gesis

Leibniz–Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal Election Study

GLES

Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS

GLES-Panel

Wave 24 (Pre-Release)

ZA7730

*Documentation of Questionnaire
(Preliminary Version)*

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviours during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections. It is a longitudinal survey of the same individuals of currently three different samples. Sample A, "2017 Election Campaign", consists of respondents from the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017, In addition, individuals recruited in profile wave a2 2020 were re-surveyed for the first time in wave 15 (sample A4). Sample B, "2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section", includes those respondents from the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES (ZA6802) who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. Additionally, Sample C, "2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section", includes those respondents from the 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. While respondents from Sample A have been interviewed up to 20 times since the beginning of the data collection in autumn 2016, Wave 10 of the GLES Panel has been the first re-interview for respondents from Sample B, and Wave 22 was the first re-interview for respondents from Sample C.

In the following, you will find some general information about the data set and the documentation of the questionnaire of wave 24. All panelists from Sample A are solely surveyed in CAWI mode.

Please note:
The data set related to this publication is a pre-release version.
The aim of publishing this pre-release version is to make the data collected in the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) available to the scientific community as soon as possible.
We recommend to always work with the latest data release. Access to the data is available via the GLES homepage or directly via the search function on the GESIS web.
News on the data releases can also be found on the GLES website or via the GLES newsletter.
Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the GLES staff via gles@gesis.org.

Study number	ZA7730
Title	GLES-Panel 2016-2023, Wave 24
Current Version	1.0.0, 2023-06-22
doi	10.4232/1.14141
Citation	GLES (2023): GLES Panel 2023, Wave 24. GESIS, Cologne. Data file version 1.0.0, doi: 10.4232/1.14141
Number of observations	16.738 individuals were invited. 9.860 interviews (partial&complete) were conducted.
Number of variables	182

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Date of Collection	

Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
Wave 24	A	2023-05-03 – 2023-05-16

Content

Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality, corona crisis

Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
Universe	[Sample A1-3]

German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections.

[Sample A4]

German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections.

Selection

[Sample A]

Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education.

Mode of Data Collection

[Sample A]

Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Terms of access

[Sample A]

Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: Category A.

Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org.

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
Postfach 122155
68072 Mannheim
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Verification

Variables: kp24_2291; kp24_2290; kp24_2293s

Waves: 1-24,a1, a2	**Topic:** Verification	**Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions".

Question kp24_2291:

In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:

(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(-95) not participated

Presentation:

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1906 and 2005 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp24_2290:
Please enter the year you were born in.
Instructions:
Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

_ _ _ _

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."
Question kp24_2293s:
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.
Instructions:
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

_

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Variables: kp24_2291_c2; kp24_2290_c2; kp24_2293s_c2

Waves: 1-24,a2	**Topic:** Verification	**Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Sample A1/A2:
 kp1_2291!=kp24_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp24_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp24_2293s
Sample A3:
kpa1_2291!=kp24_2291 | kpa1_2290!=kp24_2290 | kpa1_2293s!= kp24_2293s
Sample A4:
kpa2_2291!=kp24_2291 | kpa2_2290!=kp24_2290 | kpa2_2293s!= kp24_2293s
must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen.
Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.
Instructions (left-justified and bold letters):
"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."
Presentation:
Single, closed response list
Question kp24_2291_c2:
What month were you born in?

Instructions:
Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:
(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row) with two numerical digits
Question kp24_2290_c2:
Please enter the year you were born in.

_ _ _ _

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers
are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."
Question kp24_2293s_c2:
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.
Instructions:
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

_

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave
of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp24_4280		
Waves: 1-24	**Topic:** Verification	**Item:** Reason for false verification code
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Sample A1/A2:
(kp24_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2291!=kp24_2291_c2 | (kp1_2290!=kp24_2290_c2) |
(kp1_2293s!=kp24_2293s_c2)
Sample A3:
(kp24_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa1_2291!=kp24_2291_c2 | (kpa1_2290!=kp24_2290_c2) |
(kpa1_2293s!=kp24_2293s_c2)
Sample A4:
(kp24_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa2_2291!=kp24_2291_c2 | (kpa2_2290!=kp24_2290_c2) |
(kpa2_2293s!=kp24_2293s_c2)
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There
are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed

Coding:
(1) not participated
(2) typing error
(3) same answer

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

General questions

Variable: kp24_010
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Interest in politics, in general
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_011a-c		
Waves: 10-16,19-24 levels	**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Interest in politics, election
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Matrix; if no federal state was indicated in the previous waves, just show "state level" at item B

Question:

And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?

(A) Federal level
(B) State level: `display federal state from kpX_2601‘
(C) European level

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_170
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21-24,a1, a2   **Topic:** Voting decision   **Item:** Intention to vote
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.
Question:
If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you will go to the polls?

Are you…

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:
(1) certain to vote
(2) likely to vote
(3) might vote
(4) not likely to vote
(5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_190a, b
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21-24, a1, a2　　**Topic:** Voting decision　　**Item:** Vote intention: first/second vote
Mode: CAWI　　**Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_170=1-3
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote
Intro:
You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.
Question:
How would you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don't know yet

(B) Second vote:
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don't know yet

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp24_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp24_190aa/kp24_190ab and kp24_190ba/kp24_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_192a		
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21-24, a1, a2	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Vote intention: first vote, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter: kp24_190a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Fragetext :
And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_190a were recoded into the variables kp24_190aa and
kp24_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_192b
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21-24, a1, a2   **Topic:** Voting decision   **Item:** Vote intention: second vote, other party
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_190b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Fragetext :
And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_190b were recoded into the variables kp24_190ba and kp24_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_840s
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Most important problem
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"
Intro:
You will now be asked about the two most important problems.
Question:
In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?
Instructions:
Please mention *only one* problem.

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_850
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter: kp24_840s!=-99
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_851 were recoded into the variables kp24_850a and kp24_850b
in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_851

Waves: 1-24,a1,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp24_850=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Fragetext:
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_850 were recoded into the variables kp24_850a and kp24_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_860s
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Second most important problem
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter: kp24_840s != -99
Presentation:
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"
Question:
In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:
Please mention *only one* problem.

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_870

Waves: 1-24,a1,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp24_860s != -97 & kp24_860s != -99

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_871 were recoded into the variables kp24_870a and kp24_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_871
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A

Filter: kp24_870=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_870 were recoded into the variables kp24_870a and kp24_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_1090	
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15,19,20,22-24a2 **Topic:** Political positions	**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Intro: Now, on to your opinion on various political topics.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services

-

-

-

-

-

- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

(1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

----

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1270a-f,i
Waves: 2,4,7,10,11,13,14,15,18,19,24 **Topic:** Political positions   **Item:** Climate change, parties
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; only labels at the endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take prece-dence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.
Question:
Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(C) SPD
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(D) FDP
(I) AfD
(F) Die Linke

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
-
-
-
-
-
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:
(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1290		
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7,8,10-20,22-24	**Topic:** Political positions	**Item:** Climate change, ego
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:
What is your personal view on climate change and economic growth?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth

-

-

-

-

- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:
(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

----

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1110a-f,i
Waves: 2,4,7,10,11,14,15,19,24   **Topic:** Political positions   **Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, parties
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier" and "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit
Question:
Some want to make it easier for foreigners to immigrate, while others want to make it more difficult for foreigners to immigrate.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [im Datensatz gelabelt als „GRÜNE"]
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(F) Die Linke [im Datensatz gelabelt als „DIE LINKE"]

Coding:
(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1130		
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15,19-24, a2 dimension, ego	**Topic:** Political positions	**Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

What is your opinion on immigration of foreigners?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier

-

-

-

-

-

- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1210
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7-8,10,11,13-15,19,20,22,24,a2 **Topic:** Political positions   **Item:** Integration, Ego
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"
Question:
There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
-
-
-
-
-
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:
(1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

----

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_020
Waves: 1,5,8-10,12,14,15-18,20-24, a2   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Satisfac-
tion with Democracy

Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:
(1) very satisfied
(2) fairly satisfied
(3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) not very satisfied
(5) not at all satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2880d,g,o,x,cv
Waves: 1,3,13-24,a2   **Topic**: Political positions   **Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Now we come to your assessment of political issues. You may agree more or less with the following statements.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(D) The state should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.
(G) The state should stay out of the economy.
(O) The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.
(X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees
(CV) Climate protection must be enforced in buildings, even if this means that rental prices for housing increase.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_650f2,o1,l1,s,e2,g2,h2,k1		
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2	**Topic**: Candidates	**Item:** Scalometer politicians
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Screen 1: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

Screen 2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:

(F2) Annalena Baerbock

(O1) Olaf Scholz

(L1) Robert Habeck

(S) Christian Lindner

Screen 2:

(E2) Saskia Esken

(G2) Dietmar Bartsch

(H2) Alice Weidel

(K1) Markus Söder

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2880p,t,u,y,al

Waves: 1,3,13-24,a2	**Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Ego positions
Modi: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard-Matrix

Intro:
Here you can find some more statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(P) Today's problems are so complex that they can no longer be solved by politics.
(T) The state should save companies with many jobs from insolvency.
(U) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.
(Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.
(AL) The European Union should do more to protect its external borders.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_5020

Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-18,20-24,a2   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Difference
government

Modi: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix

Question:
Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:
(1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_430a-f, i **Waves:** 1-24, a2   **Topic**: Party ratings in general   **Item:** Scalometer parties **Mode:** CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit
Question:
What do you think of the different parties in general?
Instructions:
Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

(C) SPD
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(E) Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
(D) FDP
(I) AfD
(F) Die Linke

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_5021
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-18,20-24,a2   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Difference parties
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:
(1) 1 no differences at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 major differences

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_060b,d,e,i,j,l	
Waves: 2,a1,9,13-15,17,20-24	**Topic**: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Extremism/Authoritarianism
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix

Question on screen 1:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

 (E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.
 (L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.
 (I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
(B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
(D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

The item (L) was taken from the GESIS Panel.

Variable: kp24_1490a-f,i		
Waves: 2,4,7,12,14,15,19,22,24	**Topic:** political positions	**Item:** Left-right assessment, parties
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix with numerical label; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:
In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:
Where would you place the following parties on this scale?

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)

(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)

(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen

(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

(F) Die Linke

Coding:

(1) 1 left

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7

(8) 8

(9) 9

(10) 10

(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1500
Waves: 1-4,a1,6,7,10-16,19,22-24 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Left-right-assessment
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Question:
Where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2880cq,aa,cc,co,ch	
Waves: 1,3,13-24,a2 **Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(CQ) Germany should continue to support Ukraine, even if it means high energy prices and restrictions for everyone.

(AA) The European Union should reduce funding for member states that refuse to take in refugees.

(CC) Naturalization of migrants should be made easier in Germany.

(CO) The statutory retirement age should be raised to over 67

(CH) The legal possibilities for citizens to fight against the expansion of renewable energies should be limited.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1483a-h
Waves: 2,a1,10,21-24   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Foreign policy orientations
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; items are split on two screens; column labels according to coding labels
Intro:
Now some statements about Germany's foreign and security policy.
Question screen 1:
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(A) The use of military force is never justified.
(B) Germany shouldn't deal with global issues but should focus on its domestic problems.
(C) On foreign policy issues, Germany should act in harmony with the USA.
(D) In international crises, Germany and its allies should agree on a common position.

Question screen 2:
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(E) Germany should play a more active role in global politics.
(F) War is sometimes necessary to protect a country's interests.
(G) Germany should take care of its security primarily on its own.
(H) Germany should pursue its interests vis-à-vis the USA with more self-confidence.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_1484a-f		
Waves: 21-24	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Country/Politician scalometer
Mode: CAWI	Sample: A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 " I do not think anything of it at all ", and +5 " I think a lot of it ";"don't know"- category is settled out a bit
Question:
In general, what do you think of the following countries and politicians?
Hinweistext:
Please describe this with a scale from -5 to +5.

(A) United States of America
(B) China
(C) Russia
(D) Vladimir Putin
(E) Joe Biden
(F) Donald Trump

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think anything of it at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a lot of it

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2880 bb,bc,be,bi,bw

Waves: 1,3,13-24,a2   **Topic**: Political positions   **Item:** Ego positions

Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard-Matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BB) In emergency situations, it is justified for the state to restrict civil liberties.

(BC) Health protection must take priority over promoting economic growth.

(BE) To make sure that this survey is being filled out by a human, please click here on 'disagree'.

(BI) On all motorways, a speed limit of 130 km/h should apply.

(BW) When combating climate change, more emphasis should be placed on banning climate-damaging behaviours than on incentives for climate-friendly behaviour.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_730
Waves: 1-8,10-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Party ratings in general   **Item:** Scalometer government
Modi: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_731d-f
Waves: 1-8,10-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Party ratings in general   **Item:** Scalometer governing parties
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:
And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(E) FDP

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_4046		
Waves: 15-24	**Topic:** Corona Crisis	**Item:** Corona: Government
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Intro:

Question:
How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in combatting the corona crisis?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This question was taken from the GLES Tracking (T48)]

Variable: kp24_4050
Waves: 22-24   **Topic:** Ukraine war   **Item:** Ukraine war: government
Modi: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".
Question:
How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in connection with the war in Ukraine?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_4051	
Wave: 23,24	**Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Gas and energy supply: Government
Modi: CAWI	**Sample:** A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; with numerical labeling of the scale from -5 to +5 including zero point; also labeling of the endpoints: -5 "completely dissatisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".

Question:

And how satisfied are you with the work of the federal government related to gas and energy supply?

Coding:

(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2880bk,bl,bm,bn,br,bz,ca,cd,ce,cf
Waves: 1,3,13-24,a2   **Topic**: Political positions   **Item:** Ego positions
Modi: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard-Matrix
Intro:
Here you can find some more statements with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BK) Germany should rely less on cooperation with Russia and more on confrontation.
(BL) Germany should rely less on cooperation with China and more on confrontation.
(BM) Taxes on fossil fuels such as oil, gas and coal should be increased.
(BN) During a pandemic, there should be a general obligation to vaccinate.
(BR) The 'debt brake' should be relaxed.

Question Screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(BZ) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for qualified workers.
(CA) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for refugees and asylum seekers.
(CD) Germany should immediately stop importing coal, oil and gas from Russia.
(CE) Germany should also supply heavy weapons such as tanks to Ukraine.
(CF) Germany should spend at least two percent of its economic output on defense each year.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_780
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-24,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Personal economic situation, current
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Intro:
Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.
Question:
How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_770
Waves: 5,6,8,10-24,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Responsibility for personal economic Situation
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_780=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don't know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_790
Waves: a2,14-24   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Personal economic situation, future
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Question:
What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

-Improved considerably
-Improved somewhat
-Remained the same
-Deteriorated somewhat
-Deteriorated considerably

Coding:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_820
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-24,a2   **Topic:** Valenzissues   **Item:** General economic situation, current
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_810
Waves: 5,6,8,10-24,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Responsibility for general economic situation
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_820=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_830
Waves: a2, 14-24   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** General economic situation, future
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

-Improved considerably
-Improved somewhat
-Remained the same
-Deteriorated somewhat
-Deteriorated considerably

Coding:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_050a,e,h,k,l;
Waves: 1,5,8-24,a2   **Topic**: General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Efficacy and duty to vote
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
(E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
(H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
(K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
(L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_650x1,k2,l2,y,m2		
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2	**Topic**: Candidates	**Item:** Scalometer politicians
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Here, you see some leading politicians once again. Please state what you think of these politicians.

(X1) Friedrich Merz
(K2) Lars Klingbeil
(L2) Karl Lauterbach
(Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
(M2) Boris Pistorius

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_160 a,c,b,j,k,o,p1,p2,q

Waves: 2,8-10,12,14-16,a1,20,21,23,24   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Confidence in institutions

Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Let's talk about the following public institutions.

Question:

Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about …

(A) the Bundestag?

(C) the Government

(B) the Federal Constitutional Court?

(J) the German armed forces?

(K) the trade unions?

(O) the banks?

(P1) public service broadcasting

(P2) social media

(Q) the police?

Coding:

(1) I do not trust at all

(2) I rather do not trust

(3) neither trust nor distrust

(4) I rather trust

(5) I fully trust

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2880j,cl,cm,cn

Waves: 1,3,13-24,a2	**Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard-Matrix
Intro:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.
(CL) Germany should better hold back on supporting Ukraine, that we are not also attacked.
(CM) In times of high inflation rates, the state should provide financial support to needy citizens.
(CN) The state should set price ceilings for energy and staple foods.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_910a,c, e-j

Waves: 3,5-10,16-24   **Topic**: Strategic Voting   **Item:** Scalometer coalitions

Modi: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

Question:

Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

(A) Coalition of SPD and CDU/CSU
(C) Coalition of SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(E) Coalition of CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(F) Coalition of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP
(G) Coalition of CDU/CSU, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP
(H) Coalition of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and Die Linke
(I) Coalition of SPD, CDU/CSU and FDP
(J) Coalition of CDU/CSU, FDP and AfD

Coding:

(1) -5 not desirable at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very desirable

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2250
Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,21,23,24,a1   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Fairness social order
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Let's talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going?

- very just
- just
- neither just nor unjust
- unjust
- very unjust

Coding:
(1) very just
(2) just
(3) neither just nor unjust
(4) unjust
(5) very unjust

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2270
Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,21,23,24,a1   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Fairness, ego
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not?

- a lot less than the fair share
- a bit less than the fair share
- the fair share
- a bit more than the fair share
- a lot more than the fair share

Coding:
(1) a lot more than the fair share
(2) a bit more than the fair share
(3) the fair share
(4) a bit less than the fair share
(5) a lot less than the fair share

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_080a-e
Waves: 17,23,24   **Topic**: General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Left behind, subjective
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro: And one last time, here are some statements that some people agree with and some people disagree with.
Question:
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(A) The economic situation of people like me receives too little attention in society.
(B) People like me get too little recognition from society for the work that they do.
(C) Society does not do enough to ensure that people like me get access to basic facilities and services (such as post offices, doctors, banks, public transport, schools, Internet).
(D) Society pays too little attention to the values of people like me.
(E) People like me are no longer allowed to express their opinions freely in public.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2090
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Predispositions   **Item:** Party identification
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote
for a different party.
Question:
How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_2091 were recoded into the variables kp24_2090a and kp24_2090b
in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_2091
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Predispositions   **Item:** Party identification, other party
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_2090=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_2090 were recoded into the variables kp24_2090a and kp24_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_2100
Waves: 1-24, a1, a2   **Topic:** Predisposition   **Item:** Party identification, strength
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_2090>0 & <=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:
(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp24_2095
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Multiple party identification
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_2090=1-801; Party named at kp24_2090 do not show again. If kp24_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then do not show answer choice 2 (CDU) or answer choice 3 (CSU) in kp24_2095. If kp24_2090=2 | 3, then do not show answer choice 1 in kp24_2095.
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
 - CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no other party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(808) no other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp24_2096 were recoded into the variables kp24_2095a and kp24_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_2096		
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2 party	Topic: Predispositions	Item: Multiple party identification, other
Mode: CAWI	Samples: A	

Filter: kp24_2095=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp24_2095 were recoded into the variables kp24_2095a and kp24_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp24_2101

Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Predispositions   **Item:** Multiple party identification, strength

Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp24_2095=1-801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Quality of Data

Variable: kp24_4240
Waves: 1-24,a1,a2   **Topic:** Quality of Data   **Item:** Rating of survey
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) Not asked, terminated
(-95) Not participated
(-99) No answer

Variable: kp24_4270s

Waves: 1-24, a1,a2	**Topic:** Quality of Data	**Item:** Comment, open
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limit of characters

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-99) no answer