Mongolia
ISSP 2018 – Religion IV
Method Information

INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME

Study Monitoring Questionnaire

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE

ISSP 2018 RELIGION IV STUDY

RETURN TO: Smith-Tom@NORC.org

Details of Your Institute

1a. Please enter the name of your institute and your country:

Institute: | Academy of Political Education | Country: | Mongolia

1b. Please enter the name of the principal investigator and your contact person for questions about the study:

Principal Investigator: | Ganbat Damba | Contact Person: | Adiyasuren Jamiyandagva

2a. What kind of institute fielded the module?

An institute principally doing market research ☐

An institute principally doing academic research ☒ +

An institute doing both market and academic research ☐

Other (please write in details) ☐

2b. Which institute carried out the fielding?

Name: | Academy of Political Educaiton

Questionnaire Languages

3a. Was the questionnaire fielded ...

only in English ☐ → Question 12

in English plus other language(s) ☐ → Question 3b

only in translation ☒ + → Question 3b

3b. Please enter the language(s) the module was fielded in.

Please write in: Mongolian

Translation

Note: If your translation process was different for different languages, please answer questions 4 to 10 for your most common practice and note any differences for other languages in question 11.

4. Was the questionnaire …?

a written translation (a questionnaire/application) ☐ + →Question 5

an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot) ☐ →Question 11

5. Who carried out translation? Please tick all that apply.

A member or members of the research team ☐ +

A translation bureau ☐

One or more specially trained translators ☐

Other (please write in details) ☐

6. Was translation checked?

Yes, all of the questionnaire ☐ + →Question 7

Yes, only the new questions ☐ →Question 7

No ☐ →Question 8

7. How was translation checked?

Group discussion ☐ +

Expert checked it ☐

Back translation ☐

Other (please write in details) ☐

Please write in:

8. Was the translation questionnaire pre-tested?

Yes ☐ +

No ☐

9. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating? Please tick all that apply.

No problems ☐ →Question 11

Answer scales ☐

Instructions ☐

Whole questions ☐

Words or concepts ☒

Other (please write in details) ☐

> *Some concepts that are indigenous to Christianity needed further clarification in the Mongolian translation.*

10. What did you do about any problems in translation?

> *Consult with experts in the field and explain the concept to the respondent.*

11. If your translation process was different for different languages, please note any differences for other languages in the box below.

> *Please enter details:*

Fielding the ISSP Module

12. How was the ISSP Religion module fielded in your country?

As an <u>individual</u> survey, the ISSP Religion module was the whole survey) ☒ →Question 15

As part of a <u>larger</u> survey ☐ →Question 13

13. Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name).

Please enter:

14. What was the approximate position of the module in the larger questionnaire?

Start of questionnaire ☐

Middle of questionnaire ☐

End of questionnaire ☐

15. Were the substantive questions in the module all asked in the prescribed order?

Yes ☐+ →Question 17

Yes, apart from omissions ☐ →Question 17

No ☐ →Question 16

16. Why was the question order changed?

Please enter:

17. Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?

Yes – all questions and background questions <u>included</u> ☐+ →Question 19

No – substantive question(s) from module <u>missing</u> ☐ →Question 18

No – required background ISSP question(s) <u>missing</u> ☐ →Question 18

18. Please provide details of the questions missing and indicate why they are missing.

Source questionnaire: question number or description of question:

Reason(s) why missing:

Sampling

19. Was your sample designed to be representative of ...

Only adult citizens of your country? ☐ +

Adults of any nationality residing in your country? ☐

20. Was your sample designed to be representative of ...

Only adults living in private accommodation? ☐ + → Question 21

Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation
(e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)? ☐

If private and institutional, please enter details in box below.

> *Please enter in:*

21. What was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample?

WRITE IN : ☐ 1 ☐ 8

22. Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off ☐☐

No cut-off ☐ +

23. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design,
apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked
about?

No ☐ +

Yes (please write in details) ☐

> *If yes, write in details:*

24. Did you use any variables for stratification?

Yes ☐ →Question 25

No ☐ + →Question 26

25. Please describe the stratification variables used?

> *Please write in:*

26. How many of stages did your sampling design have?

One stage	
Two stages	
Three stages	
Four stages	+

27. Did your sampling frame consist of…?

Addresses	+
Households	
Named individuals (the target persons)	
Named individuals (<u>not</u> the target persons)	
Areas	
Something else (please write in details)	

28. Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral roll, telephone directory and its coverage and updating).

> *Please write in:* Eligible voters in the national population register.

29a. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?

> *Please write in:* From regional lists divided into election districts PSUs will be selected using a Probability Proportionate to Size interval from a randomly generated starting point.

29b. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the second stage?

Please write in: Per PSU number of Soums (in Aimaks) and Districts (in Cities) will be also selected using a random selection procedure.

29c. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the third stage?

Please write in:
A random starting point will be chosen in each Soums and then at concrete interval households be selected. Each team has cards with numbers from 5 to 15 used for this stage. All selection procedures in each Soums shall be noted in a special protocol and signed by supervisor. Households in rural area divided into two groups, the Soum centre and nomadic, in order to represent both groups of population living in the rural. The teams produce simple maps

29d. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the fourth stage?

Please write in: To select respondents in a selected household a Kish Grid table shall be used. Each interviewer has the table in own manual and to select randomly the respondent a dice is used in each household.

30. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?

Kish grid | + |

Last (or next) birthday | |

Quota | |

Other (please write in details) | |

31. Please describe your quota procedures.

Please write in:
No quotas were used.

32. Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes | + | →Question 33

No | | →Question 34

33. Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.

> *If the initial household was unavailable, the household on the right hand side was selected as substitution. If this household was also unavailable the household on the left hand side of the original household was selected.*

34. All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>net</u> sample?/ For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

> *Please write in:*
> To the extent of our knowledge, there are no limitations to our net sample.

Data Collection

35. What data collection methods were used for the module (substantive and background questions)?

Face-to-face | + |

Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting) | |

'Mixed mode': part self-completion, part face-to-face (please write in details) | |

Other (please write in details) | |

> *If 'mixed mode' or other, please write in:*

36. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

	D D	**MM**	**YY**
Start date	2 0	0 8	1 8
End date	1 7	1 0	1 8

37. Were postal or telephone components used at any point (e.g., advance contacts)?

Yes - postal ☐ ↦Question 38

Yes - telephone ☐ ↦Question 38

No [+] →Question 39

38. Please give details of the postal and/or telephone components.

> *Please enter details:*

39. Were incentives offered?

Yes, to respondent [+]

Yes, to interviewer [+]

No, neither to respondent nor to interviewer ☐

Interviewer Procedures

40. Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?

Yes [+]

No ☐

41. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?

Tick all that apply

Calls/visits must be made at different times of day [+]

Calls/visits must be made on different days of week ☐

Neither of the above ☐

42. Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of calls/visits before they stopped approaching an address or household?

Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number [0][8]

No minimum call requirement ☐

43. Were any interviews supervised (that is, supervisor accompanies interviewer)?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion ☐☐ %

No [+]

44. Were any interviews back-checked (e.g. supervisor checks later whether interview conducted)?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion | 1 | 8 | %

No | |

Response and Outcome Information

45. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.

Some categories may not apply, but please complete to the highest level of detail possible.

Issued sample (n)	Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size)	1280
Ineligible (n)	Addresses which could not be traced at all/ selected respondents who could not be traced	0
	Addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings	0
	Other ineligible (please specify)	0
Eligible (n)	*Issued sample minus Ineligible*	0
Refusal (n)	Personal refusal at selected address	17
	Proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)	2
	Other refusal at selected address	4
Non-contact (n)	No contact at selected address	31
	No contact with selected person	0
Other unproductive (n)	Selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate	0
	Selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	0
	Selected respondent away during survey period	0
	Other type of unproductive reaction (please specify)	0
Valid cases (n)	Full productive interview	1280
	Partial productive interview (50% of the module or more)	0

More information on "Other ineligible":

Please write in: None

More information on "Other type of unproductive reaction":

> *Please write in:* None

Coding and Verifying Энэ хэсгийн асуултыг би бүрэн ойлгохгүй байна. Та 2 ярьж байгаад бичих, уг нь ихэнхид нь тйим гэж хариулах байх.

46. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

Yes ☒

No ☐

47. Was keying of the data verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification ☐☐☐ %

No ☐

48. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)?

Yes ☒

No ☐

No derived variables ☐

49. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

Yes ☒

No ☐

50. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

Yes ☒

No ☐

51. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?

Yes [x]

No [ ]

If you answered YES for <u>any</u> question from Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 52.
If you answered NO for <u>all</u> questions Q46to Q51, continue with Question 53.

52. Were errors corrected?

Tick all that apply

Yes - individually [x]

Yes - automatically [ ]

No - not corrected [ ]

53. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?

Yes [x] → Question 54

No [ ] → Question 55

54. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

Please write in:
The data that was gathered through the questionnaires were weighed against the net average in regards to age and gender of the national population of Mongolia. Data from the last national survey made in 2017 were used.

55. Is a national methods report available for your study?

Yes [ ]

No [x]

56. If there is anything you would like to comment on, please do so here.

No

THANK YOU VERY MUCH

ISSP WEIGHTING

In order for the documentation on weighting to be both more complete and more comparable, the Weighting group asks you to provide the following information which in the future will be collected as part of the SMQ or otherwise. It wants the weighting documentation to allow other researchers to fully understand the nature of the weighting and how it was implemented.

Please enter the name of your institute and your country:

Institute | Academy of Political Education | **Country** | Mongolia

IN THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, PLEASE REFER TO THE LATEST ISSP SURVEY YOU HAVE ARCHIVED AND ANSWER ABOUT YOUR NATIONAL DATA.

1. **Was a weight variable(s) included for your data?**

   Yes   +

   No   ☐   Please skip the rest of the weighting questionnaire but return to Tom Smith: SMITH-TOM@norc.org //

2. **Was a single weight included or were there two or more weights?**

   One   +   Pleaseskip # 3

   Two   ☐

3. **If Two+: How many weighting variables were included with your data?**
   Please enter number

   _____

4. Who calculated the weight(s)?

The data collection agency   +

The ISSP member organization   ☐

Other and combinations   ☐
explain what it is in detail

_____

_____

_____

5. Is the weight personal-level, household-level, or something else?

Person   +

Household   ☐

Other –   ☐
explain what it is in detail

. _____

Please return the completed questionnaire to Tom Smith: SMITH-TOM(at)norc.org.

6. **What type of weight was it?**
   (Please see definitions below)
   Single type weights
   Design weight ☐
   Post-Stratification weight ☐
   Non-response weight ☐
   Combined, summary weights
   Design + Post-Stratification ☐
   Design + Non-response ☐
   Design + Post-Stratification + Non-response ☐
   Post-Stratification + Non-response ☐
   Other (Other single type or a combined/summary weight using another weight as one

   component, including total population projection weights)   +

   Provide full details on the other weight.

   The gender and age of the respondents were weighed against the average of the national population of Mongolia. Design weights were employed for the effort

   Design weights *are adjustments that must be made to produce a representative sample of the target population because of features of the sample design. Examples include, adjustmentsfor the number of eligible respondents in a household when one random member is selected as the target respondent, the oversampling of regions or social groups, and the sub-sampling of initial non-respondents for further follow-up.*

   Post-stratification weights *are usually adjustments based on differences between the distributions of selected demographics on the survey and a reference standard such as a census, large-scale, high-quality demographic survey, and/or administrative records that are judged to have more accurate and representative coverage of the target population.*

   Non-response weights *are adjustments for non-response based on case-level information about the attributes of all sampled cases. Examples are - using geocoded data for address-based samples to correct for any bias due to differential non-response by community type and/or region and using case-level data from variables in a population register sample to correct for differential non-response.*

7. **What external standards were used to calculate the weight?**

   Please provide complete references to the data source(s) including the date of the census, survey, administrative database, or other source used.

   a. **Data source**
   The General data base for population provided by the National Statistical Office of Mongolia: http://1212.mn/stat.aspx?LIST_ID=976_L03

   b. **Date of source**
   2017 он

8. **What variables were used in constructing the weight?**
   a. **List all variables and define them as needed.**

   Gender

   Age

   b. **Indicate precisely what categories (e.g. age recodes, racial/ethnic groups) were utilized for each variable.**

   Gender

   Age groups as outlined in the data of the National Statistical Office of Mongolia

9. **How were multiple variables used together?**
   a. **Was raking (also known as iterative proportional fitting, sample---balancing, or raking ratio estimation) employed when using multiple variables?**
   Yes   ☐

   No   +

   b. **Was any other procedure used besides raking?**
   Yes   ☐

   No   +

   c. **Provide full details on how multiple variables were used together.**

   Multiple variables weren't used_____

   _____

   _____

10. **What was the range of weighting values (high to low)?**
    Please enter numbers

Please return the completed questionnaire to Tom Smith: SMITH-TOM(at)norc.org.

Low   0.75
High   1.77

11. Were the weights capped, trimmed, or attenuated in some way?

Yes   ☐

No   +   Please skip # 12 and # 13

12. If Yes: What exactly were the caps or other recodings used?

_____

_____

_____

13. On what basis, by what procedures were the caps or other recodings determined?

_____

_____

_____

14. **What is the mean value of the weight?**
Please enter number
1.07

15. **If some combination of design, post-stratification, non-response, and other weights were used to make a summary weight:**

a. **How were the different types of weights combined together to make the summary weight?**

Age groups and gender were the main factors of weighing, therefore types were not combined.

b. **Were separate weights made for each type of weights or were the different weighting components used directly to calculate the summary weight?**

Separate sub-weights   ☐

Just a summary weight calculated   +

c. **If separate weights were initially calculated, how were they combined to make the summary weight?**

Provide full details on the sub-weights and how they were combined.

Sub-weights were not used.

IF MORE THAN ONE WEIGHT WAS INCLUDED, QUESTIONS 4-15 WOULD HAVE TO BE REPEATED FOR EACH WEIGHT.

PLEASE COPY THE RESPECTIVE PART OF THE FORM AND ANSWER FOR EACH WEIGHT INDIVIDUALLY.

Please return the completed questionnaire to Tom Smith: SMITH-TOM(at)norc.org.