation: legal demonstration	209
v240c	Political participation: violent demonstration	210
v240e	Political participation: blockade traffic	211
v240f	Political participation: get support from party	212
v250	Left-right self-placement	213
v260a	Interests: trade unions	214
v260b	Interests: trade and employers' associations	215
v260c	Interests: ecological groups	216
v260f	Interests: churches	217
v260g	Interests: CDU/CSU	218
v260h	Interests: SPD	219
v260i	Interests: FDP	220
v260j	Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	221
v260k	Interests: Republikaner	222
v260l	Interests: DVU	223
v260m	Interests: PDS	224
v280a	Close to party: trade unions	225
v280b	Close to party: Trade and employers' associations	226
v280c	Close to party: ecological groups	227
v280f	Close to party: churches	228
v281a	Society: respects established	229
v281b	Society: citizens participation	230
v281c	Society: achieve through merit	231
v281d	Society: respect law and order	232
v281e	Society: open to new ideas	233
v281f	Society: man more important than money	234
v282	Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government	235
v283a	Connected to: community, city	236
v283b	Connected to: region	237

v283c	Connected to: federal state	238
v283d	Connected to: old FRG/GDR	239
v283e	Connected to: Germany	240
v284a	Left-right: CDU	241
v284b	Left-right: SPD	242
v284c	Left-right: FDP	243
v284d	Left-right: CSU	244
v284e	Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	245
v284f	Left-right: Republikaner	246
v284g	Left-right: PDS	247
v284h	Left-right: DVU	248
v285a	How often: Bild-Zeitung	249
v285b	How often: national newspaper	250
v285c	How often: local or regional newspaper	251
v286a	How often: news ARD, ZDF	252
v286b	How often: news private TV	253
v310	Social order fair or unfair	254
v320	Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population	255
v330	Recall: party vote 1994	256
v350a	Proud to be German	257
v350b	Nationalise important companies	258
v350c	Courage to sense of nationality	259
v350d	Group interests subordinate to public welfare	260
v350f	Dictatorship better type of state	261
v350g	National Socialism also positive	262
v350k	Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman	263
v350l	FRG foreign-controlled	264
v350m	GDR: more good than bad aspects	265
v350n	Foreigners should marry countrymen	266
v350o	Influence of Jews still too big	267
v350p	Socialism good concept, badly executed	268
v350q	Jews don't fit in society	269
v350r	Sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum	270
vsex	Sex of respondent	271
vmonat	Month of birth of respondent	272
vjahr	Year of birth of respondent	273
vrelig	Respondent's religious denomination	274
vkirchg	Frequency attend church	275
vbildga	Education, kind of school	276
vbildgb	Aimed form of graduation	277
vbildgc	Completed apprenticeship	278
vberuftg	Present employment	279
vfrbertg	Previous employment	280
vfrbejah	Previous employment: until when	281
vwechs94	Involuntary job change 1994	282
varlos94	Unemployed since 1994	283
vbausg94	Withdraw from working life 1994	284
voeff	Employed: public servant	285
vberuf	Employment status	286
vfamstdn	Respondent: marital status	288
vpartner	Live together with partner	289

vpbildga	Partner: kind of school	290
vpbildgb	Partner: aimed graduation	291
vpbildgc	Partner: completed apprenticeship	292
vpberuft	Partner: present employment	293
vpfbertg	Partner: previous employment	294
vpfrbeja	Partner: previous employment: until when	295
vpwech94	Partner: involuntary job change 1994	296
vparlo94	Partner: unemployed since 1994	297
vpbaus94	Partner: withdraw from working life 1994	298
vpoeff	Partner: employed: public servant	299
vpberuf	Partner: employment status	300
vinitmg	Member: citizens's initiative	302
vpartmg	Member: party	303
vberufmg	Member: trade association	304
vgewermg	Member: trade union	305
vpid	Party preference	306
vpidstrk	Strength of party preference	307
vpidzeit	Length of party preference	308
vpida	Party preference: party means a lot to me	309
vpidb	Party preference: better politicians	310
vpidc	Party preference: often voted for party	311
vpidd	Party preference: better policy	312
vhhpink	How many contribute to income of household	313
vhheinko	Household's net income	314
vschicht	Respondent's subjective strata	315
vwoschi	Which part of strata	316
vschielt	Strata compared to parents	317
vhhpers	Household size	318
vhhper16	Household size, Germans over 16	319
vtelefon	Telephone household	320
vteiln	Agree: further interview 2002	321
vdatum	Date of interview	322
vdauer	Length of interview	323
vinternr	Interviewer identification	324
vortsgrp	Size of town - politically	325
vortsgrb	Size of town BIK (Boustedt)	326
vortsg98	Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998	327
vregbez	District of the interview	328
vgwow1	absolute East-West weight, pre-election or post-election	330
vgwow2	absolute East-West weight, pre-election + post-election	331
vgvwnw	Representative weights pre-election or post-election, East or West	332
vgges	Representative weights East pre- + post-election or West pre- + post	333
vgvwnwow	Representative weights all-German pre-election or post-election	334
vggesow	Representative weights all-German pre-election + post-election	335